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## ABSTRACT

The Scorpio-Centaurus association is one of the nearest groups of young $B$-type stars to show common streaming motions, and as such has played an important role in the determination of absolute magnitudes of B-type stars. Subgroups have been recognized within the Association; however, very little information was available concerning the Upper Centaurus-Lupus section, which we shall. call the Upper Centaurus association. To supplement the published data on the brighter B-type stars, photoelectric uvby and $H \beta$ photometric data have been obtained for a large number of faint $B-, A-$, and $F-t y p e$ stars in the Upper Scorpius and Upper Centaurus regions. With this information the published absolute magnitudes of the bright $B-t y p e$ star members as determined by a moving cluster analysis are used to check the accuracy of a preliminary calibration of $M_{v}$ in terms of the $\beta$-index provided by D. L. Crawford of the Kitt Peak National Observatory. For the Upper Scorpius and the Upper Centaurus subgroups, the two types of absolute magnitudes show satisfactory agreement. For the Lower CentaurusCrux $B$-type stars, however, the moving cluster absolute magnitudes are brighter than the $H \beta$ absolute magnitudes by
an average of 0.4 . No explanation is given for this difference, if it is significant.

Ages of B-type stars in the subgroups have been estimated using theoretical evolutionary calculations. Isochrones are transformed into a $\beta$, (u-b) odiagram for direct comparison with the observed stellar sequences. For the Upper Centaurus association, age estimates range from 12 million to 16 million years, depending on the assumed chemical composition. A less certain estimate of 10 million years is obtained for the Upper Scorpius association.

The Upper Centaurus and Upper Scorpius subgroups differ in two additional aspects, which may be related. A large percentage of B-typc stars having peculiar spectra are known to exist in the Upper Scorpius association, whereas for the Upper Centaurus association less complete data do not show a similar effect. Also, the m, -indices of the Upper Scorpius B-type stars are, on the average, $\sim 0.02$ greater than the $m_{1}$-indices of the Upper Centaurus B-type stars. We suggest that the spectral peculiarities are being detected by the $m_{1}$-index.

The uvby and $H \beta$ photometric systems provide luminosity-dependent parameters which have been used to select a number of apparently zero-age late $\mathrm{B}-, \mathrm{AO}$-, $\mathrm{A}-$, and F-type stars in the Upper Centaurus region. Those stars in this list which also lie at approximately the same
distances as the B-type star members are candidates for membership in the Upper Centaurus association. Accurate radial velocity information could be used to confirm or reject membership; however, the data presently available for some of these stars are insufficient to provide tests of memberships. Radial velocity and proper motion work should obviously be undertaken for this purpose.

## CHAPTER I

## J.NTRODUCTION AND HISTORICAL REVIEW

The unusual way in which the bright stars in the southern sky are distributed in a belt noticeably inclined to the Milky Way was first described by John Herschel (1847). Gould (1879) showed that this phenomenon occurs in both hemispheres. Shapley and Cannon (1921) used the spectral types and magnitudes from the Henry Draper Catalogue (Cannon and Pickering l.918) to confirm the discovery by Charlier (1916) that it is actually only the brightest B-type stars that form what is now referred to as Gould's Belt. The fact that there seems to be some sort of physical subsystem of Gould's Belt present in the constellations of Scorpius and Centaurus was pointed out by Kapteyn (1914) and others from the parallelism of the proper motions of the bright B-type stars in the area. But, whether or not these stars form a real group was disputed from the beginning. Kapteyn (1914) wrote: "While Eddington and I held that they do, this view was contested, even before my [earlier] paper had appeared in print by Campbell and B. Böss" (p.43).

It is the actual appearance of the group in the sky that has rascinated and convinced many astronomers of the
reality of the Scorpio-Centaurus association as a distinct subgroup in what is now called the Local. System. Blaauw (1946), in particular, has referred to this aspect of the problem when challenging the arguments of non-believers on the reality of the group and the group expansion.

Blaauw (1964) distinguished between the northern part of the association, referred to as the Upper Scorpius region (also the II Sco association), and the southern two sections, referred to as the Upper Centaurus-Lupus and the Lower Centaurus-Crux regions. The upper two sections lie well above the Milky Way and are sufficiently separated from the other nearby bright stars to be easily distinguished. This can be seen on map 18 of Norton's (1959) Star Atlas, which plots galactic longitude versus latitude for bright stars. In Lower Centaurus-Crux, the association is nearer to the galactic equator, so that the Centaurus members gradually blend into the Milky Way.

In this dissertation $I$ shall concentrate on the Upper Centaurus-Lupus (hereinafter called Upper Centaurus) section of the Scorpio-Centaurus association. Comparisons will be made with the well-studied Upper Scorpius section, adding in some instances to the significant differences known to exist between the two groups.

## Analysis of the Group Motion

Although Smart (1939) disputed the claim that Scorpio-Centaurus is a moving cluster, Blaauw (1946) found in an analysis of the proper motions and radial velocities that Smart had included many distant nonmembers in his discussion, thus biasing his results. After restricting the membership to only the brightest B-type stars, Blaauw found a small dispersion in the space velocities, implying comnon stream motion.

Blaauw was able to set rather definite limits on the magnitude limits of B-type stars which were members of the moving cluster. After correcting the observed radial velocities for the reflex solar motion, he plotted diagrams of these residual velocities versus apparent magnitude for different groups of stars which had been separated according to spectral type. For BO-B2 stars he found that the faint limit is 5.25 ; for B3 stars, $5^{\mathrm{m}} \cdot 5$; and for B 5 stars, 6 . 0 .

Blaaúw divided the association into subgroups by galactic longitude and latitude and computed mean spectroscopic parallaxes for each region. Space motions were calculated for each subgroup from the mean tangential and radial velocities. Within the errors in the data, the space motions for each of the five regions above galactic
longitude $1=270^{\circ}$ * agreed in both magnitude and direction. This established the common stream motion for the group.

The projected size of the association on the sky could easily be calculated, and Blaaw found it to be 290 pc by 70 pc . To estimate the depth of the association along the line of sight, Blaauw carried out a statistical analysis of the proper motions and radial velocities, and found the depth to be approximately 100 pc .

Blaauw also discussed the past history of the association, by analyzing the individual orbits of stars moving in the galactic plane. He concluded that the present size and orientation of the association could be explained by differontial galactic rotation acting on a group of stars which had been ejected about $1.0^{8}$ years ago with slightly differing velocities from a "point" moving in a circular orbit around the galactic center approximately 1 kpc interior to the sun's present position.

Blaauw (1952) revised this approach to include the possibil.ity that the original cluster was expanding at a certain rate. By comparing the size and orientation of the model at various epochs to the observed size and direction of elongation of the Scorpio-Centaurus association, Blaauw estimated that the age of the group was $72 \times 10^{6}$ years.

[^0]Bertiau (1958) made use of new proper motions and radial velocities of the Scorpio-Centaurus B-type stars in his moving cluster analysis of the association. The proper motions were used to determine the convergent point, then the radial velocities were used to compute the stream motion. Bertiau found that a rather large constant term was needed in the radial velocity relation, which he interpreted as a manifestation of a linear expansion of the association, inasmuch as the effects of differential galactic rotation could not account for the size of the constant term. At a distance of 170 pc , the mean distance to the association adopted by Bertiau, this constant term implies an expansion age of $20 \times 10^{6}$ years for the association.

The individual parallaxes for each member which could be obtained from the computed group strean motion make the Scorpio-Centaurus association extremely important for the calibration of absolute magnitudes of B-type stars. However, the sample of stars with accurate MK classifications was too small to give Bertiau a good calibration of the MK system. In connection with this difficulty, the final part of Bertiau's study consisted of a brief discussion of the possible membership of faint B-type stars in the Upper Scorpius region. For the benefit of future workers he presented a table of stars brighter than $m_{v}=8^{m}$ that have HD spectral types between $B O$ and $B 9$ and which are included
in the GC proper motion catalogue (Boss 1937). Bertiau also commented that many of the provisional proper motions tend to have similar sizes and direction as the brighter, known members.

Petrie (1962) disputed the reality of the common stream motion and the absolute magnitudes derived from moving cluster analyses of the Scorpio-Centaurus association. In examining the $B$ star proper motions Petrie removed the reflex solar motion using the individual distances given by Bertiau (1958) and found what appeared to be negligibly small proper motions. Because he did not quote the corrections for solar motion which he used, we may only assume that Petrie used the wrong solar motion corrections, for the reflex solar motion in the proper motions in Scorpius and Centaurus at the distance of the B-type stars is $0.1016 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{a}^{\prime}$, , approximately half of $0 .!028$ p.a., the mean of the observed proper motions. Petrie (1965) essentially retracted his criticisms of the ScorpioCentaurus association in a recalibration of the Hy system because he had to correct the earliex $M_{v}, H \gamma$ calibration by 0.4 , thus bringing it into agreement with the absolute magnitudes given by Bertiau (1958).

A moving cluster analysis of the B-type stars in the Scorpio-Centaurus association had led Bertiau (1.958) to conclude that an expansion term must be included in the radial velocity solution. Although the magnitude of this
term depends strongly on the location of the convergent point of the proper motions, the amount of change in the convergent point needed to eliminate the expansion term seemed to be too large to be justified on the basis of the available proper motions. However, Eggen (1961) pointed out that the apparent expansion could also be caused by an undetected systematic error in the declination components of the proper motions. Because the systematic errors in southern hemisphere proper motions are, indeed, not well known, Eggen's criticism has led to a general mistrust of moving cluster analyses of the Scorpio-Centaurus association as a reliable means of calibrating absolute magnitudes of B-type stars. As will be described below, one of the purposes of the present dissertation is to compare the absolute magnitudes given by Bertiau (1958) with those derived from an independent calibration of the uvby and $H \beta$ photometric systems.

Thackeray (1967) presented a preliminary report on results of an analysis of the radial velocities of ScorpioCentaurus B-type stars in which new velocity measures had been included. He did not find any evidence for expansion of the association.

## Relationship With Gould's Belt

Before proceeding further, it should be pointed out that the problem of determining the nature of the

Scorpio-Centaurus association is probably closely related to the interpretation of the entire phenomenon of Gould's Belt. A concise review of the history of Gould's Belt was given by Bok (1937). In addition to the bright B-type stars described above, the other objects that share the same areal distribution which were listed by Bok are: Be stars, A-type stars in the HD catalogue, some diffuse nebulae, and extended dark nebulae. Heeschen and Lilley (1954), Davies (1960), and Wesselius and Sancisi (1971) showed that there is also a strong correlation between the distribution of neutral hydrogen (HI) above the galactic plane and the interstellar dust clouds in Gould's Belt. Clube (1967b) has pointed out that this mearly neutral hydrogen feature may be confusing the interpretation of the large-scale distribution of HI in the galactic plane. His point is that the Carina spiral feature as drawn by Kerr and Westerhout (1965) appears to be quite circular. The same reature could be caused by the HI in Gould's Belt, which presumably has the same slightly positive velocity as the B-type stars, and which intersects the galactic plane in the same longitude interval.

Several kinematical discussions of the B-type stars in_ Gould's Belt have also been given. Eggen (1961) considered all B-type stars brighter than $m_{v}=5.0$ to be members of the same moving group, and many ScorpioCentaurus B-type stars were included in his sample.

Bonneau (1964) created models of large expanding clusters to compare with the space and velocity distributions obtained by Eggen.

With more observations and better quality data available to her than was available to Eggen and Bonneau, Lesh (1968) re-analyzed the space velocities of onl.y those members of Gould's Belt north of declination $-20^{\circ}$. Her distance scale depended on Borgman and Blaauw's (1964) absolute magnitudes of Scorpio-Centaurus B-type stars. Her approach was suggested by an earlier paper by Blaauw (1952). She derived expressions for velocity gradients of the space velocities of a group of stars moving in circular orbits around the galactic center. If there is no expansion of the group, then the velocity gradients have certain fixed values. If the group is expanding, then the gradients should change with time. By using the computed space velocities of the stars in Gould's Belt, she computed observed values for each gradient. Comparison of these observed values with the time dependent model gave an estimate of the expansion age from each gradient. The solutions she found gave no unique age; however, the cross gradients in the galactic plane $\frac{\partial U}{\partial Y}$ and $\frac{\partial V}{\partial X}$, are close to the results expected from differential galactic rotation. (The $X$ and $Y$ axes are directed towards $(1, b)=\left(0^{\circ}, 0^{\circ}\right)$ and ( $90^{\circ}, 0^{\circ}$ ) respectively, and $U$ and $V$ are the corresponding velocities along these axes.) For this reason Lesh
concluded that the true picture is probably one of a combined expansion and differential galactic rotation.

Clube (1967a) analyzed published radial velocity and distance information for stars earlier than spectral type $B 2$ and within 500 pc of the sun. To avoid uncertainties in the proper motions, he worked with only the radial velocity data in his computations of space velocity components. He considered several models to describe the general state of motion of the stars in Gould's Belt. assuming: (1) common stream motion, (2) uniform expansion, and (3) linear expansion along the line connecting the sun and the galactic center. Although the three solutions appear to be indistinguishable, Clube considered it to be significant that the $U, V$ space velocities of each solution are almost identical to the mean motion of the pleiades group as given by Egsen (1963). He also pointed out that the convergent point for the Scorpio-Centaurus cluster appears to be quite close to the direction of motion of the Pleiades group. For these reasons Clube suggested that the Scorpio-Centaurus members, the Pleiades group, and the stars in Gould's Belt have a common origin. He also pointed out that the expansion age of $37 \times 10^{6}$ years which he derived for Gould's Belt is typical for evolutionary ages of early B-type stars. We shall see in Chapter 7 that the evolutionary age for the pleiades is roughly twice this age and that Scorpio-Centaurus is less than half this age.

Therefore, we should be skeptical of this part of Clube's conclusion.

## Subgroups in Associations

In examining the spatial extent of the B-type stars that share in the Scorpio-Centaurus stream motion, Blaauw (1946) divided the B-type stars into seven smaller groups, ranging from $l=232^{\circ}$ to $l=2^{\circ}$. He found that the association probably does not extend past $1=270^{\circ}$, but the most reliable lower limit is $1=290^{\circ}$. For longitudes greater than that, most of the members are north of the galactic equator.

In the regions referred to as the Upper Scorpius and the Upper Centaurus regions, we find some striking general features. In Upper Scorpius, for example, there are both dark and bright interstellar nebulae, and a considerable amount of nearby interstellar absorption is present. The Scorpio-Centaurus members are also highly concentrated in this region. In Upper Centaurus, however, there are apparently neither bright nor isolated dark nebulae; there is very little general interstellar absorption; and, furthermore, the $B$-type stars are more widely distributed across the sky. We note also that Slettebak (1968) has found that the rotational velocities of stars in the Upper Scorpius region seem to be significantly higher than in the Centaurus stars.

These different characteristics between two subgroups within what is normally considered to be just one association are prime examples of a phenomenon that also occurs in other associations. In some of his early discussions of the properties of associations, Ambartsumian (1954, 1955, 1959) mentioned the existence of stars of apparently different ages within groups like the Orion association. Blaauw (1958, 1959, 1964) showed that Scorpio-Centaurus is one of several associations which have subgroups with varying ages. The III Cep, I Ori, and I Lac associations are the other examples cited by Blaauw. For the Scorpio-Centaurus association, Blaauw used the absolute magnitudes and spectral types given by Bertiau (1958) to drav separate Hertzsprung-Russell. (HR) diagrams for the Upper Scorpius and the Centaurus regions. In addition to the fact that the Upper Scorpius region contains stars which have earlier spectral types than any of the Centaurus stars, the mean Upper Scorpius sequence is approximately $0 \cdot{ }^{m} 4$ less luminous at a given spectral type than the mean Centaurus sequence.

Other general features associated with the subgroups, besides the correlation of the higher concentration of stars and nebulae with the youngest stars, were listed by Blaauw, such as: (I) an age of about fifteen million years for the oldest subgroups, (2) separations between the subsystems of the same order of magnitude as the individual
sizes of the subsystems, (3) separations of the subsystems roughly parallel to the galactic plane, and (4) similar numbers of stars in each subsystem.

In this dissertation $I$ shall examine in some detail the possible age differences between the Upper Scorpius stars and the Upper Centaurus stars. This approach had been done earlier in a somewhat cursory fashion by Walraven and Walraven (1960) and Borgman and Blaauw (1964). In the two papers cited above, calibrations are reported of intermediate band filter systems in terms of absolute magnitudes. The fact that the few stars in the two subgroups of the Scorpio-Centaurus association for which observations were available showed different mean luminosities is encouraging, for it implies different ages of the subgroups; otherwise it seems to be incidental to the purposes of the Borgman and Blaauw and the Walravens' papers.

A detailed photometric study of all B-type stars in the Scorpio-Centaurus association was reported by Gutierrez-Moreno and Moreno (1968), who obtained UBV photometry for all B-type stars which had ever been considered as possible members of the association. They also observed $H \beta$-indices (see Crawford 1958) for those stars considered most likely to be members. Since the strengths of the hydrogen Balmer lines in B-type stars depend primarily upon luminosity, a plot of the $\beta$-indices versus the (U-B) o-color, which is dependent mostly on effective
temperature, gives a diagram quite analogous to an HR diagram. Photometric indices also provide (mathematically) continuous measures of temperature and luminosity, and can be determined relatively impartially, unlike the discrete subclasses obtained from spectral classifications. The resulting sequences reported by the Morenos do, indeed, show the same age differences described previously. For the present investigation it was decided to use the $\beta$-index and the uvby system described by Strömgren (1963) and by Crawford and Barnes (1970c). Kelsall and Strömgren (1966) published evolutionary models for $B$ - and A-type stars and used Strömgren's (1.964) calibration of the intrinsic color $(u-b)$ o to relatc the observed colors directly to the computed ages of stars in the last stages of their main sequence lifetimes. In Chapter 4 we shall re-examine the effective temperature calibrations and, with the aid of a calibration of $\beta$ in terms of $M_{v}$, and a bolometric correction-effective temperature calibration, shall transform the theoretical isochrones into a $\beta,(u-b)_{0}$ diagram for a direct determination of cluster ages.

The calibration of the $\beta$-index in terms of absolute magnitude for $B-t y p e$ stars is also important to this dissertation. D. L. Crawford and his co-workers at the Kitt Peak National Observatory are on the verge of completing such a calibration. The curve relating the $\beta$-index to apparent magnitude is taken to be the mean curve defined
by several young open clusters and associations, and the zero point of the calibration is set by the adopted distance moduli of the Pleiades and $\alpha$ Persei clusters, as these last two clusters relate the calibration for the Btype stars to the independent calibration for A-type stars. An additional check on the reliability of the calibration will be to compare the values of $M_{v}$ predicted by the $\beta-$ indices with the absolute magnitudes determined by Bertiau (1958) from his moving cluster analysis of the ScorpioCentaurus association. No attempt will be made here to carry out a new analysis of the moving cluster on the basis of proper motions and radial velocities. We shall see in Chapter 7 that for such a study better quality data will be needed than those presently available.

Another prime objective of this dissertation concerns the detection and study of possible faint members of Scorpio-Centaurus with spectral types ranging from mid-B to F. Garrison (1967) was successful in establishing the provisional membership of many such stars in the Upper Scorpius region by concentrating his efforts on those faint stars contained in a small area of the sky enclosed by the stars $\alpha$ Sco, $\sigma$ Sco, 19 Sco , 22 Sco , and $\rho$ Oph. He was able to obtain a well defined main sequence extending to spectral type FO by using UBV photometry and accurate MK spectral classifications. Gutierrez-Moreno and Moreno (1968) obtained UBV photometry of eleven late B-type stars in

Upper Centaurus. However, they considered these stars to be foreground stars, based upon their location in the color-magnitude diagrams. To find out which fainter stars in Upper Centaurus may be members of the association we will make use of the luminosity-sensitive indices of the uvby and $H \beta$ photometric systems to eliminate evolved field stars. The remaining, presumably unevolved stars, which also happen to J.ie in the appropriate distance interval, would be possible members of the association.

## Summary of Objectives

We have seen from the short review of the extensive previous research on the Scorpio-Centaurus association that there are several instances in which spectroscopic and photometric information imply different ages in different regions of the association. By using calculations of stellar evolutionary tracks, we shall attempt to obtain certain qualitative results about the ages. Also, with the exception of the results of Garrison's (1967) examination of faint stars in Upper Scorpius, little is known about the membership of stars later than approximately spectral type $B 5$, other than that in this region Shapley and Camon (1921) showed that there appears to be a higher than expected density of late B- and early A-type stars tabulated in the HD catalogue. The uvby and $H \beta$ photometric indices of such stars should allow us to identify the
unevolved stars at the proper distance which may be members of the association.

Since this nearly association is also considered by some workers to be a moving cluster, we can also test the accuracy of the absolute magnitude calibrations of the uvby and H $H$ photomotric systems described in later sections.

## CHAPTER 2

## OBSERVATIONS AND DATA REDUCTIONS

A large amount of observational material on stars in the region of the Scorpio-Centaurus Moving Cluster is available, mostly in the literature. These data include objective prism plates, photoelectric uvby and $H \beta$ photometry, radial velocities, and spectral classifications, as well as additional observations obtained by the present author. This chapter is devoted to descriptions of these observations and the reduction techniques, as well as the methods used to combine the recent observations with previously published information.

## Objective Prism Survey

In a study of the Scorpio-Centaurus Moving Cluster, Bertiau (1958) examined the available proper motions of some fainter stars in Upper Scorpio having spectral types later than those of the well known bright, B-type stars. He presented a list of some possible members based only on these motions and suggested that a more thorough search should be made using objective prism classifications of stars in the region.

With just such a survey in mind, Mr. Robert Barnes of the Kitt Peak National Observatory (K. P. N. O.)
obtained 35 plates at Cerro Tololo on the 24-36 inch Curtis Schmidt telescope in July and August, 1967. Each plate is a 5-minute exposure with the $4^{\circ}$ ultraviolet transmitting prism, giving a dispersion of $270 \mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{mm}$ at $\mathrm{H} \gamma$. The faintest stars that could be classified on these plates were approximately low visual magnitude. These plates were made available to this writer through the courtesy of Dr. D. L. Crawford of K. P. N. O.

A few faint stars in the Upper Scorpio region had MK classifications available from the study by Garrison (1967) and these were used initially to determine the classification scheme. Due to the overall. scarcity of such stars, however, it was decided to proceed with the classification using only the judgment of the classifier, and, if possible, to determine the systematic errors at a later time.

In the Upper Centaurus region the interstellar reddening is known to be quite small. The $V_{o}$ magnitudes (apparent visual magnitudes in the UBV system corrected for interstellar absorption) of the later-type stars in Upper Scorpio listed by Garrison were used to estimate approximate magnitude ranges for each spectral range of stars at the same distance as the Scorpio-Centaurus cluster. Based on a rough calibration of magnitude and apparent brightness on the objective prism plates, possible members were indicated on each plate. The distribution of these
stars subdivided according to spectral groups in the region of the sky covered by the plates is shown in Figure 1. The non-random nature of this distribution is a clear jindication that the stars of later spectral types share the same distribution in the sky as the B-type stars in the ScorpioCentaurus cluster. In selecting stars for the photometry program an attempt was made to include as many stars as possible along the ridge of high apparent density shown in Figure 1. The distribution of stars in the final observing program is shown in Figure 2, again subdivided into spectral groups.

With the photoelectric photometry available for analysis, a plot of the observed $(b-y)_{o}$ values vs. the objective prism spectral types ( $\mathrm{Sp}(\mathrm{JG})$ ) (Figure 3) shows that the spectral classification scheme correlates quite well with color index. Figure 4 ( $S_{p}(H D)$ vs. (b-y) $)_{0}$ ) shows that the HD spectral types of these stars have a less uniform distribution compared with the objective prism spectral types, and a few obviously wrong classifications stand out from the rest. The direct comparison of $S p(H D)$ vs. $\operatorname{Sp}(J G)$ shown in Figure 5 is quite similar to Figure 4 in that many of the HD types are too early. As a check on the system, spectral types (Sp(color)) were assigned to each star on the basis of its (b-y) index using the calibration of Barry (1967). Figure 6 ( Sp (color) vs. $\left.S_{p}(J G)\right)$ shows that there is apparently a systematic


Figure 1. Areal Distribution of Faint Stars in Scorpius and Centaurus -- The number of stars on the objective prism plates are plotted for three spectiral ranges.


Figure 2. Areal. Distribution of Faint Stars Selected for Study -- The number of stars selected for observation on each objective prism plate are plotted for three spectral ranges.


Figure 3. Comparison of Objective Prism Classifications with (b-y) o -- Fi.l.jed circles represent stars observed in the present program; crosses, stars in Upper Scorpius observed by Garrison (1967).


Figure 4. Comparison of HD Classifications with (b-y) o


Figure 5. Comparison of Objective Prism Classifications with HD Classifications


Figure 6. Comparison of Objective Prism Classifications with Photometric Classifications
difference of two tenths of a spectral class between the two systems, in the sense that the objective prism types are too early.

## Classifications from Slit Spectra

The spectral types adopted from the classification of the slit spectra axe listed below in Table 8, along with the radial velocity measurements described in a later section. Several stars having published MK types were used as standards for the classification. No stars with MK type Later than A3 were available, so the later types are uncertain. A comparison of the spectral types assigned after inspection of the slit spectra, originally taken for radial velocity measurements, with the objective prism classifications is shown in Figure 7. We again see the tendency for the objective prism classifications to be too early by approximately two tenths of a class. Figure 8 shows the slit spectra classifications plotted against the intrinsic color-index, $(b-y)_{o}$. The mean relation between MK types and (b-y) as given by Barry (1967) is included, and the agreement with the observed points is satisfactory. This confirms our earlier contention that the objective prism classifications are systematically too early, apparently by less than a tenth of a class at AO, but up to two tenths of a class for later type stars. With so fer stars


Figure 7. Comparison of Objective Prism Classifications with Slit-Spectra Classifications


Figure 8. Comparison of Slit-Spectra Classifications with (b-y) o - Filled circles represent stars observed in the present program; crosses, stars in Upper Scorpius observed by Garrison (1967).
available for comparison we do not feel justified in applying any corrections to the objective prism types.
uvby Photometric Reductions
Sixteen nights of uvby photoelectric photometry were available at the Cerro Tololo Inter-American Observatory (C. T. I. O.) during May 1968. Data were obtained with two 16 -inch telescopes using Steward Observatory's No. l set of uvby rilters. The transmission curves of these filters are compared in Figure 9 to the original filters used by B. Strömgren in setting up the four-color system.

Fi.lters having rather narrow transmission curves such as those used for uvby photometry must be carefully matched in effective wavelength to assure a straightforward transformation from the instrumental to the standard system. It may be seen from Figure 9 that most of the fillers satisfy this requirement with the possible exception of the v-filter. For this reason it is desirable to reduce the photometric data using the following relations, which include a color term in the transformations to compensate for the differences in filter sets:

$$
\begin{aligned}
V & =A+y^{\prime}-B \cdot(b-y) \\
(b-y) & =C+D \cdot(b-y)^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 9. Four-Color Filter Transmission Curves - - Solidd lines represent the Steward Observatory No. 1 set of uvby fil.ters; broken lines, the Kitt Peak National Observatory No. 1 set.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{m}_{1}=\mathrm{E}+\mathrm{F} \cdot \mathrm{~m}^{\prime}+\mathrm{J} \cdot(\mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{y}) \\
& \mathrm{c}_{1}=\mathrm{G}+\mathrm{H} \cdot \mathrm{c}^{\prime}+\mathrm{I} \cdot(\mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{y})
\end{aligned}
$$

$(b-y)^{\prime}, m^{\prime}, c^{\prime}$, and $y^{\prime}$ are the instrumental indices already corrected for atmospheric extinction.

In correcting the instrumental magnitudes for extinction, only the color-independent extinction coefficients are used, once again, because of the narrow bandpass of the filters. Individual coefficients computed on the basis of observing two or more stars through a large air mass are listed in Table 1 . These coefficients are defined such that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
y & =y^{\prime \prime}-k \cdot x \\
(b-y)^{\prime} & =(b-y){ }^{\prime \prime}-k_{1} \cdot x \\
m^{\prime} & =m^{\prime \prime}-k_{2} \cdot x \\
c^{\prime} & =c^{\prime \prime}-k_{3} \cdot x
\end{aligned}
$$

where $X$ is the air mass at the time of observation, and the double primed ( 1 ) quantities are the raw instrumental magnitudes. The average values of each of these coefficients did not differ appreciably from the mean values calculated for C. T. I. O. from a large number of well determined individual coerricients: $\overline{\mathrm{k}}=0.179, \overline{\mathrm{k}}_{1}=0.068$, $\overline{\mathrm{k}}_{2}=0.053$, and $\overline{\mathrm{k}}_{3}=0.187$ (Barnes, 1970). These means were adopted during the data reduction.

Table 1. Four-Color Extinction Coefficients

| Night |  | $k$ | $k_{1}$ | $k_{2}$ | $k_{3}$ | $\mathrm{Wt}$. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| May | 2 | 0.230 | 0.051 | 0.063 | 0.184 | $1 / 2$ |
| 6 | 0.190 | 0.070 | 0.035 | 0.198 | 1 |  |
| 20 | 0.192 | 0.036 | 0.066 | 0.200 | 1 |  |
| 22 | 0.215 | 0.043 | 0.066 | 0.193 | $1 / 2$ |  |
| 29 | 0.121 | 0.055 | 0.036 | 0.183 | 1 |  |
| June 1 | 0.163 | 0.041 | 0.044 | 0.194 | $1 / 2$ |  |

Standard stars from the list of Crawford and Barnes (1970c) were observed each night, and the published values of the $(b-y)-, m_{1}-$, and $c_{1}$-indices allowed transformation from the instrumental to the standard system. After transforming each night's observations separately, it was obvious that the slopes in the transformation equations were constant, so averages were found for each coefficient. Some nights were assigned half weight according to the scatter of residuals in the standard star observations. The weighted averages and their standard deviations are: $B=-0.043 \pm 0.039, D=1.004 \pm 0.012, F=1.144 \pm 0.037$, $\mathrm{J}=0.087 \pm 0.018, \mathrm{H}=0.948 \pm 0.009$, and $\mathrm{I}=-0.212 \pm$ 0.01.6. The final data reductions were done using these mean coefficients, and the reduction program determined
only the zero points for each transformation. Table 2 shows the root mean square errors determined from the standard star observations for each night. The nights of May 21 and May 24 were reduced in two parts because the transformation in the $y$-magnitude changed during the night.

An independent check on the consistency of the photometry is obtained by comparing the data to observations of eight of the progran stars by Crawford and his associates. Mean differences and standard deviations in the $(b-y)-, m_{1}-$, and $c_{1}$-indices are, respectively, $+0.002 \pm$ 0.009 (s.d.) , $-0.003 \pm 0^{\mathrm{m}} .017$, and $+0.003 \pm 0.018$. No corrections have been applied to the photometric indices determined in this program.

A similar comparison was made of the V-magnitudes on the Johnson-Morgan system, which was calculated using the above transformations from the $y$-magnitude to those found from UBV photometry of the same stars by Hardie and Crawford (1961), Guttierrez-Moreno and Moreno (1968), and Garrison (1967). In this case the mean differences between their photometry and mine are quite systematic, with an average difference of $-0.03 \pm 0.01$ (s.d.) . This correction was applied to all of the Tololo observations, since the above mentioned authors did a more thorough analysis of the atmospheric extinction and the zero pint of the $V$ magnitude transformation than was possible in this uvby program.

Table 2. R.M.S. Errors from uvby Reductions

| Night |  | V | ( $\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{y}$ ) | $\mathrm{m}_{1}$ | ${ }^{c}{ }_{1}$ | n |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| May | 2 | $0 \cdot 0.031$ | 0.0008 | 0.0101 | 0.0 .016 | 16 |
|  | 3 | 0.029 | 0.008 | 0.01 .2 | 0.013 | 27 |
|  | 4 | 0.027 | 0.007 | 0.01 .2 | 0.013 | 26 |
|  | 5 | 0.033 | 0.008 | 0.010 | 0.013 | 20 |
|  | 6 | 0.024 | 0.006 | 0.012 | 0.014 | 20 |
|  | 20 | 0.031 | 0.011 | 0.009 | 0.012 | 21 |
|  | 21.1 | 0.026 | 0.009 | 0.015 | 0.014 | 10 |
|  | 21.2 | 0.023 | 0.007 | 0.01 .1 | 0.015 | 12 |
|  | 22 | 0.037 | 0.0 .14 | 0.014 | 0.017 | 2.1 |
|  | 23 | 0.048 | 0.01 .0 | 0.013 | 0.014 | 8 |
|  | 24.1 | 0.019 | 0.004 | 0.012 | 0.012 | 7 |
|  | 24.2 | 0.025 | 0.017 | 0.024 | 0.008 | 10 |
|  | 25 | 0.028 | 0.010 | 0.012 | 0.012 | 12 |
|  | 29 | 0.024 | 0.015 | 0.009 | 0.011 | 13 |
|  | 30 | 0.026 | 0.013 | 0.020 | 0.013 | 12 |
| June | 1 | 0.031 | 0.01 .5 | 0.012 | 0.013 | 16 |

In addition to the Tololo data described above, additional observations of a $\Gamma$ ew stars were obtained on June 26 and June 27 , 1969 using the K. P. N. O. No. 2 36inch telescope with the K. P. N. O. No. 1 set of uvby filters. Transformation of the $(b-y)-, m_{1}{ }^{-}$, and $c_{1}$ indices was straightforward; however, four stars in common with the lists of Hardie and Crawford and the Morenos showed a systematic difference of +0.08 in the $V$-magnitude, probably caused by the fact that these stars were observed at low altitude and a mean extinction coefficient was used to reduce the observations. This correction was applied to each observation made on those two nights.

The final averaged data are listed in Table 3, along with the $H \beta$ photometry described below.

## H $\beta$ Plotometry Reductions

During May 1968 H $\beta$ photometric data were obtained on nine nights for the Scorpio-Centaurus program stars using the C. T. I. O. 16-inch telescopes and filters Nos. 217 and 222 belonging to that observatory. The data were recorded on a strip chart recorder using a series of 10 second integrations with a charge integrator having $2^{m} 5$ and 0.5 gain steps. The integrator gains were calibrated each night. The results were quite consistent, and during the data reductions mean gain calibrations were used for each integrator. One half-night (May 17.1) of observations was

Table 3. Photometry of Stars in Scorpius and Centaurus

| HD No. | V | ( $\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{y}$ ) | $\mathrm{m}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{c}_{1}$ | n | $\beta$ | n |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 118060 | 8.86 | 0.096 | 0.202 | 0.991 | 3 | 2.861 | 3 |
| 118335 | 7.62 | . 01.5 | . 166 | 1.002 | 3 | 2.921 | 3 |
| 119103 | 7.1 .1 | -. 018 | . 109 | . 941 | 3 | 2.774 | 3 |
| 119221 | 7.24 | . 086 | . 192 | . 924 | 3 | 2.879 | 2 |
| 119268 | 8.57 | . 040 | . 1.62 | 1.042 | 2-1/2 | 2.888 | 3 |
| 119361 | 5.94 | -. 024 | . 101 | . 760 | 2 | 2.711 | 3 |
| 119430 | 7.07 | . 003 | .113 | 1.098 | 3 | 2.830 | 2-1/2 |
| 119674 | 9.00 | . 165 | . 257 | . 870 | 3 | 2.823 | 3 |
| 1.20487 | 8.96 | . 144 | .197 | . 81.2 | 3 | 2.819 | 3 |
| 120959 | 8.70 | . 075 | . 172 | 1.113 | 3 | 2.848 | 3 |
| 120960 | 7.81 | . 207 | . 1.70 | . 687 | 3 | 2.745 | 3 |
| 121057 | 7.15 | . 091 | . 198 | 1.002 | 3 | 2.869 | 3 |
| 121226 | 7.41 | . 050 | .164 | 1.071 | 3 | 2.893 | 3 |
| 121399 | 7.16 | .269 | .1.1. 2 | . 943 | 3 | 2.741 | 2-1/2 |
| 121528 | 9.15 | . 218 | .168 | . 815 | 3 | 2.747 | 3 |
| 121701 | 8.57 | . 084 | . 220 | . 931 | 3 | 2.875 | 3 |
| 1221.09 | 8.00 | . 028 | . 139 | 1.109 | 3 | 2.870 | 3 |
| 122664 | 8.35 | . 129 | . 170 | . 91.6 | 3 | 2.833 | 3 |
| 122705 | 7.62 | . 056 | . 204 | . 955 | 3 | 2.895 | 3 |
| 122756 | 8.64 | . 252 | . 137 | . 551 | 3 | 2.711 | 3 |
| $122757^{\text {a }}$ | 8.56 | .084 | . 1.65 | 1.010 | 3 | 2.885 | 3 |
| 123021. | 8.32 | . 2150 | .177 | . 779 : | 3 | 2.786 | 3 |
| 123291 | 8.23 | . 024 | . 1.27 | . 949 | 2-1/2 | 2.836 | 3 |
| 123344 | 7.95 | . 01.7 | . 154 | . 986 | 3 | 2.880 | 3 |
| 123431 | 8.72 | . 003 | . 1.50 | 1.014 | 3 | 2.894 | 3 |
| $123635^{\circ}$ | 7.70 | . 026 | . 086 | . 616 | 3 | 2.714 | 3 |
| 123664 | 7.63 | .067 | . 139 | 1.200 | 3 | 2.876 | 3 |
| 124228 | 7.85 | . 104 | . 162 | 1.059 | 3 | 2.854 | 3 |
| 124254 | 7.4 .1 | . 11.9 | . 187 | . 895 | 3 | 2.818 | 3 |
| 124504 | 8.09 | .]. 33 | .194 | . 905 | 3 | 2.844 | 3 |
| 124540 | 9.00 | . 084 | . 171 | 1.1.35 | 3 | 2.895 | 3 |
| 125253 | 7.08 | . 038 | .193 | 1.021 | 3 | 2.921 | 3 |
| 125509 | 7.69 | -. 003 | . 130 | . 995 | 3 | 2.859 | 3 |
| 12554.1 | 8.84 | . 186 | .193 | . 680 | 3 | 2.746 | 3 |
| 12571.8 | 9.23 | . 124 | . 177 | . 995 | 3 | 2.863 | 3 |
| 125937 | 8.08 | . 143 | . 178 | . 881. | 3 | 2.810 | 3 |
| 126062 | 7.44 | .024 | . 187 | . 980 | 3 | 2.918 | 3 |
| 126110 | 7.90 | . 025 | . 125 | . 951 | 3 | 2.855 | 3 |
| 126135 | 6.98 | -. 019 | . 119 | . 725 | 2 | 2.788 | 3 |
| 126.194 | 6.69 | . 063 | .170 | . 885 | 2 | 2.873 | 3 |
| 126476 | 8.06 | . 089 | .207 | . 982 | 3 | 2.860 | 3 |
| 126561 | 7.23 | -. 008 | . 172 | .964 | 3 | 2.914 | 3 |
| 127716 | 6.61 | .043 | . 14.1 | 1.283 | 3 | 2.843 | 3 |
| 127717 | 9.03 | . 192 | . 1.84 | . 741 | 3 | 2.742 | 3 |
| 127778 | 9.84 | . 277 | . 3.72 | . 678 | 3 | 2.700 | 2 |

Table 3.-- Continued $\frac{\text { Centaurus }}{\text { Cometry of Stars in Scorpius and }}$

| HD No. | V | ( $10-y$ ) | ${ }^{\mathrm{m}} 1$ | $\mathrm{c}_{1}$ | n | $\beta$ | n |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 127879 | $7{ }^{1 \mathrm{ml}} 83$ | $0 \cdot 1143$ | $0 \cdot 1190$ | 0.828 | 2-1. /2 | $2 \cdot \mathrm{ml2}$ | 3 |
| 1.28066 | 8.85 | . 223 | . 142 | . 659 | 3 | 2.71 .6 | 3 |
| 128224 | 8.81 | . 054 | . 189 | 1.119 | 3 | 2.886 | 3 |
| 12834.4 | 6.63 | . 012 | . 104 | . 755 | 3 | 2.747 | 3 |
| 128532 | 6.78 | . 083 | . 194 | 1.018 | 3 | 2.872 | 3 |
| 128648 | 8.82: | . 297 | . 1.59 | . 502 | 3 | 2.665 | 3 |
| 128788 | 8.27 | . 109 | . 190 | . 898 | 3 | 2.860 | 3 |
| 128819 | 6.64 | -. 025 | . 1.24 | . 756 | 2 | 2.800 | 3 |
| 128855 | 7.35 | . 041 | . 1.44 | 1.182 | 3 | 2.844 | 3 |
| 129791 | 6.89 | . 033 | . 140 | . 972 | 3 | 2.864 | 3 |
| 130133 | 8.44 | . 053 | . 194 | 1.044 | 3 | 2.884 | 3 |
| 130163 | 6.92 | . 007 | . 158 | 1. .060 | 3 | 2.879 | 3 |
| 130388 | 7.61 | . 108 | . 174 | . 994 | 3 | 2.863 | 3 |
| 131.399 | 7.04 | . 047 | . 1.97 | . 967 | 3 | 2.925 | 3 |
| 131460 | 8.95 | . 183 | . 202 | . 813 | 3 | 2.797 | 3 |
| $131461{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 7.23 | . 031 | . 1.85 | . 964 | 3 |  |  |
| 131503 | 7.99 | .133 | . 188 | -971 | 3 | 2.830 | 3 |
| 131.518 | 9.1 .2 | .164 | . 199 | . 853 | 3 | 2.795 | 3 |
| 131752 | 6.37 | .031 | . 156 | . 966 | 3 | 2.874 | 3 |
| 131.777 | 8.13 | .039 | . 174 | 1. 0.01 .4 | 3 | 2.896 | 3 |
| 131901 | 7.20 | . 05.1 | . 169 | 1.053 | 3 | 2.876 | 3 |
| $132080{ }^{\text {d }}$ | 9.67 | . 179 | .184 | . 846 | 3 | 2.801 | 4 |
| 1.32094 | 7.25 | -. 01.0 | .1. 33 | -917 | 3 | 2.857 | 3 |
| 132761 | 7.72 | . 1.36 | . 180 | . 894 | 3 | 2.835 | 3 |
| 13285.1 | 5.82 | . 097 | . 183 | 1.058 | 3 | 2.841 | 3 |
| 133574 | 8.69 | . 196 | . 181. | . 734 | 3 | 2.762 | 3 |
| 1337.16 | 7.17 | . 031 | . 172 | 1.090 | 3 | 2.892 | 3 |
| 133750 | 7.18 | . 022 | . 172 | 1. 0332 | 3 | 2.905 | 3 |
| 133954 | 8.12 | . 073 | . 217 | . 924 | 2 | 2.891 | 2 |
| 133991 | 9.20 | . 400 | . 192 | . 749 : | 2 | 2.700 | 2 |
| 134055 | 7.23 | . 139 | . 213 | . 796 | 2 | 2.810 | 2 |
| 134518 | 9.25 | . 195 | . .87 | . 870 | 3 | 2.803 | 2-1/2 |
| 134685 | 7.66 | . 088 | . 146 | L. 002 | 3 | 2.885 | 2-1/2 |
| 134930 | $7 \cdot 36$ | . 084 | . 212 | . 923 | 1 |  |  |
| 134950 | 8.32 | . 138 | . 192 | 1.007 | 3 | 2.874 | 3 |
| 134990 | 7.06 | . 054 | . 180 | 1.025 | 3 | 2.863 | 3 |
| 135454 | 6.75. | -. 019 | . 142 | . 952 | 3 | 2.854 | 2-1/2 |
| $135815^{\text {e }}$ | 9.31 | . 129 | .167 | 1.053 | 3 | 2.844 | 2-1/2 |
| 135877 | 8.73 | .105 | . 220 | . 993 | 2 | 2.795 | 2 |
| 136013 | 7.74 | . 055 | . 147 | 1.007 | 3 | 2.927 | 3 |
| 136164 | 7.78 | . 092 | .193 | . 913 | 2 | 2.838 | 2 |
| 136334 | 6.19 | . 033 | .166 | 1.056 | 3 | 2.892 | 2-1/2 |
| 136482 | 6.65 | -. 029 | . 12.1 | . 823 | 3 | 2.805 | 2-1/2 |
| 136483 | 8.99 | . 280 | . 143 | . 793 : | 2 | 2.635 | 2 |

Table 3-- Continued $\frac{\text { Contaurus }}{\text { Cotometry of } S t a r s}$ in Scorpius and

| HD No. | V | (b-y) | $\mathrm{m}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{c}_{1}$ | n | $\beta$ | n |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 136961 | 6.75 | 0.023 | 0.204 | 1.11038 | 2-1/2 | $2 \cdot 908$ | 3 |
| 137119 | 7.62 | . 039 | . 214 | .963 | 2 | 2.908 | 1 |
| 137169 | 8.96 | . 076 | . 182 | 1.112 | 2 | 2.874 | 1. |
| 137193 | 7.38 | -.014 | . 204 | . 721 | 3 | 2.783 | 3 |
| 137432 | 5.49 | -. 072 | . 1.15 | . 389 | 1 | 2.707 | 1 |
| 137499 | 9.47 | . 297 | . 153 | . 405 | 3 | 2.656 | 3 |
| 137785 | 7.62 | . 105 | . 184 | . 893 | 2 | 2.878 | 1 |
| 137957 | 9.44 | . 038 | .143 | 1.115 | 2 | 2.878 | 1 |
| 138138 | 6.85 | . 080 | . 212 | .887 | 2 | 2.863 | 2 |
| 138285 | 7.50 | . 007 | . 174 | . 966 | 3 | 2.925 | 3 |
| 138564 | 6.38 | -. 016 | . 150 | . 925 | 3 | 2.892 | 3 |
| 138940 | 7.63 | -.01. 4 | . 130 | .914 | 3 | 2.845 | 2 |
| 139048 | 9.10 | . 1.91 | . 201 | . 773 | 3 | 2.759 | 2 |
| 139094 | 7.38 | . 077 | . 099 | . 600 | 2 | 2.74 .7 | 2 |
| 1391.60 | 6.19 | . 0.14 | . 11.16 | . 464 | 3 |  |  |
| 139233 | 6.60 | -. 010 | . 137 | . 846 | 3 | 2.841 | 3 |
| 139486 | 7.63 | . 031. | . 1.33 | . 899 | 3 |  |  |
| 139524 | 8.07 | . 030 | . 091 | . 870 | 3 | 2.766 | 3 |
| 139883 | 8.37 | . 248 | . 1.53 | . 517 | 3 | 2.686 | 3 |
| 140475 | 7.72 | . 04.1 | . 205 | . 960 | 3 | 2.916 | 3 |
| 140817 | 6.83 | . 015 | . 153 | . 838 | 2 | 2.858 | 3 |
| 140958 | 8.06 | .113 | .197 | . 856 | 3 | 2.819 | 3 |
| 141404 | 7.73 | . 107 | . 096 | 1.097 | 3 |  |  |
| 14151.8 | 8.56 | .257 | .17.1 | . 475 | 3 | 2.688 | 3 |
| $14.177^{4}$ | 7.72 | . 076 | . 100 | .881 | 3 |  |  |
| 141779 | 8.10 | .103 | . 203 | . 855 | 3 | 2.851 | 3 |
| 141905 | 8.31 | .137 | .151. | 1.093 | 3 | 2.903 | 3 |
| 141939 | 8.24 | . 11.17 | . 197 | . 984 | 3 | 2.905 | 3 |
| 142096 | 5.05 | .019 | . 093 | . 293 | 3 |  |  |
| 142097 | 8.39 | . 238 | .169 | . 884 | 3 | 2.851 | 3 |
| 142165 | 5.40 | . 020 | . 104 | .496 | 3 |  |  |
| 142184 | 5.43 | . 016 | . 087 | . 281 | 3 |  |  |
| 142250 | 6.18 | -. 0222 | .116 | . 502 | 3 |  |  |
| 142301 | 5.90 | -. 017 | . 118 | . 301 | 3 |  |  |
| 142315 | 6.89 | . 04.7 | . 104 | . 746 | 3 |  |  |
| 142378 | 5.96 | . 020 | . 094 | . 351 | 3 |  |  |
| 142431 | 7.05 | . 051 | . 187 | 1.015 | 3 | 2.881 | 3 |
| 142990 | 5.4 .4 | -. 030 | . 108 | . 251. | 3 |  |  |
| 143567 | 7.20 | . 0664 | .131. | . 826 | 3 |  |  |
| 143600 | 7.34 | . 076 | . 112 | . 887 | 3 |  |  |
| 143692 | 7.95 | . 115 | .178 | 1.010 | 3 | 2.868 | 3 |
| 141434 | 5.92 | -. 027 | . 121 | . 353 | 3 |  |  |
| 144844 | 5.87 | . 026 | . 120 | . 594 | 3 |  |  |
| 145353 | 6.95 | .107 | . 097 | . 864 | 3 |  |  |

Table 3.--Continued Photometry of Stars in Scorpius and

obtained using a D.C. amplifier, also calibrated in $2^{m} \cdot 5$ and $0^{\mathrm{m}} 5$ gain steps.

Observations were made in the manner described by Crawford and Mander (1966). Average deflections of startsky for each filter were determined visually using a measuring engine, and the sky deflections were automatically subtracted out. The results were punched onto computer cards and an initial reduction of the data was made using the $K . P . N . O . H \beta$ reduction program on that observatory's CDC 6400 Computer. A number of photoelectric $H \beta$ standard stars from the list of Crawford and Mander (1966) were observed each ni.ght, and the instrumental $\beta^{\prime \prime}$ values for these stars were used to transform the observations to the standard system. In doing this, however, it is often preferable to first determine the natural $\beta$ ' system of the filter set, and to calculate the slope of the transformation from the $\beta^{\prime}$ to the $\beta$ system. In this procedure $B-t y p e$ stars are treated separately from A- and F-type stars, referred to hereinafter us AF stars.

The procedure consists of picking one night that was of good quality and contained observations of a large Iist of standard stars. The night of May 18 was selected as the starting point of the $\beta^{\prime}$ system. Individual
observations on both May 17.2 and May 19 were compared to the May 18 observations and average residuals wexe obtained for each night and applied in the form of a night
correction to each observation. Then a new $\beta^{\prime}$ was found for each star by averaging the corrected $\beta$ "s of the May 17.2 and 19 with the $\beta$ " of May 1.8. This gave a second approximation to the $\beta^{\prime}$ system. This procedure was repeated for the rest of the nights by finding individual residuals of each observation with the current $\beta^{\prime}$ adopted for each star, night corrections were calculated and applied, and a new average $\beta^{\prime}$ was calculated by averaging together all of the nights. One final round of calculations was then made for all nights, and the resulting $\beta^{\prime}$ values, the number of nights observed, and the standard $\beta$ values are given in Table 4. The transformations determined by least squares solutions for the $A F$ stars and the B stars are:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { AF stars: } \quad \beta_{\mathrm{AF}}=0.9809 \beta^{\prime}-0.0193(\text { rms error } \pm 0.0041) \\
\mathrm{B} \text { stars: } & \beta_{\mathrm{B}}=0.9543 \beta^{\prime}+0.0563(\text { rms error } \pm 0.0056)
\end{array}
$$

The final data reductions were done using only the slopes of these relations. The reduction program calculated the zero points separately for each night. The final rms errors derived for each night's standard star obsexvations are given in Table 5, along with $n$, the number of standards observed.

Twenty-one stars in these observing lists having two or more observations also have been observed at least twice by D. L. Crawford and his associates during observing

Table 4. $H \beta$ Standard Stars

| B Stars |  |  |  | AF Stars |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HR | $\beta$ | $\beta^{\prime}$ | n | HR | $\beta$ | $\beta^{\prime}$ | n |
| 33.4 | 2.897 | $2 \cdot 985$ | 4 | 4405 | $2 \cdot 821$ | $2 \cdot 9000$ | 7 |
| 34.10 | 2.851 | 2.936 | 5 | 4540 | 2.628 | 2.700 | 7 |
| 3454 | 2.653 | 2.718 | 5. | 5270 | 2.540 | 2.605 | 8 |
| 4119 | 2.730 | 2.798 | 7 | 5531 | 2.863 | 2.940 | 1 |
| 4133 | 2. 555 | 2.619 | 7 | 5997 | 2.579 | 2.6 .53 | 9 |
| 55.1 I | 2.846 | 2.920 | 9 | 6355 | 2.878 | 2.956 | 7 |
| 5993 | 2.621 | 2.686 | 9 | 7069 | 2.903 | 2.973 | 2 |
| 6141 | 2.662 | 2.736 | 7 | 7377 | 2.739 | 2.809 | 8 |
| 6629 | 2.908 | 2.979 | 7 |  |  |  |  |
| 6714 | 2.590 | 2.653 | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| 7446 | 2.565 | 2.634 | 5 |  |  |  |  |
| 7447 | 2.711 | 2.777 | 4 |  |  |  |  |

Table 5. RMS Errors from $H \beta$ Reductions

| Night |  | AF Stars | n | B Stars | n |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| May | 8 | 0.0073 | 7 | 0.0057 | 16 |
|  | 9 | 0.0097 | 7 | 0.0052 | 15 |
|  | 15 | 0.0082 | 7 | 0.0078 | 21 |
|  | 16 | 0.0075 | 6 | 0.0079 | 4 |
|  | 17.1 | 0.0036 | 2 | 0.0142 | 5 |
|  | 17.2 | 0.0042 | 8 | 0.0073 | 18 |
|  | 18 | 0.0065 | 13 | 0.0073 | 16 |
|  | 19 | 0.0042 | 10 | 0.0068 | 11 |
|  | 29 | 0.0107 | 7 | 0.0036 | 8 |
| June | 1 | 0.0040 | 7 | 0.0083 | . 11 |

runs at C. T. I. O. The average difference between the two sets of observations was $-0^{\mathrm{m}} \cdot 003 \pm 0^{\mathrm{m}} \cdot \mathrm{O14}$ (s.d.). It therefore may be concluded that the $H \beta$ observations obtained in this program are on the standard system of Crawford and Mander (1966) and may be combined with the additional data obtained by Crawford and his associates without loss of accuracy.

The final averaged data are listed in Table 3, above, and Table 6, along with the uvby photometry.

## Radial Velocity Summary

The plate material consisted of measurable spectra of four standard velocity..stars and 44 measurable spectra of 26 program stars (mostly $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{pg}}=9$ and fainter), obtained in May 1968 with the C. T. I. O. 60-inch spectrograph at $78 \mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{mm}$. Eleven measurable spectra of four standard velocity stars and 135 measurable spectra of 54 program stars (mostly brighter than $m_{p g}=9$ ) were obtained at $62 \mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{mm}$ with the C. T. I. O. 36 -inch spectrograph in early June 1968. All measured spectra had iron-arc comparison lines and were measured on the Grant Comparator Engine of the K. P. N. O. Laboratory wavelengths were used to reduce the measurements using N. B. Sanwal's radial velocity program on the K. P. N. O. CDC 6400 computer. This program fits a polynomial equation to the observed positions of the comparison lines, giving a prediction of the observed

Table 6. Stars Having Only $\beta$ Observations

| HD | $\beta$ | n |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 120307 | $2 \cdot 631$ | 3 |
| 120324 | 2.473 | 3 |
| 120908 | 2.685 | 3 |
| 120955 | 2.672 | 3 |
| 121743 | 2.641 | 3 |
| 125238 | 2.655 | 3 |
| 132955 | 2.704 | 2 |
| 133937 | 2.739 | 2 |
| 135814 | 2.766 | 2 |
| 136504 | 2.658 | 2 |
| 140008 | 2.733 | 2 |
| 140543 | 2.583 | 2 |
| 140602 | 2.882 | 2 |
| 143118 AB | 2.622 | 3 |
| 143699 | 2.709 | 2 |
| 144294 | 2.674 | 2 |
| 147890 | 2.755 | 3 |
| 148321. | 2.880 | 3 |
| 148703 | 2.641 | 2 |
| 151346 | 2.706 | 3 |

wavelength as a function of the linear distance from some reference point. The maximum order of the polynomial depends on the size of the scatter on the data. Forward and reverse measurements are combined by taking weighted mean velocities for all stellar lines which were measured in both directions.

Both spectrographs apparently had mechanical problems during the observing period. The velocities obtained from the $60-i n c h$ spectrograph of the four standard velocity stars show a great deal of scatter and imply that the spectra were of poor quality. The velocities measured from the 36 -inch spectra show a reasonably small scatter; however, too few plates were taken of too few standard stars to allow a proper determination of the external probable errors and the systematic errors. It seems likely, though, that any systematic error calculated from these data lies within the scatter in velocities.

As a check on the scatter in the data the range in velocities observed for cach program star was determined and was used to construct a histogram (Figure lo) of the number of stars with velocity ranges $\left(\Delta V_{r}=V_{\max }-V_{\text {minn }}\right)$ between $0-9,10-19, \ldots \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec}$. It should be noted that most of the stars observed with the 60-inch only had two spectra per star, whereas many of the stars observed with the 36 -inch had three or four spectra, hence the first histogram, which has a larger statistical sample, is


Figure 10. Frequencies of Radial Velocity Ranges, $\left(V_{\text {max }}-V_{\text {min }}\right)$
actually biased towards showing larger $\Delta V_{r}{ }^{\prime}$ 's than the second. The interpretation of the first histogram is that there are a large number of constant velocity stars in the data, and that the dispersion in their velocities is less than $10 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec}$. The second histogram shows no such low velocity range peak, but there may be a peak at around $20 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec}$. If real, this would imply that the scatter of the measured velocities is much larger than it should be at this dispersion, and therefore that the velocities should not be trusted to indicate either spectroscopic binaries or single (constant velocity) stars. The very discrepant velocities obtained for the velocity standards $\alpha$ Hya and $\eta$ Leo (see Table 7) would in themselves be sufficient to imply that the velocities obtained with the $60-i n c h$ are unreliable.

It is suggested, therefore, that (1) the 60-inch spectra be discarded from any further analysis, and (2) the spectra from the 36 -inch be analyzed using $10-15 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec}$ as an acceptable variation for a "constant" velocity star-keeping in mind that these spectra were all taken within a five day period. The final data are listed in Table 8.

Table 7. Radial Velocity Observations of Standard Velocity Stars

| Name | $\begin{gathered} \text { Date } \\ \text { J.D. } \\ 2439980+ \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Vel} \\ (\mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec}) \end{gathered}$ | N | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{p} \cdot \mathrm{e} \\ (\mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec}) \end{gathered}$ | Tele | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{V}_{(\mathrm{rm} / \mathrm{sec})}(\text { publ) }) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\alpha$ Hya | 8.471. | +77.3 | 16 | 2.4 | 60 in | $-4.4$ |
| $\eta$ Leo | 8.480 | +217.4 | 10 | 2.8 | 60 | +2.2 |
|  | 32.458 | +7.2 | 9 | 0.8 | 36 |  |
| $\beta \mathrm{Vrr}$ | 8.490 | +21.3 | 12 | 2.1 | 60 | +5.0 |
|  | 30.473 | +1.9 | 9 | 2.0 | 36 |  |
|  | 30.477 | $+5.4$ | 12 | 1.5 | 36 |  |
| $\beta \mathrm{Crv}$ | 10.609 | +12.9 | 16 | 1.8 | 60 | $-7 \cdot 7$ |
|  | 30.488 | -9.5 | 16 | 1.1 | 36 |  |
|  | 30.568 | -4.7 | 17 | 1.4 | 36 |  |
|  | 31.483 | +0. 3 | 11 | 1.9 | 36 |  |
|  | 32.580 | -8.2 | 15 | 1.4 | 36 |  |
| $\alpha \cdot \mathrm{Tr} A$ | 29.894 | +19.9 | 10 | 1.6 | 36 | $-3.7$ |
|  | 30.897 | -2.0 | 16 | 0.8 | 36 |  |
|  | 31.810 | +3.4 | 13 | 1.6 | 36 |  |
|  | 31.902 | -1.8 | 12 | 1.5 | 36 |  |

Table 8. Radial Velocity Observations of Stars in Scorpius and Centaurus

| HD No. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Date } \\ \text { J.D. } \\ 2440000+ \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Vel} \\ (\mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} N \\ (\text { lines }) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{p} \cdot \mathrm{e} \\ & (\mathrm{~km} / \\ & \mathrm{sec}) \end{aligned}$ | Sp |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 118335 | 9.560 | -8.0 | 10 | 2.6 |  |
|  | 10.514 | -8.2 | 9 | 4.6 |  |
|  | 12.473 | -5.3 | 9 | $3 \cdot 3$ |  |
|  |  | -7.2 (3) |  |  | Al III: |
| 119103 | 9.545 | $+13.4$ | 8 | 2.6 |  |
|  | 10.528 | -24.3 | 7 | 1.6 |  |
|  | 12.484 | $\begin{aligned} & -18.4 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 9 | 3.7 |  |
|  |  | var? (3) |  |  | B8: . |
| $119361^{\text {a }}$ | 11.490 | $-23 \cdot 3$ | 9 | 3.6 | B7 V |
| 119430 | 9.514 | +3.2 | 10 | 3.4 |  |
|  | 9.51 .9 | -0.6 | 9 | 2.2 |  |
|  | 11.497 | $+15.0$ | 10 | 2.5 |  |
|  | 1.2.492 | +6.1 | 9 | 1.5 |  |
|  |  | +5.9 (4) |  |  | AO III: |
| 120959 | 9.573 | $+22.4$ | 9 | 1.0 |  |
|  | 12.508 | $+19.6:$ | 8 | 5.7 |  |
|  |  | +21.0 (1-1/2) |  |  | A 3 V |
| $121399^{\text {b }}$ | 9.587 | -14.9 | 11. | 3.2 |  |
|  | 11.504 | +3.9: | 10 | 5.0 |  |
|  | 12.520 | -10.7 | 10 | $3 \cdot 3$ |  |
|  |  | -9.4: $(2-1 / 2)$ |  |  | Composite |
| 121701 | 9.606 | -3.8 | 9 | 3.4 | A5 IV: |
| 122109 | 9.620 | -12.0 | 10 | 2.0 |  |
|  | 10.544 | -12.2 | 10 | 3.6 |  |
|  | 12.620 | $-7.2$ | 10 | 3.9 |  |
|  |  | -9.3(3) |  |  | A2 V |
| 122705 | 9.631 | $+7.5$ | 10 | 2.3 |  |
|  | 10.555 | -1.5: | 9 | 5.9 |  |
| - | 12.631. | $+6.5:$ | 9 | 5.0 |  |
|  |  | $+5.0(2)$ |  |  | A 4 V |
| 122756 | 9.645 | -1.0 | 8 | 1.3 | FO: |

Table 8:- Continued Radial Velocity Observations of Stars in Scorpius and Centaurus

| HD No. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Date } \\ \text { J.D. } \\ 2440000+ \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Vel} \\ (\mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N} \\ (\mathrm{lines}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { p.e. } \\ & (\mathrm{lkm} / \\ & \mathrm{sec}) \end{aligned}$ | Sp |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 122757 | 9.672 | -25.5 | 7 | 4.6 |  |
|  | 1.2 .536 | -20.0 | 9 | 4.8 |  |
|  |  | -22.7 (2) |  |  | A4: V |
| 12329.1 | 9.744 | +3.2: | 7 | $7 \cdot 7$ |  |
|  | 12.553 | -30.8 | 10 | 2.1 |  |
|  | 12.643 | -21.4 | 10 | 2.6 |  |
|  |  | -20.2 (2-1/2) |  |  | AO IV |
| 123344 | 9.709 | +25.9 | 10 | 1.8 |  |
|  | 10.577 | +50.8 | 9 | 2.4 |  |
|  | 12.656 | -4.6 | 10 | 3.7 |  |
|  |  | var? (3) |  |  | AO III: |
| 123635 | 9.687 | $+7.0$ | 10 | 2.4 |  |
|  | 10.589 | $+5.0$ | 9 | 1.1 |  |
|  | 12.668 | -2.0 | 9 | 2.1 |  |
|  |  | +3.3(3) |  |  | B8 III: |
| 123664 | 9.700 | -5.1 | 10 | 4.1 |  |
|  | 10.598 | -9.0 | 10 | 2.8 |  |
|  | 12.679 | -10.2 | 10 | 4.1 |  |
|  |  | -8.1 (3) |  |  | A2 IV: |
| 125509 | 10.607 | -7.0 | 10 | 3.4 |  |
|  | 1.2 .668 | -1.7 | 9 | 4.4 |  |
|  |  | $-4.3$ |  |  | B9.5 III: |
| 126110 | 9.768 | -4.5 | 10 | 2.6 |  |
|  | 10.624 | -6.0: | 10 | 6.3 |  |
|  | 12.720 | $+1.2$ | 9 | 4.7 |  |
|  |  | -2.5 (2-1/2) |  |  | B9 IV |
| 126135 | 9.78 .1 | +16.0: | 9 | 5.3 |  |
|  | 10.635 | +29.9: | 5 | 2.6 |  |
|  | 12.569 | +6.3 | 8 | 3.0 |  |
| - | 12.757 | $+24.9$ | 9 | 2.7 |  |
|  |  | +18.1 (3) |  |  | B8 V |

Table 8.-- Continued Radial Velocity Observations of Stars

| HD No. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Date } \\ \text { J.D. } \\ 2440000+ \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Vel } \\ (\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{sec}) \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{N}{(\text { ines })}$ | p.e. (km/ | Sp |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 126194 | 9.786 | +75.9: | 7 | 5.3 |  |
|  | 1.1. 570 | -7.1 | 10 | 3.2 |  |
|  | 12.586 | -65.7 | 10 | 3.4 |  |
|  |  | var? (2-1/2) |  |  | A2 V |
| 12771.6 | 10.655 | -27.9 | 9 | 3.0 |  |
|  | 11.579 | -32.8 | 9 | 1.3 |  |
|  | 12.564 | -33.8 | 9 | 2.6 |  |
|  | 12.614 | -39.9 | 9 | 1.8 |  |
|  |  | -33.8 (4) |  |  | A 3 IV |
| 127879 | 11.587 | +2.7 | 9 | 4.1 |  |
|  | 12.746 | +5.0 | 9 | 3.8 |  |
|  |  | +3.8(2) |  |  | A5 V |
| 128344 | 9.799 | $+25.7$ | 8 | $3 \cdot 3$ |  |
|  | 10.665 | +16.9: | 9 | 5.0 |  |
|  | 11.595 | +7.3 | 9 | 3.8 |  |
|  | 12.592 | $+17.6$ | 9 | 3.0 |  |
|  |  | +16.9 (3-1/2) |  |  | B7 V |
| 128819 | 9.804 | $+24.8$ | 9 | 2.5 |  |
|  | 11.600 | +19.0: | 9 | 3.6 |  |
|  |  | +22.8 (1-1/2) |  |  | B8 V |
| 128855 | 10.696 | $-46.0$ | 9 | 2.4 |  |
|  | 11.607 | +6.6 | 10 | 3.0 |  |
|  |  | var? (2) |  |  | AI V |
| 129791 | 9.811 | $-4.4$ | 9 | 4.7 |  |
|  | 11.614 | +11.1. | 9 | $3 \cdot 9$ |  |
|  |  | +3.3 (2) |  |  | B9.5 V |
| 130388 | 10.716 | +12.1 | 8 | 3.4 |  |
|  | 11.621 | $+18.6$ | 9 | 2.9 |  |
|  |  | +15.4 (2) |  |  | B9.5 V |
| 131399 | 10.728 | -28.6 | 9 | 1.9 |  |
|  | 11.628 | $+4.3$ | 9 | 1.1 |  |
|  |  | var? (2) |  |  | A3 III: |

Table 8.--Continued Radial Velocity Observations of Stars in Scorpius and Centaurus


Table 8.--Continued Radial Velocity Observations of Stars

| HD No. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Date } \\ \text { J.D. } \\ 2440000+ \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Vel} \\ (\mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} N \\ (. \operatorname{ines}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{p} \cdot \mathrm{e} \cdot \\ & (\mathrm{kem} / \\ & \mathrm{sec}) \end{aligned}$ |  | Sp |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 136961 | 10.807 | +1. 4 | 9 | 4.9 |  |  |
|  | 11.719 | +2.1 | 10 | 2.8 |  |  |
|  |  | +1.8 (2) |  |  | A 4 | IV |
| 137193 | 10.81 .4 | -1.5.2: | 7 | 6.3 |  |  |
|  | 11.725 | -17.8 | 9 | 1.6 |  |  |
|  | 12.607 | -17.2 | 10 | 1.9 |  |  |
|  |  | -17.0 (2-1/2) |  |  | Ap |  |
| 138285 | 10.821 | +12.8: | 9 | 6.3 |  |  |
|  | 11.735 | +38.6 | 10 | 2.8 |  |  |
|  |  | +30.0 ( $1-1 / 2)$ |  |  | A2 | V |
| $138564^{\text {c }}$ | 9.825 | +21.3 | 9 | 3.0 |  |  |
|  | 10.826 | +1.0 | 9 | 2.5 |  |  |
|  | 12.880 | +35.4: | 6 | 5.4 |  |  |
|  |  | +16.0 (2-1/2) |  |  | B9 | IV: |
| 138940 | 10.834 | -15.3 | 9 | 2.0 |  |  |
|  | 11.742 | -12.7 | 10 | 3.0 |  |  |
|  |  | -14.0 (2) |  |  | AO | IV |
| 139233 | 9.829 | $+8.4$ | 8 | 3.4 |  |  |
|  | 10.845 | $+16.4$ | 10 | 2.6 |  |  |
|  | 11.750 | +9.7 | 9 | 2.8 |  |  |
|  | 12.886 | +16.5: | 9 | 7.2 |  |  |
|  |  | +12.2(3-1/2) |  |  | B9 | V |
| 139524 | 11.760 | -16.3: | 8 | 3.9 | B9 | V |
| 140475 | 11.775 | +20.7 | 9 | 2.3 | A5 | III: |
| 140817 | 9.834 | +5.5 | 10 | 4.0 |  |  |
|  | 10.850 | +4.5 | 9 | 4.5 |  |  |
|  |  | +5.1 (2) |  |  | AO | V |
| 141779 | 11.788 | +6.2: | 7 | 1.7 | A 7 | v |
| 145793 | 10.859 | -5.3 | 11 | 4.1 |  |  |
|  | 11.801 | +2.5: | 10 | 5.4 |  |  |
|  |  | -2.7(1-1/2) |  |  | A 3 | V |

Table 8.- - Continued Radial Velocity Observations of Stars


## CHAPTER 3

## B STAR ANALYSIS

In addition to the uvby and $H \beta$ observations obtained in this program by the present author, a large number of Btype stars in Scorpio-Centaurus have been observed by D. L. Crawford and his co-workers (Crawford, Barnes, and Golson 1970, and Crawford 1970b). The data for the stars used in present discussion are listed in Tables 9, lo, ll, and 12, along with their photometric properties. For those stars observed by Crawford et al., Gutierrez-Moreno and Moreno (1968), and the present author, the data have been combined with equal weight in the following analysis.

## Reddening Corrections

The stars selected to be analyzed as B-type stars had to satisfy the following criteria: (1) $2.550 \leq \beta \leq$ 2.880 and (2) $c_{1} \leq 0^{m} .95$. The intrinsic color of each star was computed using the equation $(b-y)_{o}=-0.116+0.097 c_{1}$ given by Crawford, Glaspey, and Perry (1970) to estimate $(b-y)_{0}$, the $E\left(c_{1}\right)=0.2 E(b-y)$ (where $E=$ observed minus intrinsic color) was computed and used to correct $c_{1}$ for reddening, and a new value of (b-y) was calculated. For highly reddened stars this procedure had to be repeated one more time to correct completely for reddening. The

Table 9. Additional Photometric Data for B-Type Stars in Lower Centaurus

| Name | HD No. | V | $\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{y}$ | $\mathrm{m}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{c}_{1}$ | n | $\beta^{\text {a }}$ | n |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HR 4618 | 105382 | 4.45 | -0. m .079 | 0.102 | 0.264 | 9 | 2.677 | 16 |
| $\delta$ Cen | 105435 | 2.51 | -. 016 | . 048 | -. 010 | 3 | 2.467 | 6 |
| $\rho \mathrm{Cen}$ | 105937 | 3.94 | -. 087 | . 114 | . 326 | 3 | 2.707 | 6 |
| $\delta \mathrm{Cru}$ | 106490 | 2.78 | -. 113 | . 086 | . 043 | 3 | 2.619 | 6 |
| 5 Cru | 106983 | 4.05 | -. 089 | . 105 | . 259 | 3 | 2.680 | 6 |
| $\sigma$ Cen | 108483 | 3.91 | -. 089 | . 092 | . 157 | 3-1/2 | 2.654 | 6 |
| $\gamma$ Mus | 109026 | 3.85 | -. 077 | . 110 | . 346 | 3 | 2.695 | 6 |
| $\alpha$ Mus | 109668 | 2.69 | -. 104 | . 093 | . 112 | 3 | 2.649 | 6 |
| HR 4848 | 110956 | 4.64 | -. 070 | . 096 | . 301 | 4 | 2.701 | 6 |
| $\beta \mathrm{Cru}$ | 111123 | 1.29 | -. 103 | . 061 | -. 041 | 3-1/2 | 2.597 | 6 |
| $\lambda \mathrm{Cru}$ | 112078 | 4.63 | -. 062 | . 086 | . 364 | 3 | 2.681 | 6 |
| $\mu_{1} \mathrm{Cru}$ | 112092 | 4.06: | -. 082 | . 093 | . 179 | 4 | 2.664 | 6 |
| HR 4940 | 113703 | 4.69 | -. 063 | . 099 | . 378 | 3 | 2.716 | 6 |
| $\xi_{2} \mathrm{Cen}$ | 113791 | 4.30 | -. 085 | . 088 | . 163 | 3 | 2.657 | 6 |
| HR 5035 | 116087 | 4.58: | -. 069 | . 107 | . 350 | 3 | 2.700 | 6 |
| $\varepsilon$ Cen | 118716 | 2.30 | -. 094 | . 058 | . 043 | 3 | 2.612 | 6 |

$a_{\text {The }}$ quoted value of $\beta$ is a mean of the Crawford et al. and the GutierrezMoreno and Moreno values.

Table 10. Additional Photometric Data for B-Type Stars in Upper Centaurus

| Name | HD No. | V | ( $b-y$ ) | $\mathrm{m}_{1}$ | ${ }^{c_{1}}$ | n | $\beta$ | n |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\checkmark$ Cen | 120307 | 3.40 | -0.102 | $0 \cdot \mathrm{~m} .076$ | 0.084 | 3 | $2^{\mathrm{m}} .626^{\text {a }}$ | 9 |
| $\mu \mathrm{Cen}$ | 120324 | 3.42 v | -. 051 | . 054 | -. 006 | 3 | $2.478^{\text {a }}$ | 9 |
| 3 Cen | 120709 | 4.34 | -. 033 | . 104 | . 318 | 5-1/2 | 2.700 | 9 |
| HR 5217 | 120908 | 5.89 | . 039 | . 074 | . 526 | 2-1/2 |  |  |
| 4 Cen | 120955 | 4.74 | -. 046 | . 081 | . 466 | 3 | $2.674^{\text {b }}$ | 6 |
| $\varnothing$ Cen | 121743 | 3.81 | -. 105 | . 082 | . 145 | 3 | $2.646^{\text {a }}$ | 9 |
| HR 5249 | 121790 | 3.85 | -. 101 | . 090 | . 162 | 3 | $2.642^{\text {b }}$ | 6 |
| $\times$ Cen | 122980 | 4.34 | -. 095 | . 089 | . 179 | 9 | $2.651{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 16 |
| 2 Lup | 125238 | 3.54 v ? | -. 082 | . 082 | . 258 | 3 | 2.656 | 3 |
| a Cen | 125823 | 4.40 | -. 086 | . 080 | . 211 | 3 | $2.656^{\text {b }}$ | 6 |
| $\alpha$ Lup | 129056 | 2.30 | -. 088 | . 058 | . 098 | 3 | $2.604^{\text {b }}$ | 6 |
| HR 5471 | 129116 | 4.00 | -. 078 | . 091 | . 251 | 3 | $2.675^{\text {b }}$ | 6 |
| - Lup | 130807 | 4.33 | -. 077 | . 092 | . 358 | 3 | 2.693 b | 6 |
| $\beta$ Lup | 132058 | 2.71 | -. 098 | . 064 | . 099 | 3 | $2.618{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 6 |
| $\chi$ Cen | 132200 | 3.14 | -. 097 | . 080 | . 191 | 3 | $2.639{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 6 |
| HR 5.595 | 132955 | 5.44 | -. 046 | . 094 | . 346 | 3 | -- |  |
| HR 5625 | 133937 | 5.83 | -. 048 | . 103 | . 549 | 3 |  |  |
| $\lambda$ Lup | 133955 | 4.07 | -. 078 | . 098 | . 280 | 10 | $2.698{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 16 |
| $\delta$ Lup | 136298 | 3.22 | -. 097 | . 067 | . 085 | 3 | $2.616^{\text {b }}$ | 6 |
| $\varepsilon$ Lup | 136504 | 3.41 | -. 089 | . 088 | . 216 | 3 | $2.656^{\text {a }}$ | 9 |
| $\varnothing_{2}$ Lup | 136664 | 4.53 | -. 069 | . 092 | . 327 | 3 | $2.684{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 6 |
| $\gamma$ Lup | 138690 | 2.81 | -. 097 | . 082 | . 142 | 3 | $2.634{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 6 |
| d Lup | 138769 | 4.54 | -. 088 | . 096 | . 271 | 3 | $2.684^{\text {b }}$ | 6 |
| $\Psi_{2}$ Lup | 140008 | 4.75 | -. 064 | . 100 | . 423 | 3 | $2.728^{\text {a }}$ | 9 |
| HR 5873 | 141318 | 5.75 | . 081 | . 015 | . 122 | 2-1/2 |  |  |
| $\eta$ Lup | 143118 | 3.42 | -. 102 | . 077 | . 1114 | 3 | $2.619^{\text {a }}$ | 9 |

Table 10.--Continued

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| HR 5967 | 143699 | 4.90 | -.066 | .094 | .382 | 3 | 2.705 |
| $\theta \operatorname{Lup}$ | 144294 | 5.92 | -.084 | .088 | .261 | 3 | 3 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ The quoted value of $\beta$ is a mean of the Crawford et al., Gutierrez-Moreno and Moreno, and Glaspey observations.
$\mathrm{b}_{\text {The }}$ quoted value of $\beta$ is a mean of the Crawford et al . and the GutierrezMoreno and Moreno values.

Table ll. Additional Photometric Data for B-Type Stars in Scorpius

| Name | HD No. | V | $(b-y)$ | $\mathrm{m}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{c}_{1}$ | n | $\beta$ | n |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T Lib | 139365 | 3.68 | -0.081 | 0.087 | 0.269 | 3 | $2^{\text {m }} 6882^{\text {a }}$ | 6 |
|  | 140543 | 8.88 | . 065 | . 022 | -. 066 | 3 |  |  |
| 1 Sco | 141637 | 4.64 | . 003 | . 070 | . 130 | 8 | $2.640^{\text {a }}$ | 14 |
| 2 Sco | 142114 | 4.60 | -. 003 | . 065 | . 246 | 3 | $2.678^{\text {a }}$ | 6 |
| 0 ScoA | 142669 | 3.88 | -. 089 | .074 | . 165 | 3 | $2.645^{\text {a }}$ | 6 |
| HR 5934 | 142883 | 5.86 | . 044 | . 095 | . 353 | 3-1/2 | 2.723 | 3 |
|  | 142884 | 6.78 | . 058 | . 074 | . 387 | 3 | 2.725 | 4 |
| HR 5941 | 142983 | 4.95 | -. 022 | . 083 | . 768 | 3-1/2 | 2.593 | 3 |
| $\pi \mathrm{Sco}$ | 143018 | 2.89 | -. 058 | . 045 | . 032 | Std | 2.614 | Std |
| $\delta \mathrm{Sco}$ | 143275 | 2.31 | -. 019 | . 038 | -. 018 | Std | 2.602 | Std |
| $\omega_{2} \mathrm{Sco}$ | 144470 | 3.95 | . 031 | . 038 | . 022 | Std | 2.621 | Std |
|  | 145102 | 6.60 | . 072 | . 093 | . 822 | 3 | 2.796 | 4 |
| 13 Sco | 145482 | 4.58 | -. 070 | . 083 | . 200 | 3 | 2.652 b | 3 |
| $\checkmark$ Sco | 145502 | 4.00 | . 072 | . 059 | .150 | 6 | $2.674^{\text {b }}$ | 6 |
| HR 6042 | 145792 | 6.40 | .063 | . 075 | .416 | 3 | 2.725 | 3 |
|  | 146332 | 7.63 | . 188 | . 039 | . 472 | 2 | 2.668 | 2 |
| $\sigma$ ScoA | 147165 | 2.88 | . 164 | . 002 | . 030 | 4 | 2.603 | 3 |
| $\rho$ OphD | 147888 | 6.74 | . 260 | . 036 | . 289 | 3 | 2.713 | 2 |
|  | 147889 | 7.89 | . 657 | -. 071 | . 050 | 3 | 2.664 | 8 |
|  | 147890 | 7.66 | . 200 | . 047 | . 832 | 3 | -- |  |
| $\chi \mathrm{Oph}$ | 148184 | 4.42 | . 254 | -. 054 | -. 147 | 5 | $2.380^{\text {a }}$ | 6 |
| 22 Sco | 148605 | 4.77 | -. 047 | . 077 | . 220 | Std | 2.662 | Std |
| HR 6143 | 148703 | 4.25 | -. 060 | . 069 | .133 | 3 | $2.646^{\text {b }}$ | 9 |
| ${ }^{T} \mathrm{Sco}$ | 149438 | 2.82 | -. 100 | . 051 | -. 065 | 8 | $2.605^{\text {a }}$ | 6 |
| $\zeta \mathrm{Oph}$ | 149757 | 2.56 | . 085 | . 082 | -.061 | 12 | 2.579 | 12 |
|  | 151346 | 7.90 | . 361 | -. 013 | . 513 | $=3$ | -- |  |
|  | 151865 | 8.85 | . 127 | . 090 | . 711 | 2 | 2.781 | 2 |
| $\mu_{1} \mathrm{Sco}$ | 151890 | 3.04 | -. 089 | . 078 | $\cdot .103$ | 3 | $2.625^{\text {a }}$ | 6 |

Table 1l.--Continued

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mu_{2} \mathrm{Sco}$ | 151985 | 3.56 | -.090 | .076 | .103 | 5 | $2.620^{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| $\theta \mathrm{Oph}$ | 157056 | 3.26 | -.092 | .089 | .104 | 4 | 6. |

$a_{\text {The }}$ quoted value of $\beta$ is a mean of the Crawford et al. and the GutierrezMoreno and Moreno values.
$b_{\text {The }}$ quoted value of $\beta$ is a mean of the Crawford et al., Gutierrez-Moreno and Moreno, and Glaspey observations.

Table 12. Additional $H \beta$ Photometric Data for B-Type Stars in Scorpius

| Name | HD No. | $\beta$ | n |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HR 580.1 | 139160 | $2 \cdot 748$ | 5 |
| $\lambda$ Lib | 142096 | 2.709 | 5 |
| HR 5906 | 142165 | 2.730 | 5 |
| HR 5907 | 142184 | 2.657 | 4 |
| HR 59.10 | 1.42250 | 2.734 | 4 |
| 3 Sco | 142301. | 2.682 | 3 |
|  | 142315 | 2.803 | 3 |
| 47 Lib | 142378 | 2.686 | 5 |
| HR 5942 | 142990 | 2.678 | 6 |
|  | 143567 | 2.846 | 3 |
|  | 143600 | 2.857 | 3 |
| HR 5988 | 144334 | 2.724 | 6 |
| HR 6003 | 144844 | 2.793 | 3 |
|  | 145353 | 2.816 | 3 |
|  | 145554 | 2.836 | 3 |
|  | 1.45631 | 2.857 | 3 |
| HR 6054 | 146001 | 2.753 | 6 |
|  | 146029 | 2.769 | 3 |
|  | 1.46284 | 2.768 | 3 |
|  | 146285 | 2.818 | 3 |
| HR 6066 | 1464.16 | 2.830 | 12 |
|  | 147010 | 2.769 | 3 |
|  | 147196 | 2.726 | 3 |
|  | 148579 | 2.831 | 3 |

distribution on the sky of color excesses for these stars is shown in Figure 11. Blaauw's Regions 2 and 3 are included to show the general outline of this section of the moving cluster. The unreddened photometric indices, quantities derived from them (and explained below) such as the distance modulus (d.m.), and other available information are listed in Tables 13, 14, and 15.

## Comparison With Spectral Classification

Reliable spectral types are available from Garrison (1967) and Slettebak (1968) for many of the brighter B-type stars in the moving cluster. These spectral types are compared with the intrinsic indices $c_{o}$ and $m_{o}$ and the $\beta-$ index in Figures 12, 13, and 14. The location of the points representing many of the peculiar stars in the first two diagrams shows the well-known tendency of these stars to have colors more representative of earlier spectral types. This trend is not as evident in Figure l4. Instead, there seems to be a slight tendency for the middle B-type stars in Upper Scorpio to have, on the average, higher values of $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{o}}$ than the B-type stars in Upper Centaurus.
$\underline{\text { The } m_{1} \text {-Index Discrepancy }}$
Figure 15 shows the $c_{0}$, $m_{o}$ diagram for the ScorpioCentaurus B-type stars. The different sequences for the Upper Scorpio and Upper Centaurus stars are clearly evident. The fact that the Centaurus sequence is narrow is also of


Figure 11. Areal Distribution of E(b-y) of B-Type Stars -Numbers plotted at the galactic coordinates of the B-type stars represent the color excesses, in units of omol. Broken lines indicate Blaauw's (1946) Regions 2 and 3; circled numbers, B-type stars with large $m_{1}$-indices.

Table 13. Unreddened Photometric Colors in Lower Centaurus

| Name | HD No. | Sp | $\beta$ | $E(b-y)$ | V 。 | $(b-y)_{0}$ | $\mathrm{m}_{0}$ | $c_{0}$ | $(u-b){ }_{0}$ | d.m. $\beta^{(\beta)}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HR 4618 | 105382 | B2 IIIne | 2.677 | . 011 | 4.44 | -. 090 | . 105 | . 262 | . 291 | 5.8 B |
| $\delta$ Cen | 105435 | B2 IVne | 2.467 | . 103 : | 2.07 | -. 119 | . 079 | -031 | -. 121 | B |
| $p$ Cen | 105937 | B3 V | 2.707 | . 000 | 3.94 | -. 087 | . 114 | . 325 | . 380 | 4.8 B |
| o Cru | 106490 | B2 IV | 2.619 | . 000 | 2.78 | -. 113 | . 086 | . 043 | -. 011 | 6.2 B |
| 5 Cru | 106983 | B2.5 V | 2.680 | . 002 | 4.04 | -. 091 | .106 | . 259 | . 288 | 5.3 B |
| $\stackrel{\text { Cen }}{ }$ | 108483 | B2 V | 2.654 | . 012 | 3.86 | -. 101 | . 096 | . 155 | . 143 | 5.9 B |
| $\gamma$ Mus | 109026 | B5 V | 2.695 | . 005 | 3.83 | -. 082 | . 112 | . 345 | . 405 | 5.9 B |
| $\alpha$ Mus | 109668 | B2 IV-V | 2.649 | . 001 | 2.69 | -. 105 | . 093 | . 112 | . 088 | 5.0 B |
| HR 4848 | 110956 | B3 V | 2.701 | . 017 | 4.57 | -. 087 | . 101 | . 298 | . 324 | 5.6 B |
| $\beta \mathrm{Cru}$ | 111123 | BO. 5 III | 2.597 | . 017 | 1.22 | -. 120 | . 066 | -. 044 | -. 152 | 5.5 B |
| $\lambda \mathrm{Cru}$ | 112078 | B4 V | 2.681 | . 019 | 4.55 | -. 081 | . 092 | . 360 | . 380 | 6.0 B |
| $\mu_{\text {I }} \mathrm{Cru}$ | 112092 | B2 IV-V | 2.664 | . 017 | 3.99: | -. 099 | . 098 | . 176 | . 172 | 5.8 B |
| HR 4940 | 113703 | B5 V | 2.716 | . 016 | 4.62 | -. 079 | . 104 | . 375 | . 423 | 5.4 B |
| 5 Cen | 113791 | B1.5 V | 2.657 | . 015 | 4.24 | -. 100 | . 093 | . 160 | . 144 | 6.3 B |
| HR 5035 | 116087 | B3 V | 2.700 | .013 | 4.52 | -. 082 | . 111 | . 347 | . 404 | 5.5 B |
| $\varepsilon$ Cen | 118716 | B1 III | 2.612 | . 018 | 2.22 | -. 112 | . 063 | . 039 | . 084 | 5.9 B |

Table 14. Unreddened Photometric Colors of B-Type Stars in Upper Centaurus


Table 14.--Continued

| $\phi_{2 .}$ Lup | '136664 | B3 V | 2.684 | . 015 | 4.47 | -. 084 | . 097 | . 324 | . 358 | 6.0 B |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 137193 | B8p (Si) | 2.783 | . 032 | 7.24 | -. 046 | . 214 | . 715 | 1.047 | 6.8 NM? |
| HR 5736 | 137432 | B3 V | 2.707: | . 008 | 5.42 | -. 078 | . 095 | . 391 | .436 | 6.3: B |
| HR 5773 | 138564 | B9 | 2.897 | . 007 | 6.35 | -. 023 | . 152 | . 925 | 1.183 | 5.1 NM |
| y Lup | 138690 | B2 V | 2.634 | . 005 | 2.79 | -. 102 | . 083 | . 141 | . 104 | 5.3 B |
| d Lup | 138769 | B3 IVp | 2.684 | . 002 | 4.53 | -. 090 | . 097 | . 271 | . 284 | 5.8 B |
|  | 138940 | B8 | 2.845 | . 013 | 7.57 | -. 027 | . 123 | . 911 | 1.124 | 7.1 NM |
| HR 5805 | 139233 | B7 | 2.841 | -. 006 | 6.60 | -. 034 | . 135 | . 847 | 1.040 | 5.7 |
|  | 139524 | B9 | 2.766 | . 063 | 7.80 | -. 033 | . 110 | . 857 | 1.005 | 7.6 NM |
| $\Psi_{2}$ Lup | 140008 | B6 V | 2.728 | . O11 | 4.70 | -. 075 | . 103 | . 421 | . 476 | 5.1BNM? |
|  | 140817 | AO: | 2.858 | . 051 | 6.61 | -. 036 | . 168 | . 828 | 1.087 | 5.6 |
| HR 5873 | 141318 | B2 III | -- | . 189 | 4.94 | -. 108 | . 072 | . 084 | -. 007 | -- |
| 7 Lup | 143118 | B2 V | 2.619 | . 003 | 3.41 | -. 105 | . 078 | . 113 | . 059 | 6.6 B |
| HR 5967 | 143699 | B6 V: | 2.705 | . 012 | 4.84 | -. 078 | . 097 | . 380 | . 418 | 5.7 B |
| $\theta$ Lup | 144294 | B2.5 V | 2.671 | . 007 | 5.89 | -. 091 | . 090 | . 260 | . 257 | 7.5BNM |

Table 15. Unreddened Photometric Colors of B-Type Stars in Upper Scorpius

| Name | HD No. | Sp | $\beta$ | $E(b-y)$ | V。 | $(b-y){ }_{0}$ | $\mathrm{m}_{0}$ | $c_{0}$ | $(u-b){ }_{0}$ | d.m. ${ }^{(\beta)}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{HR} 5801 \\ & \tau \mathrm{Lib} \end{aligned}$ | 139094 | B7 V | 2.747 | . 137 | 6.80 | -. 060 | . 140 | . 573 | . 719 | 6.9 NM |
|  | 139160 | B7 IV | 2.748 | . 086 | 5.83 | -. 072 | . 142 | .447 | . 578 | 5.8 |
|  | 139365 | B2.5 V | 2.682 | . 009 | 3.64 | -. 090 | . 090 | . 267 | . 267 | 4.9BNM? |
|  | 139486 | B9.5 V | -- | .061 | 7.37 | -. 030 | . 151 | . 887 | 1.123 |  |
|  | 140543 | BO. 5 IIIn | 2.572 | . 191 | 8.06 | -. 126 | . 079 | -. 104 | -. 216 | 13.6 NM |
| 1 Sco | 141637 | B2 V | 2.640 | .111 | 4.16 | -. 106 | . 101 | . 105 | . 087 | 6.7 B |
|  | 141774 | B9 V |  | . 109 | 7.24 | -. 033 | . 133 | . 859 | 1.048 |  |
| $\lambda \mathrm{Lib}$ | 142096 | B2.5 Vn | 2.709 | . 107 | 4.58 | -. 089 | . 122 | . 277 | . 332 | 5.6 |
| 2 Sco | 142114 | B 2.5 Vn | 2.678 | . 097 | 4.18 | -. 094 | . 094 | . 227 | . 205 | 5.6 |
| HR 5906 | 142165 | B6 IVn | 2.730 | . 090 | 5.01 | -. 070 | . 131 | . 478 | . 591 | 5.2 NM |
| HR 5907 | 142184 | B2.5 Vn | 2.657 | . 110 | 4.95 | -. 092 | .111 | . 248 | . 275 | 6.7 |
| HR 5910 | 142250 | B6 Vp | 2.734 | . 048 | 5.96 | -. 096 | . 129 | . 484 | . 600 | 6.4 |
| 3 Sco | 142301 | B8p | 2.682 | . 078 | 5.55 | -. 088 | . 126 | . 555 | . 357 | 7.0 NM ? |
|  | 142315 | B8 V | 2.803 | . 092 | 6.48 | -. 045 | . 132 | . 728 | . 892 | 5.9 |
| 47 Lib | 142378 | B3 V | 2.686 | . 104 | 5.51 | -. 084 | . 125 | . 330 | . 399 | 6.4 B |
| 0 ScoA | 142669 | B2 IV-V | 2.645 | . 011 | 3.83 | -. 100 | . 077 | . 163 | . 116 | 6.1 B |
| HR 5934 | 142883 | B3 V | 2.723 | . 129 | 5.31 | -. 084 | . 134 | . 327 | . 412 | 5.8 |
|  | 142884 | B9p(Si) | 2.725 | . 139 | 6.18 | -.081 | . 116 | . 359 | . 415 | 6.7 NM |
| HR 5941 | 142983 | B3: e | 2.593 | . 019 | 4.85 | -. 041 | . 089 | . 764 | . 615 | - B |
| HR 5942 | 142990 | B5 IV | 2.678 | . 063 | 5.17 | -. 093 | . 127 | . 238 | . 300 | 6.6 |
| $\pi \mathrm{Sco}$ | 143018 | $\mathrm{Bl}+\mathrm{B} 2$ | 2.614 | . 055 | 2.65 | -. 114 | . 062 | . 022 | -. 087 | 6.2 B |
| $\delta \mathrm{Sco}$ | 143275 | B0.5 IV | 2.602 | . 100 | 1.88 | -. 119 | . 068 | -. 038 | -. 150 | 6.0 B |
|  | 143567 | B9 V | 2.846 | . 102 | 6.75 | -. 038 | .161 | . 806 | 1.042 | 5.7 |
|  | 143600 | B9 V | 2.857 | . 108 | 6.87 | -. 032 | . 144 | . 865 | 1.079 | 5.9 |
| HR 5988 | 144334 | B8p | 2.722 | . 065 | 5.64 | -. 082 | . 140 | . 346 | . 455 | 6.1 |
| $\mathrm{w}_{1} \mathrm{Sco}$ | 144470 | BI V | 2.621 | . 148 | 3.31 | -. 117 | . 082 | -. 008 | -. 092 | 6.5 B |
| H료 6003 | 144844 | B9 IV(p?) | 2.793 | . 086 | 5.50 | -. 060 | . 149 | . 578 | . 747 | 5.0 NM |
|  | 145102 | B9p(Si) | 2.796 | . 110 | 6.13 | -. 038 | . 126 | . 800 | . 965 | 5.6 |
|  | 145353 | B9 IV | 2.816 | . 142 | 6.35 | -. 035 | . 140 | . 836 | 1.031 |  |
| 13 Sco | 145482 | B2 V | 2.652 | . 027 | 4.46 | -. 097 | . 091 | . 195 | . 180 | 6.6 B |

Table 15.--Continued

| $\nu \mathrm{Sco}$ | 145502 | B2 IV | 2.674 | . 177 | 3.2: | -. 105 | . 112 | . 115 | . 111 | 4.8 BNM ? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 145554 | B9 V | 2.836 | . 151 | 6.99 | -. 040 | . 147 | . 785 | . 985 | 6.1 |
|  | 145631 | B9.5 Vn | 2.857 | . 147 | 6.94 | -. 035 | . 144 | . 837 | 1.056 | 5.9 |
| HR 6042 | 145792 | B5 V | 2.725 | . 098 | 5.98 | -. 035 | . 104 | . 398 | . 525 | 6.5 |
| HR 6054 | 146001 | B7 IV | 2.753 | . 127 | 5.51 | -. 069 | . 121 | . 484 | . 587 | 5.5 |
|  | 146029 | B9 V | 2.769 | . 092 | 6.98 | -. 028 | .144 | . 906 | 1.145 | 5.9 |
|  | 146284 | B8 IV | 2.768 | . 177 | 5.94 | -. 045 | . 126 | . 728 | . 863 | 5.7 |
|  | 146285 | B8 V | 2.818 | . 232 | 6.92 | -. 049 | . 128 | . 677 | . 811 | 6.1 |
|  | 146332 | B5 II | 2.668 | . 263 | 6.50 | -. 075 | . 118 | . 419 | . 479 | 8.2 NM |
| HR 6066 | 146416 | B9 V | 2.830 | . 067 | 6.34 | -. 037 | . 132 | .819 | 1.002 | 5.5 |
|  | 146706 | B9 V | 2.832: | . 122 | 7.00 | -. 036 | . 144 | . 830 | 1.033 | 6.3 |
|  | 147010 | B9p | 2.769 | . 195 | 6.56 | -. 070 | . 171 | . 473 | . 654 | 6.4 |
| $\sigma S \mathrm{CoA}$ | 147165 |  | 2.605 | . 282 | 1.67 | -. 118 | . 087 | -. 026 | -. 117 |  |
|  | 147196 | B8 Vnnp | 2.726 | . 207 | 6.16 | -. 046 | . 104 | . 720 | . 815 | 6.7 |
|  | 147703 | B9 Vn |  | . 166 | 6.80 | -. 029 | . 137 | .899 | 1.102 |  |
| - OphD | 147888 | B5 V | 2.713 | . 355 : | 5.21: | -.095: | . 142 : | . 218 : | .278: | 5.9: B |
|  | 147889 | B2 V | 2.664 | . 783 : | 4.52: | -. 126 : | .144: | -. 107 : | -. 090 : | -- NM? |
|  | 147890 | B9.5p(Si) | 2.757 | . 241 | 6.62 | -. 041 | . 119 | . 784 | . 916 | 6.6 |
| $\rho$ OphC | 147932 | B5 V |  | . 334 : | 5.83: | -.094: | .135: | . 221 : | .271: | -- |
| $\times \mathrm{Oph}$ | 148184 | B2 IIIpe | 2.380 | . 392 : | 2.73: | -.138: | .064: | -. 225 : | -. 373: | --BNM? |
|  | 148579 | B9 V | 2.831: | . 257 | 6.23 | -. 043 | . 140 | . 757 | . 927 | $5 \cdot 3$ |
|  | 148594 | B8 Vnn | 2.720: | . 171 | 6.15 | -. 055 | . 104 | . 625 | . 706 | 6.8 : |
| 22 Sco | 148605 | B2 V | 2.662 | . 049 | 4.56 | -. 096 | . 092 | . 210 | . 197 | 6.5 B |
| HR 6143 | 148703 | B2 IV | 2.646 | . 044 | 4.06 | -. 104 | . 082 | . 124 | .076 | 6.4 B |
| T Sco | 149438 | Bo V | 2.605 | . 022 | 2.73 | -. 122 | . 058 | -. 069 | -. 200 | 6.8:B |
| 5 Oph | 149757 | 095 V | 2.579 | . 211 | 1.65 | -. 126 | . 075 | -. 103 | -. 266 | 6.8: |
|  | 151346 | B7p | 2.709 | . 436 | 6.03 | -. 075 | . 118 | . 426 | . 469 | 6.8 |
|  | 151865 | B8 V | 2.781 | . 178 | 8.08 | -. 051 | . 143 | . 676 | . 843 | 7.7 NM |
|  | 151890 | B2 V | 2.625 | . 017 | 2.94 | -. 106 | . 083 | .100 | . 052 | 5.9 B |
| $\mu_{2} \mathrm{Sco}$ | 151985 | B2 IV | 2.620 | . 016 | 3.49 | -. 106 | .081 | .100 | . 048 | 6.7 B |
| $\theta \mathrm{Oph}$ | 157056 | B2 IV | 2.622 | . 014 | 3.20 | -. 106 | . 093 | . 101 | . 074 | 6.1 B |



Figure 12. $c_{o}, S_{p}(M K)$ Diagram for B-Type Stars -- Filled circles represent stars in Upper Scorpius; crosses, stars in Upper Centaurus. Peculiar stars are marked with the letter "p."


Figure 1.3. $\beta, S_{p}(M K)$ Diagram for B-Type Stars -- Symbols are the same as in Figure 12. Luminosity classes for non-main sequence stars are labelled next to the appropriate symbols.


Figure 14. mo, $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fp(MK) Diagram for B-Type Stars -- Symbols are the same as in } \\ & \text { Figure } 12 \text {. }\end{aligned}$


Figure 15. $c_{o}, m_{o}$ Diagram for B-Type Stars -- Peculiar stars are marked with the letter "p."
interest, especially when compared with the broad Upper Scorpio sequence. This scatter in Scorpio could be partially due to the larger reddening applied to these stars, but this would not explain the average separation of the two sequences of 0.03 in $\mathrm{m}_{0}$.

It should also.be clear that although data for the Bp-type stars share in the separation, excluding them would not reduce it. The location of indices of the B2- and B3type stars in this diagram implies that most of the separation is along the mo-axis. It is possible that the scatter is due to a combination of the large, variable reddening and the presence of a large number of peculiar B stars. This effect may be typical of a cluster containing a large number of Bp-type stars; or the explanation may lie in some as yet unknown cause.

Errors in the photometry do not seem to be the cause of this separation. When the best observed stars in Crawford's data are considered, the same effect is present. This is also true when only photometry of highest quality from the present investigation is used. The reason for being so cautious about the data is due to the possible differences in the various Strömgren v-filters used, and the resulting difficulties in transforming the observations to the standard uvby system.

To aid in separating the differences in the $m_{1}$ indices from those in the $c_{1}$-indices, the photometry was
also corrected for interstellar reddening by using the ( $u-b$ ), ( $b-y$ ) diagram. An intrinsic, unreddened sequence was determined using four-color data on bright B-type stars observed from the southern hemisphere by Crawford and Barnes (1970b) ; then, plots of $c_{o}$ vs. (b-y) ${ }_{o}$ and $m_{o}$ vs. (b-y) ${ }_{o}$ were made for the Scorpio-Centaurus B stars. In each diagram two sequences were apparent for stars in the two regions. The differences were $0.0 l_{1}$ in $c_{o}$ and 0.03 in $m_{o}$, assuming that the (b-y) values were correct. Returning to Figure 15 again we see that the separation between sequences may be interpreted as being either omoz0.03 in $m_{o}$ or several tenths of a magnitude in $c_{o}$. Apparently we should accept the first interpretation, that the difference between the sequences is due to the $\mathrm{m}_{0}-$ index.

A simple check on whether or not the separation between the two sequences is related to color excess may be made by plotting $\Delta m_{1}=m_{o}-\bar{m}_{0}(C e n)$ (at the same $c_{o}$ ) against the computed $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{y})$ for the Upper Scorpius stars. Figure 16 shows that there is little or no dependence of $\Delta m_{1}$ on $E(b-y)$. The color excesses corresponding to those stars for which $\Delta m_{l} \leq 0^{m} 02$ were circled in Figure 11. Evidently these stars tend to lie at higher galactic latitudes than the stars with small $\Delta m_{1}$.

Another possibility would be to explain the different $m_{1}$-indices in the two regions as being due to


Figure 16. $\Delta m_{1}, E(b-y)$ Diagram for B-Type Stars in Upper Scorpius
the differences in the strengths of the Balmer lines associated with the evolution of the stars. Since the vfilter is centered on $H \delta$, differences in the equivalent width of that line would affect the $m_{1}$-index. In that case there should be some correlation of $\Delta m_{1}$ with $\Delta \beta=$ $\beta_{\text {seq }}-\beta_{*}$ (taken from the Zero-Age Main Sequence, or Z.A.M.S., in the $\beta, c_{1}$ diagram). A graph of $\Delta m_{1}$ vs. $\Delta \beta$ values for the bright northern B-type stars from the data of Crawford (1970b) shows a dependence of $\Delta m_{I}=-0.60 \Delta \beta+$ $0.022\left( \pm 0^{m} .01\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{m}_{1}\right)$. In other words, an unevolved ( $\Delta \beta=0$ ) star--or a sequence of stars--should lie +0.022 in $m_{1}$ above the reference line (which was taken to be the Centaurus sequence). Small values of $\Delta m$ would seem reasonable for the early end of an evolved cluster, however, we see that in the present situation the dirference in $m_{1}$ between the two regions persists to considerably later spectral types. At these later types $\Delta \beta=0$ for the Centaurus stars as well as for the Upper Scorpius stars, hence the Centaurus stars should have values of $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{l}}$ similar to those of the Scorpius stars, which is contrary to what is observed.

Another possible explanation for the differing sequences might be a higher incidence of binary stars in one region than in the other, which might systematically affect the photometric indices. To investigate this hypothesis it was decided to create numerically (b-y)-, $\mathrm{m}_{1}-$, and $\mathrm{c}_{1}$-indices of binary stars, the components of
which have similar spectral types, by combining the observed photometric indices of pairs of program stars. The equations predicting the binary colors are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
(b-y)_{\text {combo }} & =(b-y)_{s t a r} 1-2.5 \log \left(1+10^{0.4 \Delta b}\right) \\
+ & 2.5 \log \left(1+10^{0.4 \Delta y}\right) \\
\left(m_{1}\right)_{\text {combo }} & =\left(m_{1}\right)_{\text {star } 1}-2.5 \log \left[\left(1+10^{0.4 \Delta v}\right)\right. \\
(1 & +10^{0.4 \Delta y)]}+5 \log \left(1+10^{0.4 \Delta b}\right) \\
\left(c_{1}\right)_{\text {combo }} & =\left(c_{1}\right)_{s t a r} 1-2.5 \log \left[\left(1+10^{0.4 \Delta u}\right)\right. \\
(1 & \left.\left.+10^{0.4 \Delta b}\right)\right]+5 \log \left(1+10^{0.4 \Delta v}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The terms on the right of each equation represent the changes in the indices of Star No. 1 if a companion is added to it. These changes seem to be small, as is shown in Table 16 , where the results of some sample calculations are presented. The changes that do occur locate the combination along the line connecting the two points which represent the individual stars. Therefore the combination of two main sequence stars of somewhat similar spectral types lies along the main sequence. We do not investigate the case where the secondary may be a late type giant star, for this situation is less probable (see Murphy 1969) and would be distinguishable on the basis of its very peculiar photometric indices.

Table 16. Changes in $\mathrm{m}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{c}_{1}$ for Artificial Binaries


In order to eliminate the possibility that stellar rotation differences between the two regions are having some effect on the $m_{1}$-indices, the rotational velocities of Slettebak (1968) are compared with the $\Delta m_{\perp}$ values in Figure 17. Considering only the Upper Scorpius stars, where $\Delta m_{l}$ varies from 0.0 to 0.040 , no dependence of $v \sin i$ on $\Delta m_{1}$ is found.

It does not seem possible, then, to explain the discrepancy between the $m_{1}$-indices in the Scorpius and the Centaurus subgroups of B-type stars by any of the following ways: (I.) systematic errors in the photometry, (2) reddening corrections, (3) different Hס line strengths associated with evolutionary effects, (4) unresolved binary stars, and (5) effects related to different mean rotational velocities. One suggested explanation is that the $v$-filter in the $m_{1}$-index is detecting variations in the strengths of weak lines around $H \delta$, and that some of these stars are distinguishable spectroscopically as being


Figure 17. $\Delta m_{1}$, $v$ sin i Diagram for B-Type Stars in Upper Scorpius

```
peculiar \(B\) stars. Unfortunately, the present data do not allow us to investigate this possibility.
```


## Absol.ute Magnitude Calibration

D. L. Crawford and his associates are currently calibrating the $\beta$-index as a function of absolute magnitude for B-type stars by fitting together the main sequences of young cluster in the $V_{0}, \beta$ diagram. Distances to the $\alpha$ Persei and Pleiades clusters determined by other means are then used to fix the zero point. An important check on this technique would be to use the resulting calibration on the Scorpio-Centaurus Moving Cluster, the distance of which has been determined dynamically and is, therefore, independent of the calibrations used above in finding distances to the calibration clusters.

Figure 18 shows the sequence obtained by plotting Bertiau's absolute magnitudes against $\beta$ for those stars having an observed constant radial velocity. Crawford's Z.A.M.S., drawn in for comparison, fits the ScorpioCentaurus sequence quite well. Figure 19 shows $M_{v}(\beta)$ plotted against $M_{v}$ (Bertiau). $M_{v}(\beta)$ was calculated without using the correction of $\Delta M_{v}=-8 \Delta \beta$ given by Crawford (1970a) for evolved stars at the bright end of the sequence. The two calibrations seem to agree within the scatter of the data, with the exception of the stars in

$\begin{aligned} \text { Figure 18. } & \text { M (Bertiau), } \beta \text { Diagram for B-Type Stars - } \\ & \text { Symbols arc the same as in Figure ly Only } \\ & \text { stars having a constant radial volocity are } \\ & \text { plotted. The solid line is Crawford's } \\ & \text { preliminary calibration curve. }\end{aligned}$


Figure 19. M (Bertiau), $M_{v}(\beta)$ Diagram for B-Type Stars -Filled circlies and crosses have the same meaning as in Figure 15; in addition, triangles represent: B-type stars in Lower Centaurus; large symbols represent stars with constant radial velocity; small symbols, variable velocity stars.

Blaauw's Region 4. These latter stars are brighter in Bertiau's calibration by an average difference of $-0 .{ }^{m} 4$. To approach this calibration problem somewhat differently, in Figure 20 we plot $V_{o}$ vs. $\beta$, again including Crawford's calibration curve shifted to Bertiau's adopted distance modulus of $6^{\mathrm{ml}} 2$. For normal., single B-type stars this curve should be identical with a mean curve drawn through the points. Most of the stars brighter than $V_{o}=5 \cdot 5$ were included in Bertiau's analysis. It is. evident from the diagram that elimination of all stars suspected of being binary stars does not reduce the scatter in the lower end of the main sequence. The dashed line also does not represent the points plotted for the Lower Centaurus B-type stars.

A color-magnitude diagram is shown in Figure 21 , in which $V_{o}$ is plotted against (u-b) ${ }_{0}$. Crawford's absolute magnitude calibration is again included at Bertiau's adopted distance modulus. A spread of 100 pc along the line of sight corresponds to a difference of $I \stackrel{m}{1}$ in apparent magnitude at the distance of 170 pc adopted by Bertiau for the Association. The tendency for the stars in Upper Centaurus and in Lower Centaurus to fall above the calibration line could be explained (1) by an error in the calibrations of $M_{v}$ vs. $(u-b)_{o}$ in the range $0.2 \leq(u-b)_{0} \leq 0.8$ or (2) because these stars are closer, and therefore brighter, than the Upper Scorpio stars.


Figure 20. Vo, $\beta$ Diagram for B-Type Stars -- Symbols have the same meaning as j.n Figure 1.9.


Figure 2l. $V_{0},(u-b)$ Diagram for B-Type Stars -- Symbols have the same meaning as in Figure 1.9; however, small. symbols represent variable or low quality radial velocities; large symbols, well studied constant velocity stars.

The absolute magnitudes obtained from the $\beta$-index have been used to derive distance moduli for B-type stars in the Scorpio-Centaurus association. Figure 22 shows histograms of the number of stars having distance moduli in each interval of $0 . \mathrm{m}^{4}$. The first three of these are for stars in Bertiau's list in the Lower Centaurus, Upper Centaurus, and Upper Scorpius regions. The mean moduli for the three regions are $5^{\mathrm{m}} \cdot 7,5^{\mathrm{m}} \cdot 9$, and $6^{\mathrm{ml}} .1$, respectively. No allowances have been made for duplicity, however, so the means may be biased towards smaller moduli. We see that many of the stars in Lower Centaurus (Blaauw's Region 4) do appear to have slightly smaller moduli than the stars in the other regions, as was suggested in Figure 20. The final two histograms, Figure 22(d) and 22(e) indicate the frequencies of the distance moduli for the "non-Bertiau" B-type stars in the Upper Centaurus and Upper Scorpius regions. Several stars having rather large values of $\delta \beta$ above the Z.A.M.S. in a $\beta$, $(u-b){ }_{0}$-diagram have been excluded, since many of them appear to be distant evolved stars. The mean modulus for the twelve "non-Bertiau" late B-type stars in Upper Centaurus is $6^{\mathrm{m}} 2$. Most of the late B-type stars in Upper Scorpius which were not listed by Bertiau are in Garrison's (1967) Jist, and the mean modulus for them is $6^{\mathrm{m}} 1$, the same as for the early B-type stars.


Figure 22. Frequencies of $B$ Star Distance Moduli.

## The $\beta,(u-b)_{o}$ Diagrams

Because the $\beta$-index is sensitive to luminosity effects for the B-type stars, if we plot $\beta$ versus some appropriate temperature indicator [in this case the (u-b) color], we then have essentially a Hertzsprung-Russell diagram which is independent of the relative distances of the stars being studied. In normal. HR and color-magnitude diagrams allowances must also be made to correct apparent magnitudes for duplicity of the observed stars, otherwise the observed main sequence will show considerable scatter above the Z.A.M.S. Fortunately, differences in the $\beta$ indices and ( $u-b$ ) ocolors due to binary stars (for components with somewhat similar spectral types) are such as to place the combined indices along the line connecting the points that represent the individual components. No additional scatter should be introduced into the $\beta$, (u-b) o diagram, therefore, if a particular cluster happens to have a high frequency of binary stars. Rotational velocity effects can be important in the middle and late B-type star range, where rapid rotation causes both the $\beta$-index and (u-b) o-color to change in a manner mimicking evolved stars. One important goal of this research is to compare the Upper Centaurus region with the Upper Scorpius and, where possible, the Lower Centaurus regions. To study differences in the $B$ stars in these regions, we plot in Figure 23 the separate $\beta$, $(u-b)_{o}$ diagrams for each group.


Figure 23. $\beta$, $(u-b)_{o}$ Diagrams for Sulogroups in the Association -- Large symbols represent stars from Bertiau's (1.958) list; small. symbols, additional. B-type stars. The solid line represents the Z.A.M.S.

These diagrams are used in Chapter 4 to estimate ages of the stars in each region; however, for the moment we wish only to make some qualitative comparisons.

Only those bright B-type stars in Lower Centaurus that were listed by Bertiau and that have published fourcolor photometry are plotted in Figure 23(a). Several of the earliest type stars lie above the Z.A.M.S.; however, the later types lie essentially on the Z.A.M.S. In Figure 23(b) we show the $\beta$, (u-b) diagram for the B-type stars in Upper Centaurus, indicating the B-type stars on Bertiau's list by large crosses. With few exceptions these stars form a very narrow sequence, the faint end of which lies on the Z.A.M.S. The two points representing B-type stars from Bertiau's list that fall several hundredths of a magnitude in $\beta$ above the Z.A.M.S. represent HD 120908 (MK class B5 V) and HD 120955 (B5 IVp). No rotational velocity has been measured for the former star; however, Slettebak (1968) found $v$ sin $i \leq 20 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec}$ for the latter. It appears, therefore, that HD 120955 has evolved away from the Z.A.M.S., but we may only conjecture that HD 120908 is rapidly rotating. For the rest of the points that fall appreciably above the Z.A.M.S. we are allso unable to decide from this diagram whether the stars are evolved or are rapid rotators. In Chapter 7, when all of the membership and non-membership evidence is combined, we shall see that many of these stars are indeed background objects. We
should note that in discussing the effects of rotational velocities within a cluster, it is essential to know which stars are members. The situation in Figure 23(c) for the Upper Scorpius stars is more straightforward, since most of the stars were taken from the study by Garrison (1967). The young main sequence is quite apparent from the large points representing stars from Bertiau's list. Also, most of the points falling well above the $Z . A . M . S$ are known to represent evolved or rapidly rotating stars. The large number of points falling below the Z.A.M.S. is interesting, for if we assume that the reddening has been properly taken into account in determining the intrinsic (u-b) ocolors, then these large values of the $\beta$-index may confirm Garrison's comment that the hydrogen lines in the spectra of many of the $B$ stars in Upper Scorpius are visibly stronger than the hydrogen lines in the field stars used as MK standards. This would again imply that the Upper Scorpius subgroup is quite young.

## CHAPTER 4

## AGE DETERMINATION

In this section we apply theoretical evolutionary models to the problem of determining the age of the Upper Centaurus association. To compare the models with observations, however, it is first necessary to calibrate the observed photometric indices in terms of the theoretical parameters.

## Effective Temperature Calibration

To compare the observed photometric sequences to stellar age computations it is necessary to have a reliable calibration of the effective temperature ( $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{eff}}$ ) in terms of one of the photometric indices. In the present study we have chosen to work with $(u-b)=c_{1}+2 m_{1}+2(b-y)$ and (u-b) ${ }_{o}$, the unreddened color-index, instead of the $c_{o}$-index, because (u-b) does not depend strongly on the possible differences in the v-filters belonging to different filter sets as discussed in Chapter 3. It also has a large range (approximately $l^{m} \cdot 5$ ) in possible values for the B-type stars, therefore results based on the (u-b)-index should be relatively insensitive to observational scatter.

There are several recent calibrations of effective temperatures of B-type stars which may be used to obtain
the ( $u-b)_{0}{ }^{-l o g} T$ eff transformation. Hayes (1970) has recently presented a temperature calibration of the B-type stars based on his determination of the Balmer and Paschen discontinuities of $\alpha$ Lyr using absolute spectrophotometry (see Figure 24). For this calibration he used Mihalas' (1966) unblanketed LTE models and corrected the effective temperature to correspond to that of a blanketed model. The uncertainty in the spectrophotometry is indicated by the fact that Oke and Schild (1970a, 1970b) have also. carried out a recalibration of the absolute spectrophotometry of $\alpha$ Lyr, and they have found that the Balmer and Paschen discontinuities computed from the two calibrations still show some disagreement. The Hayes calibration also disagrees with the observations of Brown et al. (1967) for the early B-type stars; however, the difference is probably within the uncertainty due to the assumptions made in each procedure. Nonetheless, Hayes points out that his temperature calibration leads to better agreement for early B-type stars between fax ultraviolet flux observations and the predicted fluxes from models. For this reason, and because he corrected his temperatures to agree with the blanketed model atmospheres published by Morton and his co-workers (see Morton and Adams 1968, and references cited therein), we prefer to work with this temperature calibration for the comparison of evolutionary tracks with observations. If at some later date the re-calibration


Figure 24. Temperature-Color Calibrations -- Open circles represent the data from Brown et aii. (1.967); filled circles, Heintze (1969); solid line, Morton and Adams (1.968); crosses, Morton and Adams as revised by Strom and Peterson (1.968); dashed line, Strömgren (1964); dotted line, Hayes (1970).
of $\alpha$ Lyr by Oke and Schild is shown to be preferable, the observed Balmer jump would be decreased somewhat, raising the temperature scale. The adopted calibration is given in Table 17 , along with the bolometric corrections (discussed below) and approximate MK spectral types.

Table 17. Adopted Calibrations of $\log T$ eff and B.C.

| $(\mathrm{u}-\mathrm{b})_{\mathrm{o}}$ | $\log \mathrm{T}_{\text {eff }}$ | $\mathrm{B.C}$. | Sp. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.0 | 4.370 | -2.4 | B 2 |
| 0.2 | 4.284 | -1.9 | B 3 |
| 0.4 | 4.220 | -1.6 | B 4 |
| 0.6 | 4.172 | -1.3 | B 6 |
| 0.8 | 4.127 | -1.1 | B 8 |

Strömgren (1964) also computed model atmospheres of B-type stars and predicted flux distributions, which he then used to compute (u-b)' and (b-y)' color-indices, and D, the Balmer discontinuity index. Observations of (u-b) and $D$ for B-type stars with little or no reddening were used to compare the computed (u-b)' with the observed (u-b) ${ }_{o}$ and to determine the zero point corrections needed to transform the computed (u-b)' values to the observed system. Ströngren thereby calibrated the (u-b) colors with the effective temperatures of the corresponding
models. He also showed that the predicted (u-b)' was independent of the values of $\log g$ adopted for the models. In the final section of his paper Ströngren compared the predicted flux on the far (rocket) ultraviolet to the observed fluxes published by Chubb and Byram (1963). For each of seven stars, Strömgren found that his models predicted from $1^{m} .0$ to $2^{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{O}$ too much flux at 1314 A , relative to the $y$-filter. This may not in fact be too serious since many of the early far ultraviolet observations suffered from inaccurate calibrations. Figure 24 shows the run of $\log T$ eff with $(u-b)$ for the zero-age relation adopted by Strömgren, as well as the other calibrations discussed below. We note that at a given (u-b), Strömgren's calibration predicts considerably hotter temperatures than the other calibrations.

Morton and Adams (1968) used model atmosphere calculations for early-type stars to obtain an effective temperature scale and a bolometric correction scale. The calculated Balmer discontimuities were used to relate the models to observed spectral types and colors for the earliest type stars. For late B-type stars they used Mihalas' (1966) blanketed models, and used the (B-V) colors to. relate to the temperatures. The individual models are discussed elsewhere (Hickok and Morton 1968; Mihalas and Morton 1965; Adams and Morton 1968; Mihalas 1966). A comparison of the predicted far-ultraviolet flux for a
model equivalent to MK class 34 V with recent rocket observations shows fair agreement (Adams and Morton 1968) and a comparison of their temperature scale to the scale obtained from the stellar diameter observations of Brown et al. (1967) also shows good agreement (see Figure 24). The Strömgren (u-b) colors corresponding to each JohnsonMorgan (U-B) and the original calibration were obtained from the mean curve in a plot of (u-b) vs. (U-B) for stars listed by Heintze (1969). Bless (1970) points out that recent far ultraviolet observations from satellites suggest that for stars B5 and earlier the Morton and Adams temperatures appear to be too cool by 1000-2000 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$.

Part of the Morton and Adams calibration of B-type star temperatures was modified by Strom and Peterson (1968). They used the Balmer jump determined by Hayes (1.967) to change the zero point of the grid of models and then applied mean corrections to account for stellar rotation effects. The range of temperatures covered by their re-calibration does not extend to hot enough temperatures to be useful in the present discussion; however, their temperature scale is included in Figure 24 for comparison. We see that it disagrees quite seriously with other calibrations at the hotter end of its range.

Another temperature scale for B-type stars was presented by Heintze (1969) using Balmer jumps derived from published spectral scans. The temperatures came from

Mihalas' (1965) models, and the Balmer jumps were scaled so that the value for Vega is 1.43 , as derived by Heintze (1968). Using (u-b) colors of Heintze's stars calculated from photometric data of Crawford, we have plotted the temperatures and colors in Figure 24 along with the other calibrations. Heintze's data evidently agree very well with the Morton and Adams calibration.

## Bolomeひ̈ric Corrections

In order to compare the theoretical Z.A.M.S. with the observed Z.A.M.S. we must not only choose an appropriate temperature calibration, but we also need a table of the corresponding bolometric corrections (abbreviated B.C.). Strömgren (1.964) gives such a table`based on his model atmosphere calculations. Morton and Adams (1968) also give B.C.'s; however, their values are approximately $0^{m} \cdot 3$ more positive than Strömgren's. According to Bradley and Morton (1969) a better choice of the effective temperature of the sun leads to bolometric corrections for stars of type $B 4$ and earlier that are 0.25 more negative than the B.C.'s originally given by Morton and Adams. This brings the two B.C. scales into almost exact agreement for the early B-type stars. Since the adjustment suggested by Bradley and Morton produces a discontinuous jump in the Morton and Adams scale at MK class $B 4$, we conclude that the
more uniform bolometric correction scale given by Strömgren is sufficient to use in the following discussion.

## Zero Age Main Sequence

Kelsall and Strömgren (1966) present a series of stellar evolution computations for which they tabulate the temperature and bolometric magnitudes corresponding to the initial main sequences for each composition with which they computed models. In Figure 25 we compare the locations of three such sequences to the obscrved Z.A.M.S. in the $\beta$, (u-b) o diagram, using the Hayes, Morton and Adams, and Strömgren temperature calibrations, the Strömgren bolometric correction scale, and Crawford's $\beta-M_{v} c a l i b r a t i o n . ~ W e ~ n o t e ~$ that the $X=0.70, Z=0.03$ models, with the Strömgren temperature calibration, fit the main sequence quite well, however the other sets of curves deviate strongly away from the early end of the observed sequence. This could indicate systematic errors in the models, the bolometric correction scale, the temperature scale, or the observed Z.A.M.S. Since all of the models assumed no rotation, it would seem likely that adding corrections to the model sequences to take this into account would improve the agreement with the observed sequence. A detailed study of the effect of stellar rotation on the $H \beta$ line and related quantities has been done by Collins and Harrington (1966). They tabulate the differences in the $\beta$-indices and


Figure 25. Main Sequence Relations in the $\beta$, (u-b) Diagram -- Numbers at the ends of the sequences represent the compositions adopted by Kelsall

- and Ströngren (1966). The rirst number is the hydrogen mass fraction, $X$; the second number is the mass iraction of all elements heavier than helium. The heavy solid lines represent the Z.A.M.S.
(U-B)-colors for stars of different mass, angular momentum, and aspect angle. Their data show that the $\beta$-indices and colors of rotating stars will be located above and to the right of the non-rotating sequence in a $\beta$, (u-b) diagram, such as Figure 25. Average B-type stars, having v sin i between $150-250 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec}$ would lie $0.01-0.02$ above the nonrotating sequence. This correction would bring the theoretical initial sequence into better agreement with the Z.A.M.S.

Conversion from $\Delta M_{\text {bol }}$ to $\beta$
To transfer the evolutionary track isochrones into $\beta$, (u-b) diagram we need both a $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{eff}}{ }^{-(u-b)}$ calibration and a method for converting the published $\Delta M_{b o l}$ (taken above the main sequence at the same temperature) to the appropriate value of the $\beta$-index. The calibration of the $\beta$-index in terms of absolute magnitude by Crawford may be used along with the oloserved Z.A.M.S. in the $\beta$, (u-b) diagram. For each computed point to be plotted, $\Delta M_{b o l}$ is added to the absolute magnitude of the Z.A.M.S. at the (u-b), or Teff, in question, and the resulting value of $\beta$ is read off of the mean $\beta-M_{v}$ relation. Implicit in this procedure is the assumption that the bolometric correction of an evolved star does not depend significantly on $\Delta M_{b o I}$, that is to say $\Delta \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{bol}}=\Delta \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{v}}$. Strömgren (1964) showed that the bolometric correction does not change appreciably as a function
of $\log g$, which is related to $M_{v}$, so the procedure described above should be valid.

## Comparison of Models to Observations

Before directly comparing the series of theoretical evolutionary sequences to the observed sequences, it should be noted that the model computations by Iben (1965a, 1965b, 1966a, 1966b, 1966c, 1967) of the evolution of 5 and 2.25 $M_{o}$ stars do not agree with the corresponding Kelsall and Ströngren models. Figure 26 shows $\Delta M_{b o l}$ vs. los Teff for the $X=0.70, Z=0.02$ case of the Kel.sall and Strömgren models, and the $X=0.708, Z=0.02$ case of Iben. The latter's models are plotted to the end of the main sequence lifetimes, the ages of which agree with the ages found by Kelsall and Strömgren. However, the values of $\Delta M_{b o l}$ for Iben's models are less than $\sim 2 / 3 \Delta \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{bol}}(\mathrm{KS})$. This would tend to make isochrones for Iben's models lie that much closer to the Z.A.M.S. As we shall see below, even the Kelsall and Ströngren models fail to approach the observed amount of turning-up apparent in the observations of clusters. Nonetheless, it seems preferable to use the Kelsall and Ströngren models. A similar judgment may be made against using the $5 \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{o}}$ model by Schlesinger (1969), which shows an even shorter evolutionary track than the corresponding Iben model.


Figure 26. Evolutionary Tracks and Isochrones for $\mathrm{X}=0.70, \mathrm{Z}=0.02$ - Model calculations from Kelsall and Strömgren (1966). Heavy solid lines are time-constant loci, label.ed with the log(Age). Lighter solid lines represent evolutionary tracks for models corresponding to the labelled $\log (\mathbb{M})$. Dashed lines represent Iben's (1966a, 1967) 5M。 and $2.25 M_{0}$ model tracks for $X=0.708$ and $Z=0.02$. The ends of Iben's tracks correspond to ages of $65 \times 10^{6}$ and $480 \times 10^{6}$ years, respectively, for the $5 \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{o}}$ and $2.25 \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{o}}$ models.

The $\beta$, (u-b) diagrams in Figure 27 show the KelsallStrömgren isochrones for three compositions, using the Hayes temperature calibration. The equivalent diagrams using the Morton and Adams and the Strömgren calibrations are quite similar. To overlay the observed sequence, however, we should first choose the composition which seems most appropriate. Morton (1.968) discussed the abundance of
 that $X=0.70$ and $Z=0.02-0.03$ are the most appropriate values for the young Population I objects. Some caution must be exercised against the possibility of circular reasoning, however, since some of his evidence was based on a comparison of the theoretical mass-luminosity relation from the Kelsall-Strömgren models to the observed massluminosity relation.

The observed $\beta$, $(u-b)_{o}$ diagram for the Upper Scorpius, Upper Centaurus, and Lower Centaurus regions are shown in Figure 23. Overlaying the $X=0.70, Z=0.02$, and $Z=0.03$ model diagrams on the Upper Centaurus data leads to ages between $16 \times 10^{6}$ and 12: $\times 10^{6}$ years, respectively, while the $X=0.60, Z=0.03$ models give an age of $12 \times 10^{6}$ years. Although the Upper Centaurus stars show what appears to be a smooth rise away from the main sequence for the earliest B-type stars, ending at $(u-b)_{0}=0^{m} 0$, the Upper Scorpius stars show no such rise, the earliest stars being at $(u-b)_{o}=-0.2$. There appears to be a gap in the


Figure 27. Isochrones for Different Compositions in the $\beta$, (u-b) o Diagram -- Ages in millions of years are labelied at the end of each track.
early main sequence for the Upper Scorpius stars, extending from (u-b) $)_{o}=-0.09$ to +0.05 . This is probably not significant, nonctheless it does appear weakly in the $\beta$, (U-B) o diagrams published by Hardie and Crawford (1961). It should also be pointed out that there is one early $B$ star in the southern part of the Upper Centaurus region that shows $H \beta$ in emission (HD $120324=\mu$ Cen) , which seems to lie to the left of the hottest Centaurus stars in Figure 45. Similarly, HD $105435=\delta$ Cen in Lower Centaurus shows Balmer line emission, so its $\beta$ - and (u-b) o-indices are not plotted directly in Figure 23.

Another method for comparing the models to observations to determine the age of the group would be use a $V_{o},(u-b)_{o}$ diagram. By locating the Z.A.M.S. at the appropriate distance modulus, isochrones could be drawn relative to this. The Scorpio-Centaurus stars, however, are so nearby, and their distribution across the sky is so large, as to create a larger than normal dispersion in the color-magnitude diagram which does not occur in the $\beta$, (u-b) o diagram. There also seems to be a rather high frequency of binary stars in Scorpio-Centaurus, adding to the dispersion in the $V_{o},(u-b)_{o}$ diagram. The effect of the presence of a companion on the $\beta$ - and $(u-b)_{o}$-indices, however, is such as to shift the binary along the main sequence. Therefore, the problem of a high binary frequency may be neglected.

## Suminary

We have calibrated the (u-b) o color-index with the effective temperature scale of Hayes (1970). Using the $\beta-M_{v}$ calibration of Crawford (1970b) and the bolometric corrections from Ströngren (1964), the zero-age interior models of Kelsall and Strömgren (1966) agree reasonably well with the observational Z.A.M.S. The $\beta-\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{v}}$ and the $\beta-(u-b)_{o}$ calibrations were used to determine the relation between $\Delta M_{v}$ and $\beta$ for stars evolving away from the Z.A.M.S. The Kelsall and Strömgren models were then transformed to a $\beta$, $(u-b)_{o}$ diagram for direct comparison with the observed sequence. The ages derived for different compositions lie between 12 and 16 million years for the Upper Centaurus B stars. The sequence of Upper Scorpio stars seems to exclude this old an age, and the Lower Centaurus stars may be intermediate in age between the two other regions.

## CHAPTER 5

## AO STAR ANALYSIS

Stars that have effective temperatures corresponding to the observed maximum in the strength of the hydrogen Balmer lines present considerable difficulties to photometrists who attempt to determine their intrinsic colors and absolute magnitudes. As has been mentioned before, for B-type stars the size of the Balmer jump correlates well with the intrinsic color and effective temperature, and the strength of the Balmer lines; for example, H $\beta$ correlates with the absolute magnitude of the star. In the $A$ and $F$ star range the reverse situation is true; the $\beta$-index is strongly dependent on the effective temperature, while the $c_{1}$-index depends both on temperature and luminosity. Near spectral type AO, there occurs a reversal of the roles played by the photometric indices, with the result that the $\beta$ - and $c_{1}$-indices depend on both temperature and luminosity. For unreddened stars and for members of open clusters this problem is unimportant, since for the former case the location of the $(b-y)-, c_{1}-$, and $\beta$-indices in the $c_{1}$, (b-y) plane and the $\beta$, $(b-y)$ plane determines the effective temperature and luminosity, and for the latter case the B- or Atype members of the cluster may be used to eliminate any
reddening. Difficulties obviously arise when we attempt to deal with faint field stars for which we have no knowledge of the interstellar reddening. This is the situation we encounter in studying the AO-type stars in the ScorpioCentaurus region. Therefore, some procedure must be established to deal with these stars.

## The Zero Age Main Sequence for AO Stars

The first step in developing a calibration of the uvby and $H \beta$ photometric systems for the AO stars is to establish the location and dispersion of the Z.A.M.S. for the (b-y)-, $m_{1}-, c_{1-}^{-}$, and $\beta$-indices. The most effective way of doing this is to use oloservations of open clusters which have members extending throughout the spectral range of interest near AO. The available data consist of observations of the Pleiades (Crawford l970b), IC 2931 (Perry and Hill 1969), IC 2602 (Hill and Perry 1969), and NGC 7243 (Hill and Barnes 1970). All of these clusters include $B$-type stars, and have main sequences that extend through the AO range and well into the A star range. Mean reddening corrections appropriate to each cluster were determined from the $B-$ and $A-t y p e$ stars. The resulting data, when combined with the existing calibrations for $B-$ and A-type stars, yield the intrinsic colors for the Z.A.M.S. given in Table 18 , where $\left[\mathrm{m}_{1}\right]$ is defined as

Table 18. AO Calibration

| $(\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{y})$ | $(\mathrm{u}-\mathrm{b})$ | $\left[\mathrm{m}_{1}\right]$ | $\mathrm{c}_{1}$ | $\beta$ | Z.A.M.S |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| -0.020 | $1 . \mathrm{m}_{24}$ | 0.156 | 0.945 | $2^{m} .868$ | +1.1 |
| -0.015 | 1.30 | .164 | .965 | 2.890 | 1.2 |
| -0.010 | 1.33 | .171 | .970 | 2.901 | 1.3 |
| -0.005 | 1.35 | .178 | .975 | 2.907 | 1.4 |
| 0.000 | 1.37 | .182 | .980 | 2.909 | 1.5 |
| +0.005 | 1.39 | .186 | .980 | 2.909 | 1.6 |
| 0.010 | 1.40 | .190 | .977 | 2.908 | 1.6 |
| 0.015 | 1.42 | .193 | .975 | 2.907 | 1.7 |
| 0.020 | 1.43 | .197 | .973 | 2.906 | 1.8 |
| 0.030 | 1.44 | .203 | .967 | 2.900 | 1.9 |
| 0.040 | 1.46 | .210 | .960 | 2.894 | 2.1 |
| 0.050 | 1.47 | .216 | .950 | 2.888 | 2.2 |
| 0.060 | 1.47 | .222 | .935 | 2.880 | 2.3 |

$\left[m_{1}\right]=m_{1}+0.3(b-y)$. The calibration has been smoothed to join with the endpoints of the $B$ and $A$ star calibrations.

The effects of luminosity differences near aO are not as easily determined, since no cluster which has a turn-off at this spectral range has yet been observed with the four-color system. It is necessary, therefore, to use bright field stars which, hopefully, are unreddened, and which have accurate MK spectral classifications. We may then compare the locations of the photometric indices of stars with different luminosity classes in the $c_{1},(b-y)$ and $\beta$, (b-y) diagrams. Data for this comparison are taken from Jaschek, Conde, and de Sierra (1964), Crawford, Barnes, and Golson (1970), and an unpublished catalogue of four-color and $H \beta$ data for bright AO-type stars by D. L. Crawford, Barnes, Gibson, Golson, and M. L. Crawford (1970). Figures 28 and 29 show $\beta$ vs. (b-y) and $c_{1}$ vs. (b-y) for those stars brighter than $m_{v}=4.5$. The Z.A.M.S., the width of the Z.A.M.S. (as indicated by the cluster data mentioned above), and the slopes of the reddening lines are drawn in for comparison. The distribution of the bright AO stars shows considerable scatter above the Z.A.M.S., probably due to a combination of the effects of reddening and the spread of ages represented. In the sample of the brightest AO stars, presumably unreddened, very few points actually fall on or near the indicated Z.A.M.S. in the $c_{1}$, (b-y) diagram.


Figure 28. $\quad \beta$, (b-y) Diagram for Bright AO Stars -- The Z.A.M.S. and itts width, as shown by young, open clusters are indicated by solid and broken lines, respectively.


Figure 29. $c_{1, ~(b-y) ~ D i a g r a m ~ f o r ~ B r i g h t ~ A O ~ S t a r s ~-~ A l l ~}^{\text {f }}$ stars above the line "ab" are assumed to be evolved stars.

The narrow width of the cluster sequences in the $c_{1}$, ( $b-y$ ) diagram and the large spread in $c_{1}$-values observed for the field stars suggest that an initial elimination of evolved stars from the Scorpio-Centaurus analysis can be made by simply excluding stars above the line ab in Figure 29.

## Determination of Color Excesses

An estimation of the intrinsic (b-y) color, (b-y) ${ }_{0}$, for each star can be made using the $\left[m_{1}\right]$-index, where. $\left[m_{1}\right]=m_{1}+0.3(b-y)$ is, to a first approximation, independent of reddening. The cluster sequences in the $\left[m_{1}\right]$, $(b-y)_{o}$ diagram were again used to determine a mean relation, where the value of $(b-y)_{o}$ for each cluster member was calculated using the mean color excess for each cluster. Figure 30 shows the mean $\left[m_{1}\right],(b-y)$ o relation derived from the four clusters and the location of the indices for several bright stars having spectral types near $A O$ and luminosity classes ranging from IV to Ia. The mean relation apparently does not represent stars that have evolved above the main sequence, assuming that these stars are unreddened. Obviously, care should be taken to eliminate as many nonmain sequence stars as is possible before using this technique to determine the color excess of field stars.

The avoid this problem Strömgren (1966) defined two new indices using linear combinations of the basic uvby


Figure 30. [mı, (b-y) Relation for Young AO Stars
indices:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
a=(b-y)+0.18\{(u-b)-1.36\} & \text { (temperature indicator) } \\
r=0.35\left[c_{1}\right]-(\beta-2.565) & \text { (Iuminosity indicator) }
\end{array}
$$ where $\left[c_{1}\right]=c_{1}-0.2(b-y)$ is independent of reddening. The $r$-index is defined in such a way that it will be near zero along the main sequence. Membership in the group of AO stars was to be determined by the exclusion of a star from either the $B$ or $A F$ groups. The first relation is sensitive to interstellar reddening, so it would be desirable to calibrate $a_{0}$, the unreddened a-index, in terms of some temperature dependent, reddening-free quantity. Strömgren found that normal stars would satisfy the following expression: $a_{0}=2.0\left(\left[m_{1}\right]_{S}-0.179\right)+0.80 r$, where $\left[m_{1}\right]_{S}=m_{1}+0.18(b-y)$ is the reddening free index defined by Strömgren. A more recent determination of the slope of the reddening line in the $m_{1},(b-y)$-diagram leads to the definition $\left[m_{1}\right]=m_{1}+0.30(b-y)=\left[m_{1}\right]_{s}+0.12(b-y)$. This would change the above calibration of the a-index in terms of $\left[m_{1}\right]$ and $r$, but the difference in the value of the a-index determined from Ströngren's expression and a revised one would be equal to . $24(b-y)$, i.e., $a_{o}{ }^{\prime}=$ $2\left(\left[m_{1}\right]-.179\right)+.80 r-.24(b-y)$. This in turn implies that for a reddencd star the difference between the true, unreddened $a_{0}$ and the predicted $a_{0}{ }^{\prime}$ is $a_{0}-a_{0}{ }^{\prime}=.24 E(b-y)$. From the definition of the a-index and knowing that the color excess $E(u-b)=1.7 E(b-y)$, we find that the excess

$E(a)=1 \cdot 31 E(b-y)$. Therefore, using the observed index $a_{o b s}$ computed from $(b-y)$ and $(u-b)$, we find $a_{o b s}-a_{o}{ }^{\prime}=$ $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{obs}}-\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{o}}+.24 \mathrm{E}(\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{y})=\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{a})+.24 \mathrm{E}(\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{y})=1.55 \mathrm{E}(\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{y}) . \mathrm{We}$ conclude that we can determine the color excess $E(b-y)$ from the difference between the observed index $a_{o b s}$ and the $a_{o}{ }^{\prime}$ predicted from $\left[m_{1}\right], r$, and $(b-y): E(b-y)=0.645\left(a_{o b s}-\right.$ $a_{0}{ }^{\prime}$ ) 。

Color excesses have been calculated for the AO-type stars in the Scorpio-Centaurus region using both the mean $\left[m_{1}\right]$, (b-y) ${ }_{o}$-relation and Strömgren's a, rechnique. The color excesses computed by the two methods agree quite well, with a mean difference of 0.002 for the twenty unevolved stars in Centaurus and 0.005 for the stars in Scorpius. Because the $\left[m_{1}\right],(b-y){ }_{0}$ relation does not take luminosity effects into account, color excesses of evolved stars cannot be determined by this method. The a, $r$ technique includes a luminosity correction; therefore, it was decided to use the $a, r$ method to determine the reddening for each AO-type star. (In either case, it should be noted that reddened $A p$ or Am stars having large $m_{o}$-values give color excesses that are either negative or are too small.) Figure 31 shows $c_{0}$ vs. (b-y) for the AO stars in Scorpio-Centaurus. The filled circles and triangles represent the unevolved stars in Upper Centaurus and Upper Scorpio, respectively, and the open circles and open triangles represent the evolved stars. The location


Figure 3.1. $c_{o},(b-y)_{o}$ Diagram for AO Stars in Scorpius and Centaurus -- Filled circles represent unevolved AO stars in Upper Centaurus; open circles evolved stars; filled triangles, unevolved stars in Upper Scorpius; open triangles, evolved stars.
of the Z.A.M.S. and its expected width are indicated. The large number of apparent zero-age stars in the ScorpioCentaurus sample contrasts sharply with the small number of zero-age stars present in the sample of stars brighter than $m_{v}=4^{m} \cdot 5$ shown in Figure 29. Tables 19 and 20 list the Scorpio-centaurus AO stars, their color excesses, and unreddened photometric indices for the evolved and the unevolved stars, respectively. The color excess distribution across the sky is shown in Figure 32 , which plots 1. vs. b. The color excesses are given in units of orol, and circled numbers represent the unevolved AO stars determined from the $c_{o},(b-y){ }_{o}$ diagram. By assuming that all of the AO stars within the Z.A.M.S band shown in Figure 31 are indeed unevolved main sequence $A O$ stars, we may use the main sequence absolute magnitudes to calculate distance moduli. Figure 33 shows a histogram of the number of stars in each $0 .{ }^{n 1} 4$ interval of distance modulus for the $A O$ stars. The open bars represent the AO stars in Upper Centaurus, whereas the hatched bars added to these represent some of the AO stars listed by Garrison (1967) as being members. The small cross at d.m. $=6 .{ }^{\mathrm{m}} 2$ indicates Bertiau's adopted modulus from his moving cluster analysis of the B-type stars. There appears to be a tendency for the $A O$ stars to fall at smaller moduli. than $6^{m} .2$, but it is doubtful. that this is significant. The mean photometric distance moduli for the Upper Centaurus

Table 19. Unreddened Photometric Indices for Unevolved AO Stars

| HD No. | Sp. | $\beta$ | $E(b-y)$ | $\mathrm{V}_{0}$ | $(b-y)_{0}$ | $\mathrm{m}_{0}$ | $c_{0}$ | d.m. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 118335 | AI III | $2^{\mathrm{m}} \cdot 921$ | 0.026 | 7.51 | -0.011 | $0 \cdot \mathrm{~m} 174$ | 0.997 | 6.2 |
| 122705 | A 4 V | 2.895 | . 005 | 7.60 | . 051 | . 206 | . 954 | 5.4 |
| 122757 AB | A 4 V | 2.885 | . 056 | 8.32 | . 028 | . 182 | . 999 | 6.4 |
| 123344 | AO III | 2.880 | . 021 | 7.26 | -. 004 | . 160 | . 982 | 5.8 |
| 123431 | AO | 2.894 | . 021 | 8.63 | -. 018 | . 156 | 1.010 | 7.5 |
| 125509 | B9.5 III | 2.859 | . 023 | 7.59 | -. 026 | . 137 | . 990 | 6.6 |
| 126062 | Al | 2.918 | . 01.1 | 7.39 | . 013 | . 190 | . 978 | 5.8 |
| 126561 | AO | 2.914 | . 003 | 7.22 | -. 011 | . 173 | . 963 | 5.9 |
| 129791 | B9.5 V | 2.864 | . 039 | 6.72 | -. 006 | . 152 | . 964 | 5.3 |
| 131399 | A 3 III | 2.925 | . 020 | 6.95 | . 027 | . 203 | . 963 | 5.1 |
| $131461 \mathrm{AB}^{\text {a }}$ | AI IV | -- | . 014 | 7.19 | . 017 | . 189 | . 961 | 5.5 |
| 131752 | A. | 2.874 | . 026 | 6.26 | . 005 | .164 | . 961 | 4.8 |
| 131777 | B9 | 2.896 | . 021 | 8.04 | . 018 | . 180 | 1.010 | 6.2 |
| 133954 | A2 | 2.891 | . 002 | 8.11 | . 071 | . 218 | . 924 | 5.8 |
| 134685 | AO V | 2.885 | . 080 | 7.32 | . 008 | .170 | . 986 | 5.7 |
| 135454 | B9 V | 2.854 | . 014 | 6.75 | -. 019 | . 142 | . 952 | 5.6 |
| 136013 | A1 V | 2.927 | . 076 | 7.41 | -. 026 | . 170 | . 992 | 6.3 |
| 137119 | Al | 2.908 | -. 012 | 7.62 | . .045 | . 212 | . 963 | 5.5 |
| 138285 | A2 V | 2.925 | . 012 | 7.43 | -. 010 | .178 | . 963 | 6.1 |
|  | A5 III | 2.916 | . 004 | 7.70 | .037 | . 206 | -959 | 5.7 |
| $141939{ }^{\text {b }}$ | A2 | 2.905 | . 056 | 8.00 | . 061 | . 214 | . 973 | 5.7 |
| $146606^{\text {c }}$ | AO V | 2.897 | . 026 | 6.98 | -. 037 | . 155 | . 944 | 6.3 |
| $147009{ }^{\text {d }}$ | B9.5 V | 2.925 | . 235 | 7.04 | -. 029 | . 165 | . 949 | 6.1 |
| $147343^{\text {e }}$ | Al Vn | 2.876 | . 418 | 7.54 | -. 046 | . 189 | . 909 | $5 \cdot 3$ |
| $147384^{\text {f }}$ | B9.5 V | 2.881 | . 298 | 7.33 | -. 011 | . 155 | . 923 | 6.0 |
| 1475925 | Al V | 2.939 | .161 | 8.22 | . 019 | . 203 | . 952 | 6.4 |

Table 19.--Continued


Table 20. Unreddened Photometric Indices for Evolved AO Stars

| HD No. | Sp. | $\beta$ | $E(b-y)$ | $\mathrm{V}_{0}$ | $(b-y)_{0}$ | $\mathrm{m}_{0}$ | ${ }^{\circ}$ 。 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 119268 | gAl: | $2^{\text {m }} 8888$ | 0.028 | 8.4 .45 | 0.012 | $0 \cdot 170$ | 1.036 |
| 119430 | AO III | 2.830 | . 022 | 6.98 | -. 019 | . 120 | 1.094 |
| 122109 | A2 V | 2.870 | . 032 | 7.86 | -. 004 | .149 | 1.103 |
| 124540 | A1 | 2.895 | . 048 | 8.80 | . 038 | . 185 | 1.126 |
| 125253 | A1 | 2.921 | . 001 | 7.08 | . 030 | . 193 | 1.021 |
| 128224 | A 2 | 2.886 | . 004 | 8.79 | . 050 | . 190 | 1.118 |
| 130133 | A. 1 | 2.884 | . 001 | 8.44 | . 052 | .194 | 1.044 |
| 130163 | B9.5 V | 2.879 | . 004 | 6.90 | . 003 | . 159 | 1.059 |
| 133716 | A1 | 2.892 | . 01.1 | 7.12 | . 020 | .175 | 1.088 |
| 133750 | B8 V | 2.905 | . 01.4 | 7.12 | . 008 | .176 | 1.029 |
| 135334 | A2 V | 2.892 | . 021 | 6.10 | . 012 | .172 | 1.052 |
| 136761 | A 4 IV | 2.908 | -. 017 | 6.75 | . 030 | . 202 | 1.038 |
| 141404 a | B9.5 V | -- | -- | -- | --- | -- |  |
| 1.41905 | AI | 2.903 | . 108 | 7.85 | . 029 | .183 | 1.071 |
| 142431 | A2 | 2.881 | . 008 | 7.02 | . 043 | . 189 | 1.031 |
| $142805^{\circ}$ | 人O III | 2.830 | . 137 | 6.55 | . 003 | . 135 | 1.048 |
| ${ }^{a}$ HD 141404: Large $c_{1}$-index; observed by Garrison (1967) in Upper Scorpius. <br> $\mathrm{b}_{\text {HD 142805: Observed by Garrison (1967) in Upper }}$ Scorpius. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Figure 32. Areal Distribution of $E(b-y)$ for AO Stars -- Plotted numbers represent $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{y})$ in units of O mol. Circled numbers represent unevolved stars.


Figure 33. Frequencies of Distance Moduli for AO Stars -Only unevolved stars have been counted, using zero-age values of: $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{v}}$.

AO stars and the Upper Scorpius stars are, respectively, 6.0 and 5.9 .

## CHAPTER 6

## A AND F STAR ANALYSIS

There are seventy-six stars in the current program having uvby and $H \beta$ photometry which are considered to be A- and F-type stars on the basis of their spectral types and photometric indices. The criteria used have been that (1) each star have an objective prism spectral type of AO or later, and (2) $\beta \leq 2.880$. Crawford (1970a) gives the standard relations between the quantities $\beta$, $(b-y), m_{1}, c_{1}$, and $M_{v}$. The absolute magnitude calibration was determined from observations of bright field A- and F-type stars having measured trigonometric parallaxes as well as A- and F-type stars in nearby open clusters. The Hyades cluster was excluded from the determination of the relations between $\beta,(b-y), c_{1}$, and $M_{v}$. The standard relation between $m_{1}$ and ( $b-y$ ), however, is determined from the Hyades.

The intrinsic color (b-y) may be calculated from the observed $\beta$-index, the difference between the observed $c_{1}$ and the zero-age value of $c_{1}$ at the same $\beta, \delta c_{1}$, and the difference between the Hyades sequence $m_{1}$ at the same $\beta$ and the observed $m_{1}, \delta m_{1}$. The expression given by Crawford is: $(b-y)_{o}=2.943-\beta-0.1 \delta c_{1}-0.1 \delta m_{1}$. The unreddened
photometric indices determined in this way and related quantities for stars in the Scorpio-Centaurus association are listed in Table 2l. The distribution of color excesses, $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{y})$ (in units of O (OL), on the sky is shown in Figure 34. The agreement with the equivalent diagrams for B-type stars (Figure 11) and for AO stars (Figure 28) is good. The circled numbers represent (presumably) unevolved stars (having $\delta c_{1} \leq 0.05$ ) which will be discussed below.

## Evolutionary Erfects

In the $A$ and $F$ star range differences in the Balmer jump are related to luminosity dirferences. Therefore, the $c_{1}$-index should help to identify evolved, more luminous stars. The quantity $\delta c_{1}$ indicates the difference between the observed $c_{1}$ and the $c_{1}$ (Z.A.) for the same value of $\beta$. The $\beta$-index is well correlated with effective temperature in the AF star spectral range. To determine the appropriate upper limit to impose on the size of $\delta c_{1}$ that will exclude evolved (large $\delta c_{1}$ ) stars, we return to the discussion in Chapter 5 of the observed main sequences for the young open clusters. The extreme width of the observed sequences in $c_{1}$ is indicated by the dashed curves in Figure 29. The upper limit of the Z.A.M.S. in the $c_{1}$, (b-y) diagram lies no more than 0.05 above the zero-age line. We therefore assume that $A-$ and $F$-type stars in Scorpius and Centaurus

Table 2l. Unreddened Photometric Colors for A and F Stars

| HD No. | Sp. | $\beta$ | $E(b-y)$ | $\mathrm{v}_{0}$ | $(b-y)_{0}$ | $\mathrm{m}_{0}$ | ${ }^{\text {c }}$ 。 | $\delta c_{1}$ | d.m. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 118060 | A5 | 2.861 | 0.026 | 8.75 | 0.070 | 0.210 | $0 \cdot 986$ | 0.09 | 7 m .1 |
| 119221 | A 3 | 2.879 | . 023 | 7.14 | . 063 | . 199 | . 919 | -. 01 | $4.8{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 119674 | Am | 2.823 | .045 | 8.81 | . 120 | . 270 | . 861 | . 04 | $6.2^{\text {a }}$ |
| 120487 | A 7 | 2.819 | . 022 | 8.87 | . 122 | . 204 | . 808 | . 00 | $6.3{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 120959 | A 3 V | 2.848 | . 008 | 8.67 | . 067 | . 174 | 1.111 | . 24 |  |
| 120960 | A 7 | 2.745 | . 013 | 7.75 | . 194 | . 174 | . 684 | . 02 | $4.9{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 121057 | A 7 | 2.869 | . 028 | 7.03 | . 063 | . 207 | . 997 | . 09 | 5.3 |
| 121226 | Al | 2.873 | -. 001 | 7.41 | . 050 | . 164 | 1.071 | . 15 | 5.7 |
| $121399{ }^{\text {b }}$ | Comp | 2.741 | .107: | 6.70 | . 162 | . 144 | . 922 | . 26 | 5 |
| 121528 | FO: | 2.747 | . 039 | 8.98 | . 179 | .180 | . 807 | . 13 | $7 \cdot 3$ |
| 121701 | A5 IV: | 2.875 | . 017 | 8.50 | . 069 | . 225 | . 928 | . 00 | $6.2{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 122664 | A5 | 2.833 | . 042 | 8.14 | . 086 | . 183 | . 908 | . 17 | 7.0 |
| 122756 | FO: | 2.711 | . 023 | 8.54 | . 229 | . 144 | . 546 | -. 02 | $5.2{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 123021 | $\mathrm{A}_{7}$ | 2.786 | -. 002 | 8.32 | . 152 | . 176 | . 779 | . 03 | $5.6^{\text {a }}$ |
| 123664 | A2 IV: | 2.876 | .036 | 7.45 | . 031 | . 150 | 1.193 | . 27 | 7.4 |
| 124228 | A 3 | 2.854 | . 040 | 7.68 | . 064 | . 174 | 1.051 | . 17 | 6.9 |
| 124254 | A 3 | 2.818 | . 005 | 7.49 | . 114 | . 189 | . 894 | . 09 | 5.6 |
| 124504 | A 3 | 2.844 | . 042 | 7.91 | . 091 | . 207 | . 897 | . 04 | $5.4{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 125541 | F1 | 2.746 | -. 010 | 8.90 | .196 | . 190 | . 682 | . 01 | $6.0^{\text {a }}$ |
| 125718 | A 5 | 2.863 | . 060 | 8.96 | . 064 | . 195 | . 983 | . 08 | 7.2 |
| 125937 | A5 | 2.810 | . 034 | 7.93 | . 109 | . 188 | . 874 | . 18 | 7. |
| 126194 | A2 V | 2.873 | -. 006 | 6.69 | . 069 | . 170 | . 885 | -. 04 | $4.4{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 126476 | A 5 | 2.860 | . 016 | 7.99 | . 073 | . 212 | . 979 | . 09 | 6.5 |
| 127716 | A3 IV | 2.843 | -. 008 | 6.61 | . 051 | . 139 | 1.285 | . 42 | (6.8) |
| 127717 | FO | 2.742 | . 009 | 8.99 | . 183 | .187 | . 739 | . 08 | 6.8 |
| 127778 | F1 | 2.700 | . 050 | 9.62 | . 227 | . 187 | . 668 | . 13 | 7.6 |
| 127879 | A5 V | 2.812 | . 018 | 7.75 | . 125 | . 195 | . 824 | . 03 | $5.1{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 128066 | FO | 2.716 | . 006 | 8.82 | . 217 | . 144 | . 648 | . 07 | 6.3 |
| 128532 | A 3 | 2.872 | . 024 | 6.68 | . 059 | . 201 | 1.013 | . 10 | 5.2 |
| 128648 | F 5 | 2.860 | . 030 | 8.14 | . 079 | . 199 | . 892 | . 00 | $5.7{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 128788 | A5 | 2.665 | . 027 | . 870 | . 270 | . 167 | . 498 | . 05 | $5.1{ }^{\text {a }}$ |

Table 2l.--Continued Unreddened Photometric Colors for $A$ and $F$ Stars

| HD No. | Sp. | $\beta$ | $E(b-y)$ | $\mathrm{V}_{0}$ | $(b-y)_{0}$ | $\mathrm{m}_{0}$ | $c_{0}$ | $\delta c_{1}$ | d.m. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 128855 | A1 V | 2.844 | -0.019 | $7 \cdot 35$ | 0.060 | 0.138 | 1 m ¢ 86 | $0 \cdot 32$ | -- |
| 130388 | B9.5 V | 2.863 | . 052 | 7.39 | . 056 | . 190 | . 984 | . 08 | $5^{m} 6$ |
| 131460 | A 7 | 2.797 | . 043 | 8.77 | . 140 | . 215 | . 805 | . 03 | $6.1{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 131503 | A 3 | 2.830 | . 048 | 7.78 | . 095 | . 202 | . 961 | . 13 | 6.3 |
| 131518 | A 7 | 2.795 | . 024 | 9.02 | . 140 | . 206 | . 848 | . 07 | 6.9 |
| 131901 | Al | 2.876 | . 001 | 7.20 | . 049 | . 169 | 1.053 | . 13 | 5.9 |
| 132080 | A 7 | 2.801 | . 048 | 9.46 | .131 | . 198 | . 836 | . 06 | 7.3 |
| 132761 | A 5 | 2.835 | . 038 | 7.55 | . 098 | .191 | . 886 | . 04 | $5.1{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 132851 | A5 V | 2.841 | . 018 | 5.74 | . 079 | . 188 | 1.054 | . 20 | 5.0 |
| 133574 | A 7 | 2.762 | . 020 | 8.60 | . 176 | . 187 | . 730 | . 03 | 5.8 a |
| 133991 | F 3 | 2.700 | . 177 | 8.44 | . 223 | . 245 | . 714 | . 18 | 6.7 |
| 134055 | A 7 | 2.810 | . 005 | 7.21 | . 134 | . 214 | . 795 | . 00 | $4 \cdot 5^{\text {a }}$ |
| 134518 | A5 | 2.803 | . 068 | 8.96 | . 127 | . 207 | . 756 | . 07 | 6.9 |
| 134950 | A 5 | 2.874 | . 084 | 8.32 | . 054 | . 217 | . 990 | . 07 | 6.3 |
| 134990 | A1 | 2.863 | . 001 | 7.06 | . 055 | . 180 | 1.025 | . 12 | 5.7 |
| 135815 | A5 | 2.844 | . 056 | 9.07 | . 073 | . 184 | 1.042 | . 18 | 8.3 |
| 135877 | A 3 | 2.795 | -. 020 | 8.80 | . 125 | . 214 : | . 997 | . 18 | 7.5 |
| 136164 | A 3 | 2.838 | -. 005 | 7.66 | . 097 | . 191 | . 914 | . 07 | 5.8 |
| 136483 | F2 | 2.635 | . 017 | 8.92 | .263 | . 148 | . 790 | . 42 | 8.7 |
| 137169 | A 3 | 2.874: | . 029 : | 8.84: | . 047 : | .191: | 1.106: | .18: | 7.9: |
| 137499 | F 3 | 2.656 | . 012 | 9.42 | . 285 | . 157 | . 403 | -. 01 | $5.7{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 137785 | A2 | 2.878: | . 040 : | 7.45: | . 065 : | .196: | . 885 : | -. 04 : | 5.2: ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 137957 | Al | 2.878: | -.003: | 7.44: | . 040 : | .143: | 1.115: | .18: | 6.5 |
| 138138 | A2 | 2.863 | -. 001 | 6.85 | . 080 | . 212 | . 887 | -. 01 | $4.4{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 139048 | A 7 | 2.759 | 0.015 | 9.04 | . 176 | . 206 | . 770 | . 08 | 6.9 |
| 139883 | F1 | 2.686 | -. 005 | 8.35 | . 253 | . 152 | - 518 | . 02 | $5.0{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 140958 | A5 | 2.819 | -. 005 | 8.04 | . 118 | . 196 | . 857 | . 05 | $5.4{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 141518 | F2 | 2.688 | -. 010 | 8.52 | . 267 | . 168 | . 477 | -. 03 | $5.1{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 141779 | A7 V | 2.851 | . 009 | 8.06 | . 094 | . 206 | . 853 | -. 02 | $5.2^{\text {a }}$ |
| $142097^{\text {c }}$ | A 3 | 2.851 | . 159 | 7.71 | . 079 | . 207 | . 852 | -. 02 | $5.2{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| $143692^{\text {d }}$ | A2 | 2.868 | . 051 | $7 \cdot 73$ | . 064 | . 193 | 1.000 | . 09 | 6.0 |

Table 2l.--Continued Unreddened Photometric Colors for A and F Stars

| HD No. | Sp. | $\beta$ | $E(b-y)$ | $\mathrm{v}_{0}$ | $(b-y)_{0}$ | $\mathrm{m}_{0}$ | ${ }^{\circ}$ | $\delta^{\circ}{ }_{1}$ | d.m. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $145468{ }^{\text {e }}$ | A 3 | 2.857 | 0.124 | 7.68 | 0.070 | 0.206 | $0 \cdot 917$ | $0 \cdot \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ | $5^{\mathrm{m}} \cdot 3^{\text {a }}$ |
| $145793{ }^{\text {f }}$ | A 3 V | 2.842 | . 059 | 7.70 | . 080 | . 178 | . 922 | . 07 | 5.8 |
| 1468995 | A7 V | (2.786) | .261: | 9.16: | . 122 : | . 206 | . 900 | . 15 | -- |
| $146998{ }^{\text {h }}$ | A $7 \mathrm{p}(\mathrm{SrCr}$ ) | (2.761) | . 234 | 8.52 | . 179 | . 247 | . 698 | -1 | 5.6: |
| $147084{ }^{\text {i }}$ | A5 II | 2.788 | . 600 | 1.98 | . 016 | . 158 | 1.494 | . 76 |  |
| $147432{ }^{\text {J }}$ | A2 V | 2.863 | . 078 | 7.20 | . 074 | . 194 | . 889 | -. 01 | $4.8{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 148321 k | A 5 mp (Sr) | 2.881 | . 004 | 7.00 | . 084 | . 248 | . 850 | -. 18 | $4.5^{\text {a }}$ |
| $148352^{1}$ | F3 V | 2.687 | . 002 | 7.51 | . 253 | . 157 | . 519 | . 02 | $4.1{ }^{\text {a }}$ |

$\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{d} . \mathrm{m}}$. from $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{v}}(\mathrm{Z} . \mathrm{A}$.$) .$
$\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{HD}}$ 121399: Visual Binary.
$c_{\text {HD 142097: }}$ In Upper Scorpius.
$\mathrm{d}_{\text {HD 143692: In Upper Scorpius. }}$.
$e_{H D}$ 145468: In Upper Scorpius.
$f_{\text {HD 145793: }}$ In Upper Scorpius.
$\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{HD}}$ 146899: Observed by Garrison (1967).
$h_{\text {HD 146998: }}$ Observed by Garrison (1967).
$i_{\text {HD }}$ 147084: Observed by Garrison (1967).
$j_{\text {HD }}$ 147432: In Upper Scorpius; uvby data from Crawford (1970b).
$\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{HD}}$ 148321: Observed by Garrison (1967).
$1_{\text {HD 148352: Observed by Garrison (1967). }}$


Figure 34. Areal Distribution of $E(b-y)$ for AF Stars -- Plotted numbers represent $E(b-y)$ in units of $O . O l$. Circled numbers represent unevolved $A F$ stars.
having $\delta c_{1} \leq 0.05$ are young and are possible members of the Upper Centaurus B-type star association.

Figure 35 shows $c_{0}$, the intrinsic $c_{1}$ index, plotted against (b-y) for the $A-$ and $F$-type stars observed in the current program. The AO stars observed are included for comparison. Crawford's Z.A.M.S. is also drawn in for
". reference, as is the upper limit of the Z.A.M.S. We should point out that the adopted upper limit is quite a generous one. However, there may be other intrinsic effects than age acting to change the photometric indices and broaden the observed sequence, such as different rotational velocities. This last effect has been shown to be rather small by Crawford (1970a), who found for A-type stars changes in $c_{1}$ of the order of 0.04 for $100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec}$ difference in rotational velocity, and we do not anticipate any difference in the mean rotational velocities that is that large.

The points falling slightly below the zero-age line for $(b-y)_{0} \leq 0^{m} .100$ could be significant in terms of the evolution of low mass stars to the main sequence when compared with the small number of such stars for $(b-y)_{0}>$ 0.100. The MK spectral. type that corresponds to $(b-y)_{0}=$ 0.100 is $A 7$; however, the latest spectral type which could have contracted to the main sequence is approximately F2 if the earliest spectral type still observed to be within the main sequence is type B2 (see Murphy 1969). According to


Figure 35. $c_{0},(b-y)_{o}$ Diagram for $A F S t a r s i n ~ S c o r p i u s$ and Centaurus -- The solid line represents the Z.A.M.S.; the broken line, upper limit to the Z.A.M.S.

Murphy, who used Iben's (1965a, 1965b, 1966a, 1966b, 1966c) model calculations, if the earliest type is B2 and the latest type is $F 2$, the age would be less than or equal to $20 \times 10^{6}$ years, whereas if the latest main sequence star observed is A7, the age would be greater than or equal to $10 \times 10^{6}$ years. There is obviously some difficulty present in comparing the observed $c_{0},(b-y)_{o}$ diagram to Murphy's spectroscopic analysis, since an F2 type star corresponds to a color of $(b-y)_{o}=0.200$. Most probably, the lack of stars with negative $\delta c_{1}$ 's does not signify the end of the main sequence, and may simply be caused by observational scatter. Also, with so few stars having values of (b-y) o greater than 0.200 , it would be difficult to draw any reliable conclusion about the presence of such late type stars on the Z.A.M.S. However, it is interesting to note that five out of six stars having $(b-y)_{o} \geq 0 .{ }^{m} 250$ lie on or near the Z.A.M.S.

## Absolute Magnitudes and Distance Moduli

According to Crawford (1970a), the absolute magnitude of each A-type star may be determined from $M_{v}=$ $M_{v}$ (Z.A.) - $8 \delta c_{1}$ with an r.m.s. scatter of $0^{m} \cdot 3$ for one star. The factor 8 comes from observations of evolved stars in open clusters plus trigonometric parallax stars, but the zero point for the calibration comes exclusively from trigonometric parallax stars. The computed absolute
magnitude stars have been used to calculate the individual distance modulus for each AF star on our list. Figure 36 shows several histograms of the number of stars having moduli in the plotted $0 .{ }^{m} 4^{4}$ intervals. It is clear from the first histogram, Figure $36(a)$, showing the frequency distribution with distance modulus for the stars having $\delta c_{1} \leq 0.05$, that the mean distance modulus is at least half a magnitude less than the value of 6.2 adopted by Bertiau as the mean modulus. The hatched bars in Figure 36(a), showing the frequency distribution of those stars having $\delta c_{1} \leq 0^{m} 0$, were included to determine what contribution these particular stars make to the mean distance modulus. Evidently these stars have been assigned systematically smaller moduli. This could be explained by the ract that since these stars had $\delta c_{1} \leq 0.0$, the correction to $M_{v}(Z . A$.$) used to determine the true absolute$ magnitude was positive, thereby increasing $M_{v}$ but decreasing d.m. $=V_{o}-M_{v}$ by a few tenths of a magnitude. Figure 36(b) shows the histogram obtained for stars having $\delta c_{1}=$ 0.05 but with no correction applied to the absolute magnitudes. The hatched bars again represent the contribution made by stars having $\delta c_{1} \leq 0^{\circ} \cdot 0$, and show that there is no significant difference in distance modulus between those stars having $\delta c_{1} \leq 0^{m} 0$ and those having $0.0 \leq c_{1} \leq 0.05$. The mean modulus for the $\delta c_{1} \leq 0^{\mathrm{m}} \cdot 05$ group, $5^{\mathrm{m}} \cdot 3$, is rather


Figure 36. Frequencies of Distance Moduli for AF Stars
small and may indicate the presence of many nearby field stars remaining in the sample.

Figures $36(\mathrm{c})$ and $36(\mathrm{~d})$ show, respectively, the histograms for the stars having $\delta c_{1}>0.05$ and for all of the observed A- and F-type stars in Scorpius and Centaurus. The large value for the mean distance modulus for the large $\delta c_{1}$ stars is probably due to a selection effect created by the way in which stars were chosen for the observing program, namely, by apparent magnitude.

## The Metallicity Index

Another check on the uniformity of the sequence of A- and F-type stars chosen because of their $\delta c_{1} \leq 0.05$ can be made using the $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{o}}$, (b-y) diagram (see Figure 37). Because the $m_{o}$-indices of field stars show a wide range of values, this diagram may not be used to verify the similarities of members of a previously determined group. Previous comparisons of cluster sequences for nearby open clusters (see Crawford and Perry 1966; Crawford and Strömgren 1966; Crawford and Barnes 1969a, 1969b, 1970a) have shown that $F$ - and late A-type stars in physical groups tend to form a fairly tight band in the mo, (b-y) diagram. The mean relation from cluster to cluster may vary, however, as shown by late A- and F-type stars in the Hyades (Crawford and Perry 1966) and Pleiades (Crawford 1970b). This point has been discussed by Chaffee, Carbon, and Strom (1971),


Figure 37. $m_{0}$, (b-y) ${ }_{0}$ Diagram for AF Stars in Scorpius and Centaurus -- Filled circles represent Upper Scorpius stars; crosses, Upper Centaurus; open circles, AO stars in Upper Centaurus. Symbols enclosed in large circles represent unevolved AF stars.
who discuss different metal abundances, microturbulent velocities, ages, and helium abundances as possible causes for the observed photometric differences. We see from Figure 37 that with few exceptions the chosen A- and F-type stars (indicated by large circles) in Scorpius and Centaurus do indeed form a fairly narrow sequence. In the A star region it lies only slightly above the Hyades standard relation. In the $F$ star region, $(b-y)_{o}>0^{m_{1}} 160$, the few stars circled lie even farther above the standard relation. However, these stars are more doubtful as to their membership in the association, so little emphasis can be placed on their different values of $m_{1}$.

Several stars having large values of $m_{1}$ are apparent in Figure 37. Two stars observed by Garrison in Upper Scorpius, HD 146998 and 148321 , were classified by him as $A 7 p$ and A5mp, respectively, The position of HD 146998 is uncertain because it has only one observation in $H \beta$, therefore its color excess was determined by assuming that it lies along the Z.A.M.S. in the $c_{1}$, ( $b-y$ ) diagram. We also see that HD 119674 and HD 133991 , both of which are in the Upper Centaurus region, have large values of $m_{1}$. The former star appears to be an Am star from the available slit spectra, which agrees with the large $m_{1}$-index. No slit spectrogram is available for HD 133991; however, it has a rather large value of (b-y)
relative to most Am stars, and it also has a large value of
$\delta c_{1}$, suggesting that it may be a binary star.

## CHAPTER 7

## DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

In this final chapter we shall consolidate much of the information derived in the earlier chapters. We shall present here our final list of possible members of both the Upper Centaurus and the Upper Scorpius associations. A comparison will be made between the $\beta$, (u-b) odiagrams for the two regions and the equivalent diagrams for several other young clusters and associations. In addition, a brief summary will be presented concerning the problem of the peculiar stars in Upper Scorpius, and the possible memberships of two Am stars will be considered. Several suggestions for future work on the associations will also be offered.

## Motions Analysis

It has been known for many years that the B-type stars in the Scorpio-Centaurus association share a common space motion. For this reason any discussion of possible additional members should normally include-or perhaps, consist of--a detailed analysis of the proper motions and radial velocities of the suspected members. In the present situation, however, the stars under investigation are not bright; well observed, northern hemisphere stars, but they
are mostly between $m_{v}=7.0$ and $m_{v}=10.0$, and they can only be observed properly from southern hemisphere observatories. This means that the proper motions suffer from both larger internal scatter and from unknown systematic errors in the proper motions. The radial velocity information, on the other hand, is almost non-existent for most of the $A-$ and $F$-type stars in the Scorpio-Centaurus region; therefore, a detail.ed study of the motions would involve obtaining and measuring large numbers of spectra for each of the many stars in question. We shall see in the following discussions that the available proper motions and radial velocity data did not offer reliable means for distinguishing individual possible member stars.

## Proper Motions

One of the most serious problems encountered in dealing with a variety of proper motion lists is to determine the systematic corrections to be applied for the reduction of these proper motions to a common and reliable fundamental system. Fricke (1966) discussed the systematic errors in the FK3, FK4, N30, and GC systems. He showed that differences between the values of $\mu_{\delta}$ in the PK4 and GC systems, for example, in the region of the Scorpio-

Centaurus association can reach over $0!004$ p.a. and $0: 002$ p.a. for $\mu_{\alpha} \cos \delta$. Fortunately, even if systematic errors of this order are still present in the data used here, we
shall see that it would be too small to affect the present discussion, for the estimated systematic effects are considerably less than the observational errors in the proper motions.

The proper motions for all stars included in the current program have been obtained from the Smithsonian Star Catalog (Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory 1966) which combines information from a large number of catalogues. The systematic differences between the different systems involved have already been taken into account, as well as can be done, in the preparation of the catalog itself. The identification numbers, proper motion components, accidental errors, and notes are listed in Table 22. Stars studied by Bertiau (1958) have a "B" in the Notes column; those studied by Garrison (1967) but not by Bertiau have a "G" in the notes.

The mean values of $\mu_{\delta}$ and $\mu_{\alpha} \cos \delta$ for the bright B-type stars seem to remain fairly constant over the face of the cluster. For this reason we assume that we can plot in Figure 38 histograms of the frequency of $\mu_{\delta}$ and $\mu_{\alpha} \cos \delta$ in Centaurus for different groups of stars. Figure 38(a) shows the frequency of $\mu_{\delta}$ for the bright B-type stars from Bertiau's list; Figure $38(\mathrm{~b})$ shows the corresponding histogram for the young late- $B$ and $A F$ stars considered to be possible members; and Figure 38(c), for those stars considered to be non-members from the current investigation.

Table 22. Proper Motions from the Smithsonian Catalog

| HD No. | SAO cat | $\stackrel{\mu_{\hat{0}}}{\text { "p.a. }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \sigma_{\mu \delta} \\ & 0.001 \\ & \text { "р.а. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mu_{\alpha} \cos \delta \\ & " p . a . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \sigma_{\mu}{ }_{\alpha} \\ & 0.001 \\ & 1 p . a . \end{aligned}$ | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 118060 | 224263 | -. 023 | 12 | -. 029 | 12 |  |
| 118335 | 204656 | -. 037 | 5 | $+.005$ | 5 |  |
| 119103 | 224349 | -. 009 | 1.1 | -. 035 | 15 |  |
| 119221 | 224355 | -. 036 | 13 | -. 012 | 17 |  |
| 119268 | 224361 | -. 002 | 12 | -. 038 | 12 |  |
| 119361. | 224365 | -. 010 | 7 | -. 028 | 9 |  |
| 1.19430 | 224377 | $+.004$ | 12 | +.026 | 1.2 |  |
| 119674 | 204804 | -. 042 | 13 | -. 016 | 13 |  |
| 120307 | 224469 | -. 025 | 4 | -. 024 | 5 | B |
| 1.20324 | 224471 | -. 026 | 2 | -. 022 | 3 | B |
| 120487 | 224479 | -. 021 | 12 | -. 006 | 12 |  |
| 120709 | 204916 | -. 042 | 4 | -. 056 | 4 | B |
| 120908 | 241262 | -. 032 | 7 | -. 022 | 11 | B |
| 120955 | 204944 | -. 016 | 4 | -. 015 | 5 | B |
| 120959 | 204950 | -. 013 | 18 | +.037 | 18 |  |
| 120960 | 224511 | -. 020 | 1.3 | +.023 | 16 |  |
| 1.21057 | 2245.19 | -. 022 | 13 | -. 067 | 17 |  |
| 121226 | 224534 | -. 030 | 12 | -. 053 | 16 |  |
| 121399 | 204997 | +.007 | 9 | -. 005 | 9 |  |
| 121528 | 224563 | -. 017 | 12 | -. 0.17 | 12 |  |
| 121701 | 224576 | -. 017 | 12 | -. 032 | 12 |  |
| 121743 | 224577 | -. 025 | 4 | -. 026 | 4 | B |
| 121790 | 224585 | -. 024 | 4 | -. 026 | 4 | B |
| 122109 | 205084 | -.0.16 | 5 | -. 007 | 5 |  |
| 122664 | 205138 | -. 037 | 16 | -. 005 | 14 |  |
| 122705 | 224654 | -. 046 | 12 | -. 031 | 12 |  |
| 122756 | 224657 | -. 030 | 12 | -. 055 | 12 |  |
| 122757 | 224659 | -. 013 | 12 | -. 035 | 12 |  |
| 122980 | 224673 | -. 024 | 4 | -.019 | 5 | B |
| 123021 | 224678 | -. 035 | 12 | -. 014 | 12 |  |
| 123291 | 224711 | $+.003$ | 1.2 | -. 002 | 12 |  |
| 123344 | 205209 | -. 003 | 10 | -. 025 | 12 |  |
| 12343.1 | 22471.9 | -. 031 | 11. | +.023 | 12 |  |
| 123635 | 224734 | -.004 | 12 | +.008 | 12 |  |
| 123664 | 224737 | -. $022^{4}$ | 10 | +.002 | 14 |  |
| 124228 | 205316 | $+.004$ | 1.3 | +.003 | 13 |  |
| 124254 | 224774 | +.019 | 10 | +.027 | 15 |  |
| 124504 | 205344 | -. 008 | 21 | -. 027 | 21 |  |
| 125238 | 224833 | -. 001 | 4 | -.014 | 5 |  |
| 125253 | 224835 | -. 007 | 11 | -. 046 | 16 |  |
| 125509 | 205456 | -. 008 | 10 | -.01.1 | 12 |  |
| 12554.1 | 224854 | -. 039 | 12 | -. 014 | 12 |  |
| 125718 | 224869 | -.01.5 | 12 | -. 001 | 12 |  |

Table 22.- $\frac{\text { Continued }}{\text { Catalog }}$ Proper Motions from the Smithsonian

| HD No. | SAO cat | $\begin{gathered} \mu \delta \\ "_{p s a} . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \sigma_{\mu}{ }_{8} \\ & 0.801 \\ & \text { "р.а. } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \sigma_{\mu \alpha} \\ & o \cdot Q O 1 \\ & \text { "p.a. } \end{aligned}$ | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 125823 | 205497 | -. 036 | 4 | -. 029 | 5 | B |
| 125937 | 224891 | -. 040 | ]. 8 | -. 021 | 18 |  |
| 126062 | 224901 | -. 038 | 12 | -. 022 | 13 |  |
| 126110 | 224904 | -. 037 | 1.8 | +.001 | 18 |  |
| 126135 | 224905 | -.021 | 8 | -. 034 | 9 |  |
| 126194 | 205531 | -.021 | 10 | -. 035 | 12 |  |
| 126476 | 224926 | -. 020 | 12 | -. 021 | 16 |  |
| 126561. | 224936 | -. 009 | 8 | -. 036 | 9 |  |
| 127716 | 225027 | -. 033 | 6 | -. 01.8 | 8 |  |
| 127717 | 225026 | -. 009 | 12 | -. 020 | 12 |  |
| 127879 | 225038 | -.034 | 12 | -. 019 | 12 |  |
| 128066 | 225053 | -. 068 | 12 | -. 011 | 12 |  |
| 128224 | 205754 | -. 005 | 6 | +.010 | 6 |  |
| 128344 | 225073 | -. 023 | 10 | -. 011 | 1.4 |  |
| 128532 | 205789 | -. 037 | 10 | -. 039 | 12 |  |
| 128648 | 225092 | -. 067 | 12 | -. 026 | 12 |  |
| 128788 | 225101 | -. 039 | 12 | -. 018 | 12 |  |
| 128819 | 225106 | -. 040 | 10 | -. 032 | 12 |  |
| 128855 | 225111 | -.01.0 | 8 | +.008 | 10 |  |
| 129116 | 205839 | -. 036 | 4 | -. 024 | 5 | B |
| 12979.1 | 225174 | -. 038 | 8 | -. 021 | 11. |  |
| 130133 | 225187 | -. 024 | 12 | -. 005 | 12 |  |
| 130163 | 205948 | -. 010 | 11 | -. 065 | 15 |  |
| 130388 | 205974 | -. 022 | 11 | +.01.7 | 16 |  |
| 130807 | 225248 | -. 030 | 4 | -. 021 | 6 |  |
| 131399 | 206071 | -. 037 | 1.1 | -. 030 | 12 |  |
| 131460 | 206081 | -. 040 | 13 | -. 058 | 13 |  |
| 131461 | 206083 | -. 028 | 12 | -. 019 | 15 |  |
| 131503 | 225292 | -. 002 | 12 | -. 009 | 12 |  |
| 131518 | 225294 | -. 012 | 12 | -. 012 | 12 |  |
| 131752 | 206010 | -. 028 | 8 | -. 027 | 1.6 |  |
| 1.31777 | 225315 | -. 028 | 12 | -. 029 | 12 |  |
| 131901 | 206026 | -. 038 | 8 | -. 043 | 9 |  |
| 132058 | 225335 | -. 043 | 2 | -. 037 | 2 | B |
| 132080 | 229337 | -. 009 | 12 | -. 0224 | 12 |  |
| 132094 | 206049 | -. 031 | 12 | -. 026 | 14 |  |
| 132200 | 225344 | -. 027 | 2 | -. 019 | 2 | B |
| 132761 | 206222 | -. 042 | 21 | -. 012 | 21 |  |
| 132851 | 1.83099 | -. 041 | 5 | +. 095 | 6 |  |
| 132955 | 206239 | -. 030 | 5 | -. 022 | 6 | B |
| 133574 | 206294 | -. 040 | 13 | -. 062 | 13 |  |
| .133716 | 206306 | -. 019 | 1.1 | +.024 | 12 |  |

Table 22.--Continued Proper Motions from the Smithsonian Catalog

| HD No. | SAO cat | $\stackrel{\mu_{\delta}}{\mathrm{p} \cdot \mathrm{a} .}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \sigma_{\mu_{\delta}} \\ & o .001 \\ & \text { "p.a. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mu_{\alpha} \cos \delta \\ & \mathrm{p} \cdot \mathrm{a} . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & { }_{\sigma_{\mu_{\alpha}}} \\ & 0.001 \end{aligned}$ "p.a. | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 133750 | 206315 | -. 01.3 | 8 | -. 0119 | 9 |  |
| 133937 | 225479 | -. 021 | 1.1 | -. 028 | 15 | B |
| 133954 | 225480 | -. 023 | 12 | -. 018 | 12 |  |
| 133955 | 225483 | -. 022 | 6 | -. 016 | 7 | B |
| 133991 | 225488 | -. 005 | 12 | -. 005 | 12 |  |
| 134055 | 206335 | -. 028 | 12 | -. 028 | 13 |  |
| 134518 | 206375 | -. 0.054 | 1.3 | -. 072 | 13 |  |
| 134685 | 206406 | -. 033 | 21 | -. 028 | 21 |  |
| 134930 | 225554 | +.003 | 12 | -. 039 | 12 |  |
| 134950 | 206429 | +. 006 | 1.3 | -. 068 | 13 |  |
| 134990 | 206436 | -. 029 | 1.2 | -. 014 | 13 |  |
| 135454 | 225612 | -. 023 | 11 | -0.26 | 16 |  |
| 135815 | 225643 | -. 016 | 12 | -. 003 | 12 |  |
| 135877 | 225649 | -. 003 | 12 | +.007 | 12 |  |
| 136013 | 206522 | -. 035 | 21 | -. 012 | 21 |  |
| 136164 | 20653.1 | -. 026 | 1.1 | -. 005 | 1.4 |  |
| 136298 | 225691 | -. 032 | 3 | -.016 | 3 | B |
| 136334 | 225695 | -. 035 | 11 | -. 011 | 12 |  |
| 136482 | 206559 | -. 028 | 12 | -. 027 | 12 |  |
| 136483 | 225707 | -. 013 | 12 | -. 008 | 12 |  |
| 136504 | 2257.12 | -. 015 | 4 | -. 0.19 | 6 |  |
| 136664 | 206580 | -. 025 | 3 | -. 018 | 3 | B |
| 136961 | 206599 | -. 003 | 10 | +.012 | 1.5 |  |
| 137119 | 206625 | -. 032 | 11 | -. 040 | 13 |  |
| 137169 | 225768 | -. 018 | 1.2 | -. 003 | 12 |  |
| 137193 | 206637 | -. 012 | 10 | -. 014 | 1.3 |  |
| 137432 | 206660 | -. 038 | 5 | -. 012 | 7 | B |
| 137499 | 206664 | -. 036 | 13 | -. 030 | 13 |  |
| 137785 | 206695 | -. 089 | 9 | -. 046 | 12 |  |
| 137957 | 225851 | -. 024 | 12 | -.012 | 14 |  |
| 138138 | 206720 | -. 018 | 10 | -. 024 | 12 |  |
| 138285 | 206742 | -. 042 | 12 | -. 013 | 12 |  |
| 138564 | 206769 | -. 050 | 11 | -. 026 | 13 |  |
| 138690 | 225938 | -. 031 | 3 | -. 016 | 3 | B |
| 138769 | 225950 | -. 032 | 5 | -. 026 | 7 | B |
| 138940 | 225972 | -. 004 | 11. | -. 016 | 15 |  |
| 139048 | 206809 | -. 051 | 13 | -. 008 | 13 |  |
| 1.39094 | 183622 | -. 007 | 9 | -. 012 | 10 | G |
| 139160 | 183631 | -. 026 | 7 | -. 009 | 7 | G |
| 139233 | 206826 | -. 025 | 11 | -. 033 | 12 |  |
| 139365 | 183649 | -. 033 | 3 | -. 0.14 | 3 | B |
| 139524 | 226048 | -. 005 | 1.5 | -. 008 | 17 |  |

Table 22.--Continued Proper Motions from the Smithsonian Catalog

| HD No. | SAO cat | $\mu_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mu_{\delta} \cdot \mathrm{a}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \sigma_{\mu} \\ & 0 . \delta 01 \\ & \text { "p.a. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mu_{\alpha} \cos \delta \\ & { }^{2} \cdot \operatorname{ab} . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \sigma_{\mu_{\alpha}} \\ & o .001 \\ & \text { "p.a. } \end{aligned}$ | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 139883 | 206877 | -. 022 | 21 | -. 058 | 21 |  |
| 140008 | 206889 | -. 032 | 5 | -. 021 | 5 | B |
| 140475 | 206931 | +.003 | 13 | -. 025 | 14 |  |
| 140543 | 183753 | -. 019 | 12 | +.018 | 15 | G(NM) |
| 140817 | 206963 | -. 028 | 12 | -. 018 | 13 |  |
| 140958 | 206984 | -. 015 | 18 | -. 008 | 18 |  |
| 141318 | 243044 | -.015 | 6 | $+.003$ | 8 |  |
| 141404 | 183833 | -.014 | 13 | -. 04.1 | 1.7 | G |
| 141518 | 207034 | -. 070 | 21 | -. 006 | 21 |  |
| 141637 | 183854 | -. 027 | 3 | -. 018 | 3 | B |
| 141774 | . 183864 | . 000 | 12 | +.010 | 1.5 | G |
| 141779 | 207062 | +.010 | 13 | -. 023 | 13 |  |
| 141905 | 207077 | -. 036 | 10 | -. 029 | 10 |  |
| 14.1939 | 183884 | -. 031 | 15 | -. 032 | 15 |  |
| 1.42096 | 1.83895 | -. 027 | 1 | -. 011 | 1 | B |
| 1.42097 | 183894 | -. 019 | 9 | -. 013 | 11 |  |
| 142.114 | . 183896 | -. 028 | 3 | -. 015 | 3 | G |
| 142165 | 183900 | -. 026 | 4 | -. 021 | 4 | B |
| 142184 | 183901. | -. 028 | 5 | -. 015 | 5 | B |
| 142250 | 183907 | -. 026 | 8 | -. 024 | 10 | G |
| 142301 | 183914 | -. 025 | 4 | -. 012 | 5 | G |
| 142315 | 183916 | -. 010 | 14 | +.036 | 16 | G |
| 142378 | 159572 | -. 025 | 5 | -. 017 | 4 | B |
| 142431 | 226375 | -. 032 | 12 | -. 043 | 12 |  |
| 142669 | 183957 | -. 021 | 3 | -. 008 | 3 | B |
| 142805 | 1.83769 | -. 035 | 7 | -. 022 | 7 | G |
| 142883 | 183972 | -. 021 | 7 | -. 017 | 7 | G |
| 142884 | 183973 | -. 011 | 8 | -. 008 | 10 | G |
| 142983 | 159607 | -.019 | 1 | -. 0.15 | 2 | B |
| 142990 | 1.83982 | -. 021 | 6 | -. 014 | 6 | G |
| 143018 | 183987 | -. 027 | 2 | -. 009 | 2 | B |
| 143118 | 207208 | -.034 | 3 | -. 022 | 4 | B |
| 143275 | 1.84014 | -. 025 | 1. | -. 010 | 1 | B |
| 143567 | 184043 | -. 012 | 13 | -. 014 | 17 | G |
| 143600 | 1.84045 | -. 026 | 18 | -. 006 | 1.8 | G |
| 143692 | 184055 | +.012 | 15 | -. 015 | 15 |  |
| 143699 | 207276 | -.034 | 6 | -. 042 | 6 | B |
| 144294 | 207332 | -. 033 | 2 | -. 019 | 3 | B |
| 144334 | 1841.13 | -. 031 | 5 | -. 012 | 6 | G |
| 144470 | 1.84123 | -. 024 | 2 | -. 010 | 3 | B |
| 144844 | 1.841 .64 | -. 025 | 6 | -. 012 | 6 | G |
| 145102 | 184.184 | -. 031 | 9 | +.007 | 12 | G |

Table 22.- $\frac{\text { Continued }}{\text { Catalog }}$ Proper Motions from the Smithsonian

| HD No. | SAO cat |  | $\begin{aligned} & { }^{\sigma} \mu_{\delta} \\ & o .001 \\ & \text { "p.a. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mu_{\alpha} \cos \delta \\ & \text { "p.a. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & { }_{{ }_{\mu}}{ }_{\alpha} \\ & o .001 \\ & \text { "p.a. } \end{aligned}$ | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 145353 | 184205 | -. .01.7 | 8 | -. 019 | 10 | G |
| 145468 | 184211 | -. 0006 | 18 | -. 011 | 18 |  |
| 1.45482 | 184221 | -. 028 | 3 | -.012 | 4 | B |
| 145502 | 159764 | -. 026 | 2 | -. 011 | 2 | B |
| 145554 | 159770 | -. 023 | 1.3 | -. 010 | 17 | G |
| 145631 | 159777 | -. 035 | 7 | -. 004 | 10 | G |
| 145792 | 184241 | -. 0.16 | 8 | +.014 | 9 | G |
| 145793 | 184244 | -. 025 | 15 | +.005 | 15 |  |
| 146001 | 1.84258 | -. 022 | 7 | +.008 | 8 | G |
| 146029 | 184259 | -. 012 | 9 | -. 003 | 13 | G |
| 146284 | 184278 | -. 011 | 9 | -. 004 | 10 | G |
| 146285 | 184277 | -. 025 | 20 | -. 011 | 20 | G |
| 146332 | 184280 | -. 020 | 10 | +.012 | 11 | G |
| 1464.16 | 184285 | -. 01.9 | 9 | $-.015$ | 9 | G |
| 146606 | 1.84300 | -. 035 | 9 | . 000 | 10 |  |
| 146706 | 1.84305 | -. 029 | 18 | -. 028 | 18 | G |
| 146998 | 184319 | -. 043 | 18 | -. 028 | 18 | G |
| 147009 | 159858 | -. 026 | 8 | . 000 | 9 | G |
| 147010 | 159860 | -. 019 | 7 | -. 010 | 7 | G |
| 147084 | 1.84329 | -. 026 | 3 | -. 003 | 4 | G |
| 147165 | 184336 | -. 023 | 1 | -. 009 | 1 | E |
| 147196 | 184337 | -. 022 | 8 | -. 020 | 8 | G |
| 147343 | 184345 | -. 022 | 18 | +.008 | 18 | G |
| 147384 | 189347 | -. 047 | 18 | +. 021 | 18 | G |
| 147432 | 1.84350 | $+.006$ | 18 | +. 010 | 18 |  |
| 147592 | 184356 | -. 024 | 12 | -. 009 | 12 | G |
| 1. 47703 | 1.84365 | -. 030 | 7 | -. 074 | 10 | G |
| 1.47809 | 184372 | -. 019 | 12 | -. 015 | 12 | G |
| 1.47888 | 184377 | -. 040 | 7 | -. 010 | 8 | B |
| 1.47889 | 184376 | -. 027 | 18 | -. 014 | 18 | G |
| 147890 | 184380 | -. 005 | 7 | $+.004$ | 9 | G |
| 147932 | 184383 | -. 032 | 7 | +.007 | 7 | G |
| 148184 | 159918 | -. 029 | 3 | -. 011 | 3 | B |
| 148321 | . 184403 | $+.014$ | 11. | +.019 | 13 | G |
| 148352 | 184405 | -. 056 | 18 | -. 049 | 18 | G(NM) |
| 148562 | 184424 | -. 019 | 18 | -. 001 | 18 | G |
| 148563 | 184423 | -. 035 | 20 | -. 036 | 20 | G |
| 148579 | 184425 | -. 033 | 7 | -. 033 | 9 | G |
| 148594 | 184428 | -. 0006 | 7 | -. 009 | 9 | G |
| 148605 | 184429 | -. 024 | 4 | -. 007 | 3 | B |
| 148703 | 207732 | -. 0.17 | 2 | -. 010 | 2 | B |
| 149438 | 184481 | -. 025 | 2 | -. 008 | 1 | B |

Table 22.--Continued Proper Motions from the Smithsonian Catalog

| HD No. | SAO cat | ${ }_{10}^{\mu \delta}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \sigma_{\mu \delta} \\ & 0.001 \\ & \text { "p.a. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mu_{\alpha} \cos \delta \\ & { }^{\prime} \mathrm{p} \cdot \mathrm{a} . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \sigma_{\mu_{\alpha}} \\ & 0 \cdot 001 \\ & \text { "p.a. } \end{aligned}$ | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 149757 | 160006 | +.023 | 1 | +.012 | 1 | $\zeta$ oph |
| 150035 | 184527 | -. 027 | 12 | +.008 | 12 | G |
| 151346 | 184646 | -. 002 | 15 | -. 010 | 15 | G |
| 151865 | 160126 | -. 022 | 12 | -. 011 | 17 | G(NM) |
| 151890 | 208102 | -. 029 | 2 | -. 012 | 2 | B |
| 151985 | 208116 | -. 025 | 3 | -. 011 | 5 | B |
| 157056 | 185320 | -. 021 | 1 | -. 003 | 1 | B |



Figure 38. Frequencies of Proper Motions in Centaurus

The last histogram indicates the spread in proper motions expected from observational errors of about 0.1010 p.a. and from the reflex solar motion present in a sample of field stars with a wide range of distances. A large percentage of the stars represented in Figure 38(b) seem to imply roughly the same distribution of $\mu_{\delta}$ as is shown by the B-type stars in Bertiau's list, represented in Figure 38(a). Similar arguments can be made concerning the corresponding histograms for the $\mu_{\alpha} \cos \delta$ proper motions shown in Figures $38(\mathrm{~d})$, (e), and (f).

Considering the distributions discussed above, and noting in addition that a typical value of $\sigma_{\delta} \approx \sigma_{\alpha} \approx$ 0!0.12 p.a. quoted in the catalogue for stars as faint as those considered here, it does not seem possible to make use of the observed proper motions to decide which of the new candidates for cluster membership actually share in the common stream motion of the bright B-type stars. However, we can tentatively conclude that a sizeable fraction of the apparently young $B-, A-$, and $F$-type stars which are suggested to be members on the basis of their photometric indices do seem to show proper motion distributions similar to the stars which participate in the stream motion.

We are able to eliminate several stars having proper motion components which differ too greatly from the distributions of B-type star motions. From $\mu_{\delta}$ we shall exclude the following stars, which have values of
$\mu_{\delta} \geq-0.010$ p.a. or $\mu_{\delta} \leq-0.050$ p.a.: HD 125509, HD 126561, HD 140475, and HD 141779. Similarly, we exclude HD 118335, HD 126110, HD 131.460, HD 133574, and HD 1.37119, al1 of which have values of $\mu_{\alpha} \cos \delta \geq 0!000$ p.a. or $\mu_{\alpha} \cos \delta \leq$ -0:040 p.a.

Radial Velocity Analysis
A considerable amount of published radial velocity data for stars in Scorpio and Centaurus is available from the literature, mostly for the brighter B-type stars. Table 23 lists these velocity data, many of which were obtained from the catalogue of Evans (1970) at the University of Texas, and Abt (1970) at the Kitt Peak National Observatory. I wish to thank Dr. Evans, for supplying me with the data he had available, and Dr. Abt, for allowing me to examine his files. In the analysis that follows arithmetic means have been computed from different observers' values, which were weighted according to the number of plates each had used.

The distribution of radial velocities with respect to galactic longitude for the B-type stars in this program for which velocities are not known to be variable is shown in Figure 39. From the rather narrow distribution of the stars considered to be members by Bertiau, it seems reasonable to assume that most stars outside of the band

Table 23. Published Radial Velocities

| Name | HD No. | $\stackrel{\mathrm{Vm}_{\mathrm{rmec}}^{\mathrm{r}}}{\text { (s) }}$ | n | Quality ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 119361 | -21 | 5 | 3 |
| $\mu \mathrm{Cen}$ | 120334 | $+10$ | 23 | 1 |
| 3 Cen | 120709 | +11 | 16 | 1 |
| HR 5217 | 120908 | +8 | 15 | 1 |
| HR 5249 | 121.790 | +6 | 15 | 3 |
| $\chi$ Cen | 122980 | $+10$ | 20 | 1 |
|  | 123021 | +7 | 4 | 3 |
| 2 Lup | 125238 | +22 | 11 | 1 |
| a Cen | 125823 | +8 | 25 | 1 |
|  | 128344 | $+16$ | 2 | 4 |
| HR 5471 | 129116 | +5 | 7 | 2 |
| - Lup | 130807 | $+7$ | 16 | 2 |
| $\beta$ Lup | 132058 | -1 | 28 | 1 |
| HR 5591. | 13285.1 | $+10$ | 8 | 3 |
| HR 5595 | 132955 | +6 | 12 | 2 |
| $\delta$ Lup | 136298 | +1 | 17 | 2 |
| $\phi^{2}$ Lup | 136664 | +3 | 9 | 2 |
| HR 5736 | 137432 | +5 | 14 | 2 |
| HR 5773 | 138564 | 0 | 6 | 3 |
| HR 5805 | 139233 | +5 | 5 | 3 |
|  | 140543 | -6 | 6 | 3 |
| HR 5873 | 141318 | -1. | 13 | 2 |
| 2 Sco | 142114 | -10 | 1.2 | 2 |
| HR 5906 | 142165 | +1 | 12 | 3 |
| HR 5910 | 142250 | +6: | 9 | 3 |
| 3 Sco | 142301 | -5 | 20 | 2 |
|  | 142315 | +3: | 2 | 4 |
| 47 Lib | 142378 | -6 | 7 | 3 |
| $\rho$ ScoA | 142669 | 0 | 11 | 2 |
| HR 5934 | 142883 | -17 v? | 8 | 3 |
|  | 1.42884 | -12 | 2 | 4 |
| HR 5942 | 142990 | -11 | 4 | 3 |
|  | 143567 | -17 | 2 | 4 |
| HR 5967 | 143699 | -4 | 18 | 2 |
| $\theta$ Lup | 144294 | +15 | 8 | 2 |
| HR 5988 | 144334 | -7 | 13 | 3 |
| $\omega_{1} \mathrm{Sco}$ | 1.44470 | -5 | 32 | 2 |
|  | 145.102 | $+14$ | 4 | 4 |
| HR 6042 | 145792 | +6 | 11 | 3 |
| HR 6054 | 146001 | -8 | 22 | 2 |
|  | 146029 | $+16$ | 2 | 4 |
|  | 146284 | -28 | 5 | 4 |
| HR 6066 | 1464.16 | -8 | 12 | 4 |
|  | 147010 | -9 | 3 | 4 |

## Table 23.--Continued

| 19 o Sco | 1.47084 | -8 | 9 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 14.7196 | $+4$ | 4 | 4 |
| $\rho$ Oph D | 147888 | -9 | 7 | 3 |
|  | 147889 | -3 | 5 | 4 |
|  | 1.47890 | +7 | 1 | 4 |
| $\rho$ Oph C | . 147932 | -19 | 4 | 4 |
|  | 148321 | +5 | 2 | 3 |
| 22 Sco | 148605 | -7 | 27 | 1 |
| T Sco | 149438 | +2 | 38 | 1 |
|  | 151346 | +24 | 2 | 4 |
| HR 6247 | 151890 | -19 | 3 | 4 |
| $\mu_{2} \mathrm{Sco}$ | 151985 | $+1$ | 13 | 1 |



Figure 39. Radial Velocities Versus Galactic Longitude for B-Type Stars - Large filled circles represent B-type stars from Bertiau's (1.958) list; small filled circles, additional B-type stars; crosses, the reflex solar motion. The dashed band defines approximate limits to the B-type star velocily distribution.
defined by the dashed lines are not members of the moving cluster.

The distribution of radial velocity with respect to galactic longitude for the $A-$ and $F-t y p e$ stars in the direction of the Scorpio-Centaurus cluster is shown in Figure 40. Most of the velocities used were obtained in the current program. The dashed curves represent the boundaries determined in Figure 39 of the B-type stars known to be members, assuming that there is no systematic difference between the $A F$ stars and B-type star velocities. The crosses are located at the velocities and longitudes representing the reflex solar peculiar motion at several points in the Scorpio-Contaurus direction. The data show no obvious tendency towards common motion. Two-thirds of the $A-$ and $F-t y p e s t a r s$ for which some radial velocity data are available lie outside the indicated band that represents the B-type stars. Nevertheless, the fact that the average radial velocity with respect to the Local Standard of Rest is not the same for different longitude ranges is encouraging. Between $1=310^{\circ}$ and $325^{\circ}$ this differential velocity is near zero; however, between $1=325^{\circ}$ and $355^{\circ}$ the average is approximately $10 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec}$ more positive than the reflex solar peculiar velocity. This is roughly the same as the velocity of the Scorpio-Centaurus B-type stars relative to the Local Standard of Rest; therefore, we might again conclude that some of the $A-$ and $F-t y p e s t a r s$ in the


Figure 40. Radial Velocities Versus Galactic Longitude for A- and F-Type Stars -- Filled circles represent late $B-, A-$, and $F$-type stars; crosses and dashed lines have the same meaning as in Figure 39. Symbols enclosed in larger circles represent unevolved stars.
present sample, especially in the interval $355^{\circ}<1<325^{\circ}$, share in the motion of the Scorpio-Centaurus B-type stars. Unfortunately, by considering those stars to be members for which velocities lie within the limits indicated in Figure 40 , we obviously would also include many non-member stars that happen to have a slightly positive radial velocity relative to the Local Standard of Rest. Returning to those stars in the longitude range $325^{\circ}<1<310^{\circ}$ in Figure 40 , we see that 14 out of 21 have velocities not significantly different from the reflex solar peculiar velocity. This would seem to suggest that many of the $A-$ and $F$-type stars in this region are not members of the moving cluster. Better quality data than those now available in the entire Scorpio-Centaurus region would oiniously be required for a complete analysis.

Since the proper motions and the radial velocity material available for the fainter stars do not seem to be of much use in deciding membership, we shall instead make use of the absolute magnitude calibrations to choose those stars which appear to fall at the proper distance.

## Distance Modulus Criteria for Upper Scorpius Stars

We plot the values of $V_{o}$ vs. $M_{v}$ as determined from the four-color photometry in Figure 41. We see that the upper distance modulus limit fits the early B-type stars quite well; however, most points representing $M_{v}>0$ lie


Figure 4.1. $V_{0}, M_{r}$ Diagram for Upper Scorpius Stars -- The solid line represents a distance modulus of $6!\underline{2}$; the dashed lines, the limits on d.m. of $5^{\mathrm{m}} 25$ and $6!7$ adopted for the B-type stars.
between the limits $6.2>\mathrm{d} . \mathrm{m} .>5 \mathrm{~m}^{\mathrm{m}} 25$. This might be the result if only the brightest stars at each spectral type were picked in the original process used to make up observing lists. This does not seem very likely for the Upper Scorpius stars, since Garrison (1967) observed most of the stars visible in a small area of the sky in Scorpius. A slight difference in the absolute magnitude calibration of the B-type stars relative to the A stars' calibration would cause this effect; however, many of the A-type stars lie above the Z.A.M.S. in the colormagnitude diagram described below. This also implies that they are slightly nearer, and therefore the brightest of these may rot be members.

The Color-Magnitude Diagran for Upper Scorpius
In Figure 42 we plot $V_{o}$ vs. $(b-y)_{o}$ for those stars in Upper Scorpius for which four-color photometry is available. We see that the sequence of B-type stars looks quite reasonable. Unfortunately, there are very few stars with $(b-y)_{o}>0^{m} \cdot \mathrm{O}$ included in this sample. The fact that the stars fainter than $V_{0}=7 \cdot 0$ show considerable scatter is probably caused by the fact that these few stars are at the faint end of the observed sample.

The final list of suggested members for Upper Scorpius is given in Table 24. "G" denotes a star also considered to be a member by Garrison (1967). In


Figure 42. Vo, (b-y) Diagram for Upper Scorpius Stars -Symbols have the same meaning as in Figure 41.

Table 24. Possible Members in Upper Scorpius


Table 25 we list those stars considered to be non-members in the present study, but which were considered to be members by Garrison (1967).

Table 25. Possible Non-Members Considered to be Members by Garrison

| B Stars | AO Stars | AF Stars |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HD 139094 | HD 141404 | HD 148321 |
| 140543 | 142805 |  |
| 142165 |  |  |
| 142301 |  |  |
| 142884 |  |  |
| $(144844$ |  |  |

## Distance Modulus Criteria for Centaurus Stars

Since the photometric indices used here provide an indication of the absolute magnitude for each star, one way to eliminate foreground and background stars from the observed sample of Centaurus stars is to plot the unreddened apparent magnitude, $V_{o}$, against the absolute visual magnitude. Figure 43 shows $V_{o}$ vs. $M_{v}$ for all of the B-type stars in the Centaurus program and the apparently young $A-$ and $F-t y p e$ stars.

Each limit on the distance modulus excludes one of Bertiau's B-type stars, even though the fit along the envelope of the rest of the stars is quite good. We shall, therefore, exclude as non-members those stars having


Figure 43. $\quad V_{o}, M_{V}$ Diagram for Centaurus Stars -- Triangles
 large crosses, Bertiau's B-type stars in Upper Centaurus; circled small crosses, young late B-, A-, and F-type stars in Upper Centaurus; small crosses, cvolved late B-, A-, and F-type stars in Upper Centaurus. The solid and dashed lines have the same meaning as in Figure 41.
distance moduli outside of the range $5.25<$ d.m. $\leq 6^{\mathrm{m}} \cdot 7$. (The Lower Centaurus B-type stars show the same tendency to fall at smaller distance moduli that was referred to in Chapter 3, and we have excluded them from this discussion.)

It is obvious from this diagram that there are a large number of young stars present wi.th $M_{v} \gtrsim 1.5$ and which appear to lie nearer than d.m. $=6 .{ }^{\frac{m}{2}}$. There are also several stars with $M_{v}>2.0$ which lie nearer than d.m. $=$ $5^{\mathrm{m}} \cdot 25$, but only one lies farther than d.m. $=6.7$. To discuss this effect further we should also plot the points representing the apparently evolved stars. In doing this the evolved AO stars would have to be excluded, since the calibrations of absolute magnitude and intrinsic colors are not well determined for such stars. Also, the zero-age A-type stars have no $\delta c_{1}$ correction applied to the absolute magnitudes and we shall have to compare these stars to the evolved A-type stars, which require $\delta c_{1}$ corrections to determine $M_{v}$ properly. For these regions we prefer to work with the color-magnitude diagram.

## The Color-Magnitude Diagram for Upper Centaurus

It is now possible to plot $V_{0}$ vs. $(b-y)$ for the stars in Upper Centaurus (see Figure 44). The Z.A.M.S. is drawn in at an apparent distance modulus of 6.2 . The tendency for the later type young stars to lie on and above Z.A.M.S. is clear; however, this effect only seems to set


Figure 44. $V_{0},(b-y)_{o}$ Diagram Cor Upper Centaurus Stars -Symbols have the same meaning as in Figure 43.
in at $(b-y)_{o}=0.06$ and then continues on into the later types. There is no corresponding excess in the number of apparently young stars falling below the Z.A.M.S. as it is drawn in this diagram. In fact, it seems remarkable that only one of the apparently young A-type stars falls below the Z.A.M.S. We also note that the distribution of points representing the apparently evolved A-type stars in the present sample shows much the same distribution above the Z.A.M.S. as the evolved sample, whereas below the Z.A.M.S. we get a quite distinct separation. Without additional observations of more stars at least one-half of a magnitude fainter than the present group, it does not seem possible to resolve the problem of having so many bright, young stars. Nonetheless, it still seems safe to conclude that those stars that appear to be unevolved and which fall in the distance modulus range $5^{\mathrm{m}} .25<\mathrm{d} . \mathrm{m} . \leq 6^{\mathrm{m}} .7$ are probably associated with the bright B-type stars in the ScorpioCentaurus association.

The final list of suggested new members of the Upper Centaurus association are listed in Table 26 by their HD numbers.

## Discussion of Age Estimates

In Chapter 1 we described several attempts to determine the age of the Scorpio-Centaurus association through estimates of the time required for the association

Table 26. Possible Members in Upper Centaurus

| B Stars | AO Stars | AF Stars |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| HD 126135 | HD 122705 | HD 119674 |
| 128344 | 122757 AB | 120487 |
| 128819 | 123344 | 121701 |
| 130094 | 126062 | 123021 |
| 136482 | 129791 | 124504 |
| 136504 | 131.461 AB | 125541 |
| 139233 | 131777 | 128648 |
| 140817 | 133954 | 137499 |
|  | 134685 | 137785 |
|  | 135454 | 140958 |
|  | 1.3601 .3 |  |

to expand from a small size to its present dimensions. Blaauw (1946) rirst assumed a model. in which the original. cluster size was too large for it to be gravitationally bounded and the present size and shape could be described as resulting from the perturbing action of the galactic tidal force. This model led to an age of approximatcly $10^{8}$ years. In a revised analysis, Blaauw (1952) created a similar model, only this one assumed that the cluster was expanding at some prescribed rate. For this case he concluded that the best estimate of the age was $72 \times 10^{6}$ years. Some years later Bertiau's (1958) study of the proper motions and radial velocities of cluster members yielded an expansion rate appropriate to an age of $20 \times 10^{6}$ years. Shortly thereafter, Blaauw (1959) pointed out observational evidence for different evolutionary ages for
the Upper Scorpius stars relative to the rest of the Association, and he suggested that Bertiau's expansion age actually applied to the older, southern regions. For Upper Scorpius he suggested that the age of $1.6 \times 10^{6}$ years appropriate to the runaway star $\zeta$ Oph be adopted. Blaauw (1964) has also quoted more recent values for the evolutionary ages derived from color-magnitude diagrams: $10 \times 10^{6}$ years for Upper Scorpius and $14 \times 1.0^{6}$ years for Upper Centaurus. The age of the Upper Centaurus region derived in Chapter $4,12-16 \times 10^{6}$ years, compares quite favorably with the ages listed above, as does the upper limit of $10 \times 10^{6}$ years on the age of the Upper Scorpius region.

It may also be useful at this time to compare the four-color diagrams for the Scorpio-Centaurus association to other young groups. In Figures $45(\mathrm{a})$, (b), (c), (d), (e), (f), (g), and (h) we plot $\beta$ vs. (u-b) for the cluster NGC 6231, the associations I Sco (Crawford 1970b) and III Cep (Crawford and Barnes 1970a), and the clusters $h$ and $X$ Persei (Crawford, Glaspey, and Perry 1970), IC 2602 (Hill and Perry 1969), IC 2391 (Perry and Hill 1969), NGC 7243 (Hill and Barnes 1970), and the Pleiades (Crawford 1970a), respectively. We have ordered this list approximately in order of increasing age. Table 27 lists these clusters again, plus the Upper Scorpius (II Sco) and the Upper


Figure 45. $\beta$, $(u-b)_{o}$ Diagrams for Young Open Clusters and Associations -- The solid lines represent the Z.A.M.S.


Figure 45.--Continued

Table 27. Cluster Age Estimates

| Cluster | Age (4c) | Age (other) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NGC 6231 <br> I Sco | Very young | $10^{6} \mathrm{yrs}$ |
| III Cep | Very young | $4-8 \times 10^{6}$ |
| Upper Sco | $\lesssim 10 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{yrs}$ | $10 \times 10^{6}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { h Per } \\ & X \text { Per } \end{aligned}$ | 10-12 $\times 10^{6}$ | -- |
| Upper Cen | 12-16 $\times 10^{6}$ | $14 \times 10^{6}$ |
| IC 2602 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Young or } \\ & 50 \times 10^{6} \end{aligned}$ | $4-20 \times 10^{6}$ |
| IC 2391 | $50 \times 10^{6}$ | -- |
| NGC 7243 | $70 \times 10^{6}$ | $76 \times 10^{6}$ |
| Pleiades | $80 \times 10^{6}$ | $100 \times 10^{6}$ |

Centaurus association, with ages, when possible, as derived from the four-color data.

It should be pointed out that in IC 2602 there is one BO V type star, then a gap, and no more early B-type stars until spectral type B3. Hill and Perry (1969) agreed that star formation in IC 2602 was not coeval, based on the latest type stars considered to be members. The older age listed for this cluster corresponds to the B-type star age derived from the photometry, excluding the BO V star, HD 93030 .

## Peculiar Stars

In this section we wish to summarize the findings concerning the various peculiar stars in Scorpio-Centaurus. There are two reasons for placing special emphasis on these stars, the first being that there seems to be an unusually large number of B-type stars with peculiar spectra in Upper Scorpius. The second reason concerns the possible memberships of two Am staxs in the two subgroups under consideration.

Garrison (1967) first noticed the high percentage of B-type stars in Upper Scorpius having peculiar spectra. The effective temperatures corresponding to the spectral classes assigned to these stars were, on the average, much cooler than those suggested by the (U-B) colors. We have seen in Chapter 3 that these stars generally fall along
the main sequence in the $\beta,(u-b)_{o}$ diagram, implying normal. luminosities, whereas these same stars seemed to lie above the main sequence in Garrison's HR diagrams. We interpret this last effect as being due to Garrison's assigning temperature classes on the basis of the apparent line strength, which places them at too cool a temperature compared to that implied by the Balmer discontinuity.

We also show that the $m_{1}$-indices of the B-type stars in Upper Scorpius tend to be a few hundredths of a magnitude larger than the mper -indices of the stars in Upper Centaurus which have approximately the same $c_{1}$-indices. This difference between the two groups of stars is observed at least paxtially as a larger scatter in the Upper Scorpius sequence in an mon $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{o}}$, diagram. I had hoped that the reality of this phenomenon could be discussed more fully if some comparisons could be made with the equivalent diagrams of other young clusters and associations. Unfortunately, no other young cluster or association has been studied in enough detail to provide a large enough sample of mid- and late B-type stars to search for this effect. (We should point out that the one very peculiar star in the Centaurus region, $H D 137193$, the spectioum of which is very similar to HD 147010 in Upper Scorpius, does not have a (u-b) o color indicative of an earlier spectral type. In the $\beta$, ( $u-b)_{o}$ diagram, however, it seems to have large $\delta \beta$, whereas in the $\beta, c_{o}$ diagram it does not.)

One additional example of a discrepancy between color and spectral type has been reported in the association III Cephei by Crawford and Barnes (1970a). Their uvby and $H \beta$ photometry would indicate that the spectral type of star No. 19 (as numbered by Blaauw, Hiltner, and Johnson 1959) is approximately B3, whereas Garrison (1970) has classified the star as type B7 V (with Si II somewhat strong). The $\beta$-index of this star is also more typical of a star near type B3. Finally, the $m_{1}$-index of this star is the largest of any of the stars considered to be members of , III Cep by Crawford and Barnes. An extension of the spectroscopic and photometric observations to fainter members of the association would be most interesting.

The second major point to be mentioned regarding peculiar stars concerns the possible membership of Am stars in young clusters or associations. Conti and van den Heuvel (1970) have reviewed the history of this aspect of Am stars. In brief, the membership of Am stars in young clusters was not accepted until. Garrison (1967) reported the membership of HD 148321 in the Upper Scorpius Complex. Spectra for A-type stars in the clusters M7 and NGC 7160 have been obtained by Conti and van den Heuvel (1970). Two stars in M7 and one in NGC 7160 were classified as Am stars, although the membership of one of the Am stars in M7 is questionable. Hill and Barnes (1970) have studied the open
cluster NGC 7243 both photometrically and spectroscopically and have reported one Am star as a possible member.

We should, therefore, examine the available information concerning Am stars in the Scorpio-Centaurus association. There are several difficulties with HD 148321 in Upper Scorpius, classified as A5mp (strong Sr) by Garrison (1967). It appears to have very little reddening, assuming that the calibration of the four-color system is applicable to Am stars, whereas the reddening for most of the stars in Upper Scorpius is $E(b-y) \approx 0{ }^{m} .1$. Also the observed $c_{1}$-index for this star is small enough that it lies below the Z.A.M.S. in the $c_{1}$, (b-y) diagram without making corrections for reddening. Assuming that the photometry is accurate, this implies that this star is a foreground star. From its calculated distance modulus of 4.5 and the location of its values of $V_{o}$ and $(b-y)_{o}$ above the Z.A.M.S. in Figure 42 , we would also conclude that HD 148321 is a foreground star.

We seem to be more fortunate with HD 119674 in Upper Centaurus. It has a very large mindex; only $0 .{ }^{\text {mo4 }} 045$ of reddening in the ( $b-y$ ) color; and the value of $\delta c_{1}$ is only 0.04 , again quite acceptable. The adopted distance modulus is $6^{\mathrm{m}} 2$, and its value of $V_{o}$ and $(b-y)_{o}$ place it right on the main sequence in Figure 44. For these reasons we may consider it a possible member of the Upper Centaurus association.

## Summary

We may now summarize the results of this dissertation. One of the primary objectives was to test the absolute magnitude calibration of the $\beta$-index for $B$-type stars by comparing the values of $M_{v}(\beta)$ for each star in the Scorpio-Centaurus association with the absolute magnitudes given by Bertiau (1958).

In Chapter 3 a direct comparison of the values of $M_{v}$ (Bertiau) to the (unpublished) preliminary calibration of $\beta$ in terms of $M_{v}$ showed no systematic differences in the Upper Scorpius and Upper Centaurus regions; however, the Lower Centaurus B stars were $0 .{ }^{\text {m }} 4$ brighter in Bertiau's calibration. We may, therefore, feel confident about the reliability of previous calibrations which had been based on the moving cluster analysis of the Scorpio-Centaurus association. Conversely, it is equally satisfying to find that the $H \beta$ calibration agrees well with results from the independent approach of using the moving cluster method, uncertain though these results might have been.

The second point of interest centers on the phenomenon of different subgroups within associations. The fact that there is a measurable difference in the ages of the Upper Centaurus and the Upper Scorpius sections of the association appears to be well established by the $\beta$, (u-b) o diagrams given in Chapter 3. In Chapter 4, evolutionary tracks in the $\Delta M_{b o l}, \log T$ eff $p l a n e$ have been transformed
to the $\beta,(u-b)$ diagram, giving ages of $12-16$ miliion years for the Upper Centaurus stars and of approximately 10 million years for the Upper Scorpius stars.

Another difference between the Upper Centaurus and the Upper Scorpius sections of the association is apparent in the $m_{o}, c_{o}$ diagrams for the two groups of stars (see Figure 15). The morindices of the B-type stars in Upper Scorpius had, on the average, values of mo approximately 0.02 larger than the $B-t y p e s t a r s$ of similar spectral type in Upper Centaurus. This result was quite unexpected, for the $m_{1}$-index was not thought to be of any real value in the study of B-type stars. The explanation of this phenomenon is still not clear, although we have suggested that it may be related to the large number of peculiar $B$ stars in Upper Scorpius which had been found (spectroscopically) by Garrison (1967). Further study of this phenomenon will be needed to solve this problem.

The rinal result of this investigation concerns the list of apparently young, late-B- and $A-t y p e$ stars in Centaurus which lie at approximately the same distance as the $B$ star members (see Tables 24 and 26). We consider these fainter stars to be candidates for membership in the Upper Centaurus association. The most important criterion for membership should be that each star share in the common stream motion of the B-type stars. We have seen in this chapter that the available radial velocities and proper
motions are not of high enough quality to be used for this purpose.

## Suggestions for Future Work

The preceding discussions have, naturally, raised several questions for which further information will be required before they $c$ an be answered. With this in mind we would suggest certain observational projects which could add to our knowledge not only of the Scorpio-Centaurus association, but also to our knowledge of young groups of stars in general.

Crucial to the proper discussion of the possible membership of late $B$ - and early A-type stars in the different subgroups is the lack of accurate proper motions. Besides our need to know with precision the systematic errors in the right ascension and declination components of the existing proper motions for this section of the sky, it would also be quite useful if we could improve the accuracy of the proper motions for the fainter stars of later spectral type which have been suggested as members. We therefore recommend that all of the stars listed by Garrison (1967) for Upper Scorpius and those listed as possible members in the present investigation for Upper Centaurus be included in programs of astrometric observations in the southern sky.

A program that could be carried out in a much shorter time scale is one to determine accurate radial velocities of all suggested new members. Blaauw (1946) had pointed out the differences between the radial velocities of the bright $B-t y p e$ stars in the Scorpio-Centaurus association and the distant field stars. We have seen from Figure 40 , however, that observations consisting of only a few plates per star do not give us the same separation. More detailed studies are needed to determine the usefulness of radial velocities for confirming the membership of stars of later spectral types.

More extensive four-color photometric observations of the late $B$ - and $A$-type stars suggested as new members by Garrison (1.967) are also needed. These additional data would be useful in comparing the spectroscopic techniques used by Garrison to the photometric techniques used here an and for other associations and clusters. More four-color observations of $B 5-B 9$ stars in Upper Centaurus are also desirable, for this is one spectral range where the number of stars observed was unintentionally small.

The several peculiar B-type stars in Upper Scorpius (and one in Upper Centaurus) will undoubted need more study before we can understand their properties. This could mean that high dispersion spectra will eventually be required for analysis. Concerning the Am stars in both regions, it would be desirable to have confirmation of the four-color
and $H \beta$ photometric indices and further investigation into their possible membership.

Additional data which could provide more information on the differences between the Upper Scorpius and the Upper Centaurus stars would be (1) rotational velocities for more of the Upper Centaurus stars and more of the faint Upper Scorpius stars and (2) a study of the binary frequency in each region.

In the same spirit we especially urge that more young clusters and associations be studied thoroughly for membership to later spectral types, even though this will sometimes mean observing rather faint stars. Those clusters that have had such a detailed analysis have in some cases shown unusual properties, so it would not be too surprising if this were also the case for other groups of young stars.
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[^0]:    *New galactic longitudes are used throughout the. present study.

